

COPD Therapy: Are there Too Many Pieces Lost in this Puzzle?

Maria Eugenia Laucho-Contreras^{1*} and Andres Arteta Montilva²

¹Division of Pulmonary, Hospital Universitario de Caracas, Central University of Venezuela, Ciudad Universitaria, Los Chaguaramos, Caracas, Venezuela

²Hospital Universitario Luis Gomez Lopez, Barquisimeto, Venezuela

*Corresponding Author: Maria Eugenia Laucho-Contreras, Division of Pulmonary, Hospital Universitario de Caracas, Central University of Venezuela, Ciudad Universitaria, Los Chaguaramos, Caracas, Venezuela.

Received: August 10, 2017; Published: August 22, 2017

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide [1]. Moreover, the overall economic burden and the need for healthcare resources are increasing over time [2]. For several years we have known that COPD is a heterogenic complex disease [3,4], and the variability of the clinical features (phenotypes) could be explained by the relatively new concept of "endotype" introduced in COPD pathogenesis. An endotype is "proposed to be a subtype of a condition defined by a distinct pathophysiological mechanism" [5], and several specific endotypes are expressed by similar phenotypes and have a different response to treatment [6].

Therapies in COPD [long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LAMA), long-acting beta agonist (LABA), phosphodiesterase inhibitors (PIs), inhaled corticosteroids (CI)] try to match the assessment of patients, according to symptoms, pulmonary function and history of exacerbations, with the main objective of relieving symptoms and reducing the risk of future adverse events [7-9]. Likewise, the new large trials are focusing on the use of bronchodilators (e. g. monotherapy vs. LABA plus LAMA; indications for ICs; triple therapy) using as surrogate endpoints clinical features rather than biochemical biomarkers [10-15] (with the exception of eosinophils [16-18]). Even though this approach improves the quality of life and indirectly reduce mortality (controlling exacerbations) [19], these are not disease-modifying therapies that would stop the progression or significantly change the natural course of COPD [20].

Furthermore, despite the progress in recent years on the identification of molecular markers for stable disease and acute exacerbations on COPD patients, the current application outside research is small, and the best marker to predict future exacerbations and response to treatment is still based on symptoms and phenotype [21]. The majority of the biomarkers, with the possible exception of α - 1 antitrypsin, are not specific to respiratory disease, probably because there are many different routes through which each of these proteins or cells could be present, hindering the development of new target-specific drugs.

Precision medicine, defined as treatment targeted to the needs of individual patients on the basis of phenotypic and molecular characteristics [22], aims to improve clinical outcomes by identifying who is likely to have a better response, or fewer side effects to a specific therapy, based on an individualized assessment. It does not mean the creation of drugs unique to a patient but the ability to classify individuals into subpopulations that differ in their susceptibility to a disease, the biology, or the response to a specific treatment [23].

However, potential treatments targeting COPD pathways have failed to demonstrate their clinical benefit [24,25], probably because our understanding of COPD pathogenesis is limited. Studying of the interactions between environmental exposures, genome, biological networks and the clinical endotypes [26], would result in a better subgroups assessment in COPD, leading to an improved clinical decision and to the elaboration of new therapeutics for a more precise medicine.

Citation: Maria Eugenia Laucho-Contreras and Andres Arteta Montilva. "COPD Therapy: Are there Too Many Pieces Lost in this Puzzle?". *EC Pulmonology and Respiratory Medicine* 4.5 (2017): 171-173.

Although we are far from the finish line in the race for the development of new COPD drugs, the steps back should not disappoint or discourage us. Instead, these should be taken as an incentive to be looking for another piece of the very intricate COPD puzzle. In the years to come, we will have to see the bigger picture for the good sake of our patients and the global health community, because we are going to be responsible for taking out all the information available to create therapeutic strategies intended to stopping the natural course of COPD.

Bibliography

- Lozano R., et al. "Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010". Lancet 380.9859 (2012): 2095-2128.
- 2. Khakban A., et al. "Ten-Year Trends in Direct Costs of COPD: A Population-Based Study". Chest 148.3 (2015): 640-646.
- 3. Agusti A., et al. "Characterisation of COPD heterogeneity in the ECLIPSE cohort". Respiratory Research 11 (2010): 122.
- 4. Woodruff PG., *et al.* "Current concepts in targeting chronic obstructive pulmonary disease pharmacotherapy: making progress towards personalised management". *Lancet* 385.9979 (2015): 1789-1798.
- Lotvall J., et al. "Asthma endotypes: a new approach to classification of disease entities within the asthma syndrome". Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 127.2 (2011): 355-360.
- 6. Anderson GP. "Endotyping asthma: new insights into key pathogenic mechanisms in a complex, heterogeneous disease". *Lancet* 372.9643 (2008): 1107-1119.
- Miravitlles M., et al. "Spanish Guidelines for Management of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (GesEPOC) 2017. Pharmacological Treatment of Stable Phase". Archivos de Bronconeumologia 53.6 (2017): 324-335.
- Montes de Oca M., et al. "ALAT-2014 Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Clinical Practice Guidelines: questions and answers". Archivos de Bronconeumologia 51.8 (2015): 403-416.
- 9. Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management and Prevention of COPD, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) (2017).
- 10. Vestbo J., *et al.* "Single inhaler extrafine triple therapy versus long-acting muscarinic antagonist therapy for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (TRINITY): a double-blind, parallel group, randomised controlled trial". *Lancet* 389.10082 (2017): 1919-1929.
- 11. Lipson DA., et al. "FULFIL Trial: Once-Daily Triple Therapy in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease". American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine (2017).
- 12. Wedzicha JA., *et al.* "Indacaterol-Glycopyrronium versus Salmeterol-Fluticasone for COPD". *New England Journal of Medicine* 374.23 (2016): 2222-2234.
- 13. Magnussen H., *et al.* "Stepwise withdrawal of inhaled corticosteroids in COPD patients receiving dual bronchodilation: WISDOM study design and rationale". *Respiratory Medicine* 108.4 (2014): 593-599.
- 14. Calverley PM., *et al.* "Salmeterol and fluticasone propionate and survival in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease". *New England Journal of Medicine* 356.8 (2007): 775-789.
- 15. Bateman ED., *et al.* "Dual bronchodilation with QVA149 versus single bronchodilator therapy: the SHINE study". *European Respiratory Journal* 42.6 (2013): 1484-1494.

Citation: Maria Eugenia Laucho-Contreras and Andres Arteta Montilva. "COPD Therapy: Are there Too Many Pieces Lost in this Puzzle?". *EC Pulmonology and Respiratory Medicine* 4.5 (2017): 171-173.

- 16. Kerkhof M., *et al.* "Blood eosinophil count and exacerbation risk in patients with COPD". *European Respiratory Journal* 50.1 (2017): 1700761.
- 17. Roche N., *et al.* "Blood Eosinophils and Response to Maintenance Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Treatment. Data from the FLAME Trial". *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 195.9 (2017): 1189-1197.
- Watz H., et al. "Blood eosinophil count and exacerbations in severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease after withdrawal of inhaled corticosteroids: a post-hoc analysis of the WISDOM trial". Lancet Respiratory Medicine 4.5 (2016): 390-398.
- Soler-Cataluna JJ., *et al.* "Severe acute exacerbations and mortality in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease". *Thorax* 60.11 (2005): 925-931.
- Singh D., et al. "Current Controversies in the Pharmacological Treatment of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease". American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 194.5 (2016): 541-549.
- Hurst JR., et al. "Susceptibility to exacerbation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease". New England Journal of Medicine 363.12 (2010): 1128-1138.
- Jameson JL and Longo DL. "Precision medicine--personalized, problematic, and promising". New England Journal of Medicine 372.23 (2015): 2229-2234.
- 23. Faner R and Agusti A. "Multilevel, Dynamic Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Heterogeneity. A Challenge for Personalized Medicine". *Annals of the American Thoracic Society* 13.5 (2016): S466-S470.
- Mahler DA., et al. "Efficacy and safety of a monoclonal antibody recognizing interleukin-8 in COPD: a pilot study". Chest 126.3 (2004): 926-934.
- 25. Rennard SI., et al. "The safety and efficacy of infliximab in moderate to severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease". American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 175.9 (2007): 926-934.
- 26. Agusti A., et al. "Biomarkers, the control panel and personalized COPD medicine". Respirology 21.1 (2016): 24-33.

Volume 4 Issue 5 August 2017 ©All rights reserved by Maria Eugenia Laucho-Contreras and Andres Arteta Montilva.