

Epidemiological and Diagnosis Status of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in Chickens around the globe

Muhammad Farhan Qadir^{1*}, Sandhya Ashok² and Quratulain³

¹College of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, Shanxi Agricultural University, Taigu, China

²Department of Microbiology, University of Karachi, Pakistan

³Para Veterinary Institute Karor (Layyah Campus) University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Pakistan

***Corresponding Author:** Muhammad Farhan Qadir, College of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, Shanxi Agricultural University, Taigu, China.

Received: December 09, 2019; **Published:** December 24, 2019

Abstract

Chickens are suffered with several diseases in which *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* diseases is one of great importance. Infected chickens can be diagnosed on the basis of history, clinical signs, postmortem and microscopic examination and diagnostic methods. After observation of clinical signs, necropsy practice is the best tool to differentiate the disease on the basis of type of lesions. On postmortem examination airsacculitis is regarded as characteristic lesion. Microscopic changes can be observed in trachea, lungs and air sacs. Diagnosis of *Mycoplasma* can be done by several tests including serological tests and molecular tests. Serological tests are used for early diagnosis of the disease. Different disease status was observed in various countries like Bangladesh, India, Iran, Egypt, China and some other countries. Infection can be controlled by proper screening the breeder flock, vaccination of layer chicks and culling of infected flocks because if flock is infected, it remains for whole life, so eliminate all the flock to avoid the further losses due to *Mycoplasma*.

Keywords: *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*; MG; Diagnosis; Epidemiology; Chickens; Mycoplasmosis

Background

Poultry industry is fast growing sector in Pakistan but beyond this fast growth of poultry industry, it is prone to lot of infectious and non-infectious threats which causes huge economic losses. It is actually a very risky business due to less measures adopted by the farmers to prevent the disease. Great number of viral and bacterial pathogen effect the birds in which mycoplasmosis is of great importance. Birds of all ages are prone to *Mycoplasma* infection but young birds are more effected than mature ones [1]. *Mycoplasma* pathogens are gram negative and belong to class Mollicutes, order-I Mycoplasmatales, family-I Mycoplasmataceae and genus *Mycoplasma*. Mycoplasmas are small size bacteria, without cell wall and having a triple layer plasma membrane [2]. Mycoplasmosis includes four major infectious, highly prevalent species including *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* (MG), *M. synoviae* (MS), *M. meleagridis* (MM) and *M. iowae* (MI). MG and MS mostly effect the chickens but MM and MI are produce disease in turkeys [3]. It is also known as chronic respiratory disease (CRD) of poultry. *Mycoplasma* colonies have a typical fried egg appearance on culture media [4]. MG can be transmitted by both ways either horizontal or vertical. Horizontal transmission can be possible within a flock by direct or indirect contact, direct contact by feces or respiratory secretions and indirect contact through contaminated feed, water, equipment and human cloths etc., while transmission of MG between the flocks is possible through wind. MG is transmitted vertically from parents to offspring through eggs [5,6]. Disease is distributed worldwide and affects both the broiler and layer birds. Significant economic losses occurring due to decrease in egg production and egg quality, embryonic mortality, poor hatchability, high morbidity, decrease weight gain and medication costs [7]. Disease status varies in different areas depends on age, sex, flock size and season [8]. Present study will explain the current MG status can be seen around the globe.

MG diagnosis

There are different serological techniques to detect MG at initial stages i.e. Serum plate agglutination (SPA) test [9], Haemagglutination inhibition (HI) test [10] and Enzyme linked-Immunosorbant assay (ELISA) [11]. Molecular techniques are good alternatives for

conventional diagnostic methods. Specific primers target specific genes of MG [12]. Real time PCR is considered as fast and sensitive test to detect MG infected flocks [13]. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), is another method to diagnose MG, was developed in 2000. LAMP depends on an auto-cycling strand displacement DNA synthesis performed by *Bst* DNA polymerase large fragment under isothermal conditions of 60 - 65°C. This assay is highly specific, and the amplification efficiency is equal to that of PCR based methods. Moreover, LAMP method synthesizes large amounts of DNA that can be easily detected by turbidity or fluorescence so, gel electrophoresis is not required [14].

MG prevalence in different countries

MG prevalence was different in various countries, even at different regions in same country, like Bangladesh, India, Iran, Egypt, China and some other countries table 1-6 respectively.

City/State/Region	Bird's type	Samples collected	Diagnostic tests	MG prevalence or incidence (%)	Paper references
Feni Sadar thana and Chhagoalnaiya thana districts	Breeder poultry farms	382 sera samples	SPAT	58.90% overall disease but 62.44% and 53.10% in winter and summer season while 59.90% and 48.57% in female and male birds respectively. 62.80% in Feni sadar and 53.45% in Chhagoalnaiya thana districts.	[15]
Lohagara and Satkania Upazila of Chittagong district	Chickens	400	SPAT	73% in layer and 53% in broiler birds at Lohagara whereas 60% in layer and 46% in broiler chickens at Satkania Upazilla region.	[16]
Rajshahi district	Chickens	575 sera samples	SPAT	55.13% overall disease while 61.48% in winter and 47.74% in summer. 62.86% in large flocks and 52.00% in small flocks.	[17]
Rajshahi and surrounding districts	Layer chicken	605 sera samples	SPAT	71.7% in young and 50.4% in adult birds. 68.6% in large flocks and 50% in small flocks. 61.6% in winter (autumn 56.9%, 55% rainy) and 49.6% in summer	[18]
Bhola district, Bangladesh	Chicken (backyard and commercial layer)	480 blood samples	SPAT	Overall 55.83% (268/480) while 62.5% in backyard chickens and 53.61% in commercial farming system. 60.63% in pullets, 55.63% in adults and 51.25% in old birds. 60.42% in winter and 51.25% in summer season.	[19]
Five upazilas (Sadar, Sariakandi, Gabtoli and Sherpur) of Bogra District	commercial layer chickens	563 blood samples	SPAT and iELISA	Overall 64.47% and 56.13% by iELISA and SPAT. 70.13% in December followed by November (68%), October (65.67%), August (63.46%), September (58.54%) and July (51.78%). 69.63% in large flocks and 56.82% in small flocks.	[20]
kotwali thana in Chittagong	Chickens	455 including live, sick and dead birds	SPAT	Overall mycoplasmosis was 15.38%. 73% in broiler and 27% in layer chickens. 49% in 8-21 days age group followed by 21% in 22-35 days age group, 21% in 0-7 days age group, 6% in 36-60 days age group and 3% in above 60 days age group. 33% in January, 30 February, 23 March, 13 December and 1% in November.	[21]

Table 1: MG prevalence in Bangladesh.

City/State/Region	Samples collected	Diagnostic tests	MG status (%)	Paper references
Different regions of India	1715 Choanal cleft swabs	Isolation of MG, PCR	10.38%	[22]
Namakkal (Tamil Nadu)	103 sera samples	iELISA	53.40%	[23]
Rewa region of Madhya Pradesh	98 sera samples	ELISA	21.40%	[24]
Seven states of India	1285 serum samples	ELISA	32.06% MG	[25]
Hyderabad	13,394 dead chickens	Necropsy examination	11.50%	[26]
Haryana	98	SPAT	22.40%	[27]
Haryana	92	PCR	27%	[28]
Different Districts of Tamil Nadu	1350 sera samples	SPAT ELISA	55.5% (SPAT) 42.1% (ELISA)	[29]
Telangana, Karnataka, Tamilnadu, Gujarat, Odisha Himachal Pradesh, West Bengal	309 Choanal swabs	PCR	11.6%	[30]

Table 2: MG prevalence in India.

City/State/Region	No. of Samples	Diagnostic tests	MG status (%)	Paper references
Center north of Iran	2000 serum samples	SPAT	6.25%	[31]
Chaharmahal Va Bakhtiari province	324	PCR	30.50%, 38.55%, 22.81% and 18.60% MG in Shahrekord, Borujen, Farsan and Lordegan areas, respectively	[32]
Mazandaran province, north of Iran	From 2002 to 2008 different flocks	SPAT and ELISA	Positive agreement between these two methods was 33%, depending upon age, sex, flock size and season with different positive rates and risk factors	[33]
Kerman Iran	88 isolates	Culture and nested PCR	69% by culture and 100% by nested PCR.	[34]

Table 3: MG prevalence in Iran.

City/State/Region	Diagnostic tests	MG status (%)	Paper references
Egypt	Culture and PCR	20% by culture and 33.3% by PCR	[34]
Egypt	SPAT and ELISA	69.9% layers were positive by ELISA and 58.3% by SPAT. 60% broiler farms were positive by ELISA and 48.7% by SPAT.	[35]
Egypt	Culture and PCR	40% by both	[36]
El-Sharkia governorate, Egypt	Culture, GIT, ELISA	23.3%, 11.6% and 8.3% samples were positive by culturing air sacs, trachea and lungs respectively. 16.5% by GIT and 48.3% by ELISA.	[37]
Egypt	PCR, conventional cultivation method and direct PCR.	70.9% by PCR, 65.45% by direct PCR and 17.66 by conventional cultivation method.	[38]

Table 4: MG prevalence in Egypt.

Country/Province/Region	Bird's type	Samples No.	Tests used	MG status (%)	References
Chongqing	Chickens	907 and 882 in 2012 and 2013 respectively	ELISA	62.62% AND 72.45% in 2012 and 2013 respectively. 59.08% and 68.97% in spring and 65.89 and 75.65% in winter season in 2012 and 2013 respectively. 50.28%, 68.51 and 17.5% in 2012 and 57.89%, 78.14% and 20% in 2013 in broilers, breeders and backyard farms respectively.	[39]
China (Shanghai)	Chicken	183 swab samples	Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), PCR	20.2% by LAMP and 13.1% by PCR.	[14]
Guizhou province	Poultry birds	801 serum samples	ELISA	43.07 %	[40]

Table 5: MG in China.

Country/State/Region	Bird's type	No. of samples	Tests used	MG %	References
Nakornpathom province, Thailand.	Chickens	30 flocks, 15 birds from one flock (450 birds)	SPAT, ELISA and PCR	18.2-40%, 16.7-40% and 18.2- 40% MG +ve samples by SPAT, ELISA and PCR at the age of 1 month, 1-2, 2-3 and 3-4 months respectively.	[41]
Northern and Central Jordan	Chickens	115 flocks	PCR for MG, RT- PCR for NDV, APV and IBV	14.8% positive flocks for MG+IBV, 5.2% for MG+NDV and 6% for MG+APV.	[42]
São Paulo, Paraná and Pernambuco states of Brazil	Chickens	1046	Multiplex PCR	72.7%	[43]
Argentina (for 3 time period in different counties)	Chicken	2411 sera samples	SPAT	32.8%, 55.1%, and 76.2% MG for 1 st , 2 nd and 3 rd study period respectively.	[44]
Algeria	Chicken	505 serum samples	SPAT	Overall MG % was 69.90%. 61.48% in winter and 47.74% in summer.	[45]
Algeria	Chicken	18 tracheal swab	Culture SPAT PCR	72.22%, 61.11% and 63.63% by culture, SPAT and PCR respectively	[46]
Few states of Peninsular Malaysia	Chickens	300	Real time RCR	Out of total, 94 samples were tested positive for MG.	[47]
Niger State, Nigeria	Chickens	552 blood and 138 swab samples	MG/MS ELISA, Culture	91.83% by MG/MS ELISA. Out of total, 126 swab samples showed fried egg appearance on mycoplasma agar plate.	[48]
Triângulo Mineiro, Brazil, State of Minas Gerais	Chicken	120 samples	SPAT HI	18% by SPAT and 0 % by HI.	[49]
Valenciana (Spain)	Broilers	7363 samples	ELISA	One region showed an average ELISA titer values of more than 500 in the study period, which indicate any previous infection of MG in broiler birds.	[50]

Table 6: MG prevalence in other countries.

Conclusion

MG is prevalent in many countries time to time. It causes great economic losses to the poultry industry. It should be controlled at hatchery level and on other hand horizontal transmission can be controlled by good biosecurity measures. First, monitoring of whole flock should be done to know the disease condition time to time and to remove the diseased birds, otherwise all the flock should be removed to control the further spread of this disease. Serological tests are very fast to detect MG status in any flock. Timely vaccination and detection is helpful to control the disease at early stages. There is also need to educate the farmer regarding biosecurity and preventive measures to reduce the economic losses occurring due to this disease. However, more epidemiological studies should be done to check the disease status at specific regions.

Bibliography

1. Mukhtar M., et al. "Seroprevalance of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* among Commercial Layers in Faisalabad, Pakistan". *Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 8.1 (2012): 183-186.
2. Brown DR., et al. "Revised Minimal Standards for Description of New Species of the Class Mollicutes (Division Tenericutes)". *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* 57.11 (2007): 2703.
3. Manual OIE Terrestrial. "Manual for Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals, Chapter 2.2. 4". Nosemosis of honey bees. Office International des Epizooties (2008).
4. Jalaladdini SM., "Isolation and Identification of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in Chickensbn from Industrial Farms in Kerman Province". *International Journal of Advance Biological and Biomedical Research* 2 (2014): 100-104.
5. Kleven SH. "Control of avian Mycoplasma infection in commercial poultry". *Avian Diseases* 52 (2008): 367-374.
6. Hennigan SL., et al. "Detection and differentiation of avian Mycoplasmas by surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy based on a silver nanorod array". *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 78 (2012): 1930-1935.
7. Peebles ED., et al. "*Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in the commercial egg-laying hen: A historical perspective considering the effects of pathogen strain, age of the bird at inoculation, and diet on performance and physiology". *Journal of Applied Poultry Research* 21 (2012): 897-914.
8. Islam M.Z., et al. "Risk factors for *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* seroprevalence in chickens". *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences* 25.4 (2015): 1200-1205.
9. Allan WH., et al. "A Standard Haemagglutination Inhibition Test for Newcastle Disease. A Comparison of Macro and Micro Methods". *Veterinary Record* 95.6 (1974): 120-123.
10. Courtney C.H., et al. "Evaluation of Heartworm Immunodiagnostic Tests". *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 197.6 (1990): 724-729.
11. Czifra Gy., et al. "Evaluation of a Monoclonal Blocking Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay for the Detection of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*-Specific Antibodies". *Avian Diseases* 37.3 (1993): 680-688.
12. Collett SR., et al. "Floor pen study to evaluate the serological response of broiler breeders after vaccination with TS11 strain *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* vaccine". *Avian Diseases* 49 (2005): 133-137.
13. Carli KT., et al. "Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction for Detection of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in Chicken Trachea". *Avian Diseases* 47.3 (2003): 712-717.
14. Zhang F., et al. "Development of a loop-mediated isothermal amplification targeting a gene within the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex, the pdhA gene, for rapid detection of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*". *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation* 27 (2015): 260-267.
15. Sarkar S., et al. "Sero-prevalence of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* infection of chickens in model breeder poultry farms of Bangladesh". *International Journal of Poultry Science* 4 (2005): 32-35.

16. Barua SR, et al. "Study on *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in chickens in selected areas of Bangladesh". *Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine* 4 (2006): 141-142.
17. Hossain KMM, et al. "Seroprevalence of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* infection in chicken in the greater Rajshahi district of Bangladesh". *Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine* 5 (2007): 09-14.
18. Hossain KMM, et al. "Seroprevalence of Salmonella and *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* infection in chickens in Rajshahi and surrounding districts of Bangladesh". *International Journal of Biology* 2.2 (2010): 74-80.
19. Islam M, et al. "Seroprevalence of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* infection in backyard and commercial layer chickens in Bhola district, Bangladesh". *Journal of Advance Veterinary and Animal Research* 1 (2014): 11-15.
20. Ali MZ, et al. "Seroprevalence of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* antibody by ELISA and serum plate agglutination test of laying chicken". *Veterinary World* 8.1 (2015): 9-14.
21. Haque E, et al. "Prevalence of Mycoplasmosis of Chickens at Kotwali Thana in Chittagong, Bangladesh". *Journal of Fisheries and Livestock Production* 3 (2015): 151.
22. Reddy MR. "Prevalence of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* infection in Indian poultry farms". International Conference on Animal and Dairy Sciences, HICC, Hyderabad, India (2014).
23. Udhayavel S, et al. "Detection of sub clinical infection of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in commercial chicken by indirect ELISA". *Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences* 4 (2016): 438-440.
24. Singh N, et al. "Detection of Anti *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* Antibodies in Different Age Group of Chicken by Enzyme Linked Immunosorbant Assay". *Journal of Animal Research* 6 (2016): 49-51.
25. Baksi S, et al. "Sero-prevalence and Risk Factors of *Mycoplasma synoviae* in broiler breeders in different states of India". *Journal of Immunology and Immunopathology* 18 (2016): 127-130.
26. Rajkumar SR, et al. "Incidence and risk factors of chronic respiratory disease in Indian poultry flocks". *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology* 6 (2017): 662-668.
27. Tomar P, et al. "Seroprevalence of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* and *Mycoplasma synoviae* antibodies by rapid plate agglutination test in broiler chicken flocks of Haryana". *International Journal of Advance Biological Research* 7 (2017): 757-760.
28. Tomar P, et al. "Molecular Detection of Avian Mycoplasmas in Poultry Affected with Respiratory Infections in Haryana (India)". *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 6 (2017): 2155-2162.
29. Vadivalagan K, et al. "Seroprevalence and comparative study of serological tests for detection of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* infection in commercial layer farms at few districts of Tamil Nadu, India". *Indian Journal of Animal Research* (2016).
30. Rajkumar S, et al. "Molecular prevalence and seroprevalence of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* and *M. synoviae* in Indian Poultry Flocks". *Journal of Animal Research* 8 (2018): 15-19.
31. Haghghi-Khoshkhou P, et al. "Seroprevalence of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* and *Mycoplasma synoviae* infection in the commercial layer flocks of the Centernorth of Iran". *African Journal of Microbiology Research* 5 (2011): 2834-2837.
32. Doosti A, et al. "Detection of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in Chaharmahal Va Bakhtiari Province poultry using PCR". International Conference on Advances in Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical Sciences (ICABPS) Bangkok (2011).
33. Seifi S, et al. "Risk factors and seroprevalence of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* infection in broiler breeder farms in Mazandaran province, north of Iran". *Revue de Medecine Veterinaire* 163.5 (2012): 215-218.
34. Eissa S.I, et al. "Detection of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* Infection in Day-Old Chicks Using Molecular Characterization". In: The 5th International Poultry Conference (2009).

35. Osman KM., et al. "Mycoplasma gallisepticum: an emerging challenge to the poultry industry in Egypt". *Revue Scientifique et Technique* 28.3 (2009): 1015-1023.
36. Eisaa SI., et al. "Molecular characterization of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* isolated from Chicken and Turkey". *Veterinary Medicine Journal* 59 (2011): 183-195.
37. Reda LM., et al. "Some Studies on the Diagnosis of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in Chickens". *Nature and Science* 10.12 (2012): 247-251.
38. Hossam M., et al. "The Recovery and Molecular Diagnosis of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* Infection in Commercial Poultry Flocks in Egypt". *Indian Journal of Science and Technology* 9 (2016): 29.
39. Sun Y., et al. "Serology Study of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in Broiler Chickens in Chongqing". *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances* 13.1 (2014): 5-8.
40. Hong NN. "Serosurvey for infections with *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* and *Mycoplasma synoviae* in Guizhou province, southwestern China". *Research Journal of Poultry Sciences* 11 (2018): 1-4.
41. Pakpinyo S., et al. "Surveillance of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* Infection in Mixed Thai Native Chickens in the Area of Nakornpathom Province". *Thai Journal of Veterinary Medicine* 37 (2007): 47-52.
42. Roussan DA., et al. "Molecular survey of avian respiratory pathogens in commercial broiler chicken flocks with respiratory diseases in Jordan". *Poultry Science* 87 (2008): 444-448.
43. Buim MR., et al. "Epidemiological survey on *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* and *M. synoviae* by multiplex PCR in commercial poultry". *Pesquisa Veterinaria Brasileria* 29 (2009): 552-556.
44. Xavier J., et al. "Seroprevalence of Salmonella and Mycoplasma infection in backyard chickens in the state of Entre Ríos in Argentina". *Poultry Science* 90 (2011): 746-751.
45. Heleili N., et al. "Seroprevalence of *Mycoplasma synoviae* and *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* at Batna Commercial poultry farms in Algeria". *Veterinary World* 5 (2012): 709-712.
46. Nouzha H., et al. "Comparison of Three Diagnostics Methods of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in Batna Governorate (Algeria)". *Journal of Veterinary Advances* 3.3 (2013): 125-129.
47. Yasmin F., et al. "Molecular detection of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* by real time PCR". *Journal Veterinary Malaysia* 26 (2014): 1-7.
48. Ahmed J., et al. "Isolation and serological detection of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* and *Mycoplasma synoviae* using a combined MG/MS enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit in indigenous chickens in Niger State, Nigeria". *African Journal of Cellular Pathology* 4 (2015): 70-73.
49. Silva CBC., et al. "Seroprevalence of Salmonella and Mycoplasma in Commercial Broilers, Backyard Chickens, and Spent Hens in the Region of Triângulo Mineiro, State of Minas Gerais, Brazil". *Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science* 17 (2015): 57-62.
50. Garcia C., et al. "Development of a seroprevalence map for *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* in broilers and its application to broilers from Comunidad Valenciana (Spain) over the course of two years (2009-2010)". *Veterinarni Medicina* 61 (2016): 136-140.

Volume 5 Issue 1 January 2020

©All rights reserved by Muhammad Farhan Qadir., et al.