

The Matter is Resolved in a Sanitary and Diverse Manner, and the Country is Very Different

Eugenio Mazzearella*

Italian Philosopher, Politician, and Poet, University of Naples Federico, Italy

***Corresponding Author:** Eugenio Mazzearella, Italian Philosopher, Politician, and Poet, University of Naples Federico, Italy.

Received: January 29,2025; **Published:** February 28, 2025

First in silence Luciano Violante and Anna Finocchiaro, Oggi Giuliano Amato, Franco Gallo, Alessandro Pino, Franco Bassanini and Hanno Lasciato, as we know, shortly after their establishment, the committee, sworn in under the presidency of Minister Calderoli last year, for the definition of the law, and the continuation of the reform in the sense of the regional autonomy proposed by Calderoli. And I did so with a letter, all aimed at motivating constitutional reasons and arguing for the impossibility of making Calderoli's proposal current, as formulated, with the mandate raised by the Committee for the Protection of Livelihoods and the provision of services to citizens with the provisions of the Charter, which makes it possible to add to any proposal for the modification of regionalism. Said by two former Presidents of the Court, by a former President of the Court of Auditors and by a former Minister of the Public Service, it was a direct hit at Calderoli's initiative, whose reaction was in perfect political, populist style: Paino, Bassanini immediately felt, in their position, "political pressure". In view of the level of "pressing" personalities, the sensation, absolutely positive, was that they immediately felt the pressure of their Italian cassation. A type of pressure that Calderoli evidently struggles to bear. And then: the Minister in the entrepreneur's initiative by whom would he have been pressured? Is it the Holy Spirit, or a doctor's order?

It would have been better, at the time of these resignations, rumors about the self-revolution of the personality of Coinvolte, and the farms and the reason for the political polemics, in the pure spirit of national and state interests. For a while, if on a decisive matter like Health - effectively giving blatant reason to the concerns of the resignees - the Committee, after having ritually stated that nothing else could stand in the way of the legitimate concerns, established "a parameter that should guide the public administration in the provision of benefits" to respect the criterion of uniformity of benefits. Social nature fundamental to ensuring the territorial citizen has been able to confirm that in matters of health it is not necessary to define the LEP, given the presence of the LEP. A logical paradox is that the architecture of the LEP has to be understood and must have ugly unequal effects on citizens in the different areas of the country, as is well shown in the case of the fascists. An obvious "aid" to allow Calderoli's disastrous reform to continue its path without interfering with an effective rebalancing of the per-capita financing of health services.

But even assuming that the LEAs already replace the LEPs, so that in healthcare everything would be reduced to a lot of noise about nothing, I personally go beyond the arguments of the abandonment of the Commission by Amato and Bassanini. And I invite you to think about whether the very concept of LEP is not unconstitutional in itself, and therefore impracticable in fact and in law. Because "essential" levels of benefits between truly differently capable regions on a fiscal level will not be "equal" benefits for citizens. And it seems to me, as a non-constitutionalist, that the constitution protects this principle and is its cornerstone of equity in the enjoyment of citizenship. Already the regionalism that exists distorts in terms of the material constitution of the country, due to its unresolved gaps, the formal constitution. Any increase in regionalism would not only worsen the situation. The fundamentally disjointed national state in the presence of "cassies"

of various forces, which would further weaken the balance of power, and the “cassies” would become weaker, the more the power of the “political party” would be weakened. Dijato is a separatist. A unique, real, story of the same federalism; A first step towards an Italy restored to geographical expression (copyright Metternich, establishing at the Congress of Vienna in 1815 the Lombard-Veneto kingdom as an Austrian dependency; this dependency was later called the “system”). And this in a conjuntura in which the same Europe has made 200 billion available with the PNRR to relaunch the cohesion of the country, necessary for Europe itself. The Metternich government, the Meloni government, the same political-cultural tradition, the same point with the true Italian majority, the quest for a congress that is not without danger and a perverse exchange in its midst. Maggioranza between regionalism “reinforced” and a “strong” premiership, which results in these conditions being even more important, despite the small amount of material, in reality a pseudo-strong division. Because the Calderoli reform has the sole purpose of normalizing the imbalance between the strong and weak elements of the country, not of resolving them. The doctors, especially the pediatricians, are the ones who will finally bring the Italians to the world. To be equal in all their fundamental social and economic rights, as they are born in Italy, and to be respected and protected by the principles of justice in Italy.

Volume 14 Issue 3 March 2025

©All rights reserved by Eugenio Mazzarella.