ECRONICON OPEN ACCESS

EC ORTHOPAEDICS
Review Article

Innovative Applications of Nanotechnology in Orthopaedics: A Paradigm Shift in Healing and Patient Care

Muhammad Iftikhar Hanif[1]*, Vinnith Ramamurti[1], Siamak Sarrafan[2] and H Bava Bakrudeen[3]

[1]*Faculty of Medicine, AIMST University, Malaysia*

[2]*International Medical School, MSU University, Malaysia*

[3]*Faculty of Pharmacy, AIMST University, Malaysia*

***Corresponding Author**: Muhammad Iftikhar Hanif, Faculty of Medicine, AIMST University, Bedong, Kedah, Malaysia.

Received: May 17, 2023; **Published:** June 13, 2023

DOI: 10.31080/ecor.2023.14.01023

Abstract

The utilization of nanomaterials in orthopedic surgery has brought about a revolution in orthopedic care, with significant advancements in implant durability, tissue regeneration, infection prevention, and overall patient outcomes. This paper provides an overview of recent developments in nanomaterials and their applications in orthopedic care.

Nanomaterials have played a crucial role in the development of novel implant materials by optimizing their surfaces for improved biocompatibility, osseointegration, and long-term stability. Hydroxyapatite nanoparticles and other nanostructured coatings have shown promising results in stimulating bone growth and accelerating the healing process.

The use of nanomaterials in targeted drug delivery systems enables precise and sustained release of therapeutic agents, minimizing side effects while maximizing treatment effectiveness. Nanoparticles can be functionalized with specific ligands to selectively target cells or tissues, allowing for personalized medicine approaches.

Nanomaterial-based scaffolds, such as electrospun nanofibers and nanocomposite hydrogels, provide a biomimetic environment that supports cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation, facilitating tissue regeneration. Additionally, nanomaterials enhance the delivery of growth factors and biomolecules, promoting tissue healing processes.

In the realm of infection prevention, nanomaterials exhibit significant potential. Nanostructured coatings with antimicrobial properties effectively prevent bacterial adhesion and biofilm formation on implant surfaces. Nanoparticles loaded with antimicrobial agents offer a means to combat infections, reducing the risk of complications.

In conclusion, the integration of nanomaterials in orthopedic surgery has led to notable advancements in implant durability, tissue regeneration, infection prevention, and targeted drug delivery. While challenges persist, ongoing progress in nanomaterial applications holds tremendous promise for the future of orthopedic surgery.

Keywords: *Nanomaterials; Orthopaedics; Revolution; Implants; Wound Care; Imaging*

Abbreviations

PEEK: Polyether Ether Ketone; PMMA: Poly Methyl Methacrylate; nHA: Nano-Hydroxyapatite; CNTs: Carbon Nanotubes; MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging; CT: Computerized Tomography

Introduction

Orthopaedics deals with the diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of disorders and injuries related to the musculoskeletal system. Despite significant advances in medical technology, musculoskeletal conditions remain a significant healthcare burden [1]. Nanotechnology, a field that involves the manipulation of matter on a nanoscale level, has emerged as a promising approach to improve orthopaedic treatments. Nanomaterials offer unique properties, including high surface area, enhanced mechanical strength, and targeted cell or tissue specificity, making them suitable for use in implants [2], scaffolds [3], and drug delivery systems [4].

This article discusses recent developments and prospective future uses of nanotechnology in Orthopaedics, as well as the condition of the field currently. Specifically, we will explore the use of nanomaterials in orthopaedic implants, scaffolds, and drug delivery systems, as well as their potential applications in imaging and diagnosis [5].

Nanomaterial in orthopaedic implant

Nanostructured materials can enhance the mechanical and biological performance of orthopedic implants, leading to improved clinical outcomes. Incorporation of nanoparticles into implant materials has the potential to improve their wear resistance, biocompatibility, and osseointegration [9]. Nanoparticles enhance mechanical and biological properties of Orthopaedic implants. For example, the incorporation of magnesium nanoparticles into a titanium alloy implant resulted in improved mechanical properties and increased bone regeneration. Moreover, the addition of graphene oxide nanoparticles to a polyether ether ketone (PEEK) implant enhances its mechanical strength and osteogenic properties [10,11].

Another innovative application of nanoparticles is in titanium implant manufacturing process. Titanium has been widely used in producing orthopaedic implants. The modification of the titanium surface with nanotubes has been shown to improve the biocompatibility and osteointegration of the implant by promoting cell adhesion and growth. The nanotubes also provide a larger surface area for drug delivery and other therapeutic agents, making the implant more effective in promoting bone growth and reducing the risk of infection [12].

Implant-related infection is a major concern in orthopedic surgery as it can lead to implant failure, prolonged hospitalization, and even amputation. The use of nanotechnology has shown promise in addressing this issue by providing antimicrobial coatings on the implant surface. Orthopaedic implants can be coated with nanosized drug particles that are released over time to prevent infection and reduce inflammation [13].

In future, the use of 3D printing and nanomaterial can enable the production of personalized implants with improved mechanical properties and biocompatibility.

The role of nanomaterial in scaffolds

In orthopedic surgery, scaffolds find wide application, especially in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. Scaffolds are used as temporary support structures to help repair and regenerate damaged or injured tissues, such as bone and cartilage. Nanomaterials, such as nanofibers and nanoparticles, can be incorporated into scaffolds to improve their mechanical strength, porosity, and biocompatibility [14]. The use of nanomaterial in scaffolds has also been shown to enhance cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation, leading to more effective tissue regeneration. Various nanomaterials can be used for making scaffolds in Orthopaedics, including [15]:

- **Nanofibers:** These are ultrafine fibres with diameters in the nanometer range that can be arranged in different orientations to create a scaffold with a specific structure and porosity.

- **Nanoparticles:** These are tiny particles with diameters in the nanometer range that can be incorporated into the scaffold material to improve its mechanical properties, biocompatibility, and drug delivery capabilities.
- **Bionanomaterials:** The nanomaterials that are fabricated by using biomolecules or that encapsulate or immobilise a conventional nanomaterial in the presence of a biomolecule. Biomolecules derived from microorganisms, plants, agricultural wastes, insects, marine organisms, and certain mammals are utilised to develop bionanomaterials [16].
- **Graphene:** This is a two-dimensional material made up of carbon atoms arranged in a hexagonal lattice that has high mechanical strength, electrical conductivity, and biocompatibility, making it an attractive material for orthopaedic scaffolds.
- **Carbon nanotubes:** These are cylindrical structures made up of carbon atoms arranged in a unique pattern that gives them high mechanical strength and flexibility, making them an ideal material for scaffolds that require both strength and flexibility.
- **Quantum dots:** These are nanocrystals made up of semiconductor materials that emit light at specific wavelengths and can be used for imaging and diagnostic purposes in orthopaedic applications.

Nanomaterials and wound healing

Wound healing is essential for the successful recovery of patients. Poor wound healing can result in complications such as infections, delayed healing, chronic pain, and impaired function. This is especially challenging for chronic, ischemic and radiation induced wounds. Patients with poor nutritional status and immune-compromised patients are other examples [17].

The unique physicochemical properties of nanoparticles, such as high surface area and surface reactivity, have been explored for their potential in improving wound healing. Nanoparticles can facilitate the delivery of drugs and growth factors to the wound site, modulate the immune response, and enhance cellular uptake. Moreover, nanoparticles can improve the mechanical properties of wound dressings, including flexibility, strength, and durability. The use of different types of nanoparticles, such as silver, gold, and zinc oxide, has demonstrated promising outcomes in recent studies for promoting wound healing and preventing infection [18].

Nanomaterial to enhance poly methyl methacrylate (PMMA) properties

PMMA, also known as bone cement, is extensively used for fixing implants in Orthopaedic and trauma surgery. The use of nanomaterials in orthopedic cement can improve the properties of the cement, such as its mechanical strength, biocompatibility, and drug delivery capabilities.

One common type of nanomaterial used in orthopaedic cement is nano-hydroxyapatite (nHA), which is a biocompatible and bioactive material that is similar to the mineral component of bone. The addition of nHA to orthopaedic cement can improve its mechanical strength and enhance bone regeneration [19].

Another type of nanomaterial used in orthopaedic cement is carbon nanotubes (CNTs), which are known for their excellent mechanical and electrical properties. CNTs can be used to improve the mechanical strength of the cement and provide a conductive pathway for electrical stimulation, which can promote bone regeneration [20].

Nanoparticle and drug delivery system

Bone-related diseases, such as osteoporosis and bone cancer, present significant challenges for drug delivery due to the complexity and heterogeneity of bone tissue. However, the development of bone-targeted nanoparticle drug delivery systems has emerged as a promising strategy for addressing these challenges.

These nanoparticle systems are designed to target the bone tissue specifically, delivering drugs directly to the site of action and minimizing off-target effects. The nanoparticles can be functionalized with bone-targeting ligands, such as bisphosphonates or calcium-binding moieties, to enhance their affinity for bone tissue.

Hence, nanoparticle drug delivery systems have shown auspicious results for the treatment of various bone-related diseases, including osteoporosis, bone infections, and tumors [21].

Nanomaterials in orthoses and prostheses

One of the primary applications of nanomaterials in orthosis and prosthesis is to improve the mechanical properties of the materials used. For instance, the addition of carbon nanotubes or graphene oxide can enhance the strength and stiffness of materials such as polyurethane, silicone, and polyethylene. This can lead to the development of lighter, stronger, and more durable orthotic and prosthetic devices [22].

Another potential application of nanomaterials in orthosis and prosthesis is for sensing and monitoring. Nanosensors can be incorporated into orthotic and prosthetic devices to monitor various physiological parameters, such as temperature, pressure, and pH levels. This can enable real-time monitoring and adjustment of the devices to optimize their performance and ensure patient comfort [23].

Nanomaterials in imaging study

Imaging studies, such as X-rays, CT scans, and MRI, play an important role in diagnosis and monitoring of musculoskeletal conditions. Nanomaterials can potentially serve as contrast agents and improve the precision and clarity of diagnostic imaging. For example, gold nanoparticles can be used to enhance the contrast in X-ray imaging, while iron oxide nanoparticles can improve the contrast in MRI [24,25].

While radiolabeled nanoparticles have been shown to have potential in infectious diseases, and cancer, their use in these areas is still an area of active research and development. However, given their ability to target specific tissues while minimizing damage to healthy tissues, radiolabeled nanoparticles do hold promise as a potentially revolutionary technology in the diagnosis and treatment of these conditions [26].

Limitations of the Study

Limited clinical evidence: Despite the promising advancements in nanotechnology for orthopedic applications, there is a lack of comprehensive clinical evidence supporting their widespread use. More extensive clinical trials are needed to evaluate the long-term safety and efficacy of nanomaterials in orthopedic surgery.

1. Regulatory challenges: The integration of nanomaterials into orthopedic care presents regulatory complexities due to their unique properties and potential risks. Ensuring proper safety assessments, standardization, and regulatory approvals can be challenging and time-consuming, potentially delaying the clinical implementation of nanomaterial-based technologies [27,28].
2. Biocompatibility concerns: The long-term biocompatibility of nanomaterials needs further investigation. Understanding their potential toxicity and immunogenicity is essential to ensure patient safety and minimize any adverse effects [28,29].
3. Scalability of manufacturing: The scalability and cost-effectiveness of nanomaterial production for large-scale clinical use present challenges. The synthesis and fabrication techniques used in research may not be practical on a larger scale, affecting the commercial availability and accessibility of nanomaterial-based orthopedic technologies [28].
4. Long-term durability and stability: The long-term performance, durability, and stability of nanomaterials, particularly in orthopedic implants, require more in-depth study. Investigating degradation mechanisms, mechanical properties, and potential degradation products is crucial for ensuring their sustained effectiveness and safety [30].
5. Variability in clinical applicability: The effectiveness and applicability of nanomaterials in orthopedic care may vary depending on specific clinical scenarios and patient populations. Factors such as patient age, underlying health conditions, and individual vari-

ability can influence outcomes and responses to nanomaterial-based interventions, requiring personalized approaches and further research [4].

Acknowledging these limitations is essential to drive rigorous research, conduct comprehensive clinical trials, and establish robust regulatory frameworks. This will ensure the safe and effective integration of nanomaterials into orthopedic care, address potential challenges, and optimize patient outcomes.

Ethical Concern

The utilization of nanotechnology in orthopedic care brings forth several ethical considerations that require careful examination. While nanomaterials offer potential for enhancing treatments, it is crucial to address the following ethical concerns:

i. Safety and risk assessment: Ensuring patient safety is of utmost importance. Comprehensive research and thorough risk assessment studies are necessary to comprehend the potential long-term effects and risks associated with employing nanomaterials in orthopedic applications. Ethical responsibility lies in minimizing potential harm and ensuring that the benefits outweigh the risks [28,29].

ii. Informed consent: Respecting the principle of informed consent is critical. Patients must receive detailed information regarding the use of nanomaterials in orthopedic care, including the potential benefits, risks involved, and alternative treatment options. Ethical practice involves empowering patients to make informed decisions about their healthcare [31].

iii. Privacy and data security: The utilization of nanotechnology may involve the collection and storage of sensitive patient data. Safeguarding patient privacy and ensuring data security are ethical imperatives. Stringent measures should be implemented to protect patient information from unauthorized access or misuse [27].

iv. Access and equity: Ethical considerations demand equal access to nanotechnology-based orthopedic treatments, irrespective of socioeconomic status or geographical location. Efforts should be made to promote equitable access, thereby reducing healthcare disparities [32, 33].

v. Resource allocation: The integration of nanotechnology in orthopedic care may necessitate significant financial resources. Ethical deliberation is necessary to ensure fair resource allocation, considering the potential impact on healthcare budgets and the affordability of treatments for patients and healthcare systems [32,33].

vi. Regulatory oversight: Robust regulatory oversight is essential for responsible development, manufacturing, and implementation of nanomaterials in orthopedic care. Ethical practice requires the establishment and enforcement of appropriate regulations and standards to safeguard patient well-being and ensure treatment effectiveness [28,29].

vii. Environmental impact: Ethical responsibility extends to evaluating the environmental impact of nanomaterials employed in orthopedic care. Assessing potential environmental risks associated with nanomaterial production, use, and disposal is necessary. Embracing environmentally sustainable practices aligns with ethical principles [28,29].

Conclusion

In conclusion, while nanoparticles have tremendous potential for improving Orthopaedic care, it is critical to address the problems and limits associated with their incorporation. Future advancements in Orthopaedic surgery using nanotechnology and artificial intelligence will result in better patient outcomes and improved medical procedures. [33] Prospects for nanotechnology and biomaterials in reconstructive therapy offer the exciting possibility of restoring joint mobility with less discomfort and at less expense by eliminating the need for follow-up replacement surgery.

Bibliography

1. Cieza Alarcos., *et al*. "Global Estimates of the Need for Rehabilitation Based on the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019". *The Lancet* 396 (2020): 10267.
2. Chen MQ. "Recent Advances and Perspective of Nanotechnology-Based Implants for Orthopedic Applications". *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology* 10 (2022): 878257.
3. Ye Guo and Bao., *et al*. "Nanomaterial-based scaffolds for bone tissue engineering and regeneration". *Nanomedicine* (2020): 15.
4. Cheng H., *et al*. "Development of nanomaterials for bone-targeted drug delivery". *Drug Discovery Today* 22.9(2017): 1336-1350.
5. Smith WR., *et al*. "Nanotechnology in orthopedics: a clinically oriented review". *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 19.1 (2018): 67.
6. Linta Iftikhar., *et al*. "DocGPT: Impact of ChatGPT-3 on Health Services as a Virtual Doctor". *EC Paediatrics* 12.3 (2023): 45-55.
7. Muhammad I Hanif., *et al*. "Internet of Things (IoT) Towards Specialty-Specific Internet of Orthopaedic Things (IOT): The Evolution and Applications of Internet of Medical Things (IoMT)". *EC Orthopaedics* 14.3 (2023): 37-51.
8. Yang Ying., *et al*. "Dual-Purpose Magnesium-Incorporated Titanium Nanotubes for Combating Bacterial Infection and Ameliorating Osteolysis to Realize Better Osseointegration". *ACS Biomaterials Science and Engineering* 5.10 (2019): 5368-5383.
9. Ramezani M and Ripin ZM. "An Overview of Enhancing the Performance of Medical Implants with Nanocomposites". *Journal of Composites Science* 7.5 (2023): 199.
10. Mazaheri Mozhdeh., *et al*. "Nanomedicine applications in orthopedic medicine: state of the art". *International Journal of Nanomedicine* 10 (2015): 6039-6053.
11. Yu Dan., *et al*. "Modification of Polyetheretherketone (PEEK) Physical Features to Improve Osteointegration". *Journal of Zhejiang University-SCIENCE B* 23.3 (2022): 189-203.
12. Li Yuehong., *et al*. "Enhanced Antibacterial Properties of Orthopedic Implants by Titanium Nanotube Surface Modification: A Review of Current Techniques". *International Journal of Nanomedicine* 14 (2019): 7217-7236.
13. Faezeh Habibzadeh., *et al*. "Nanomaterials supported by polymers for tissue engineering applications: A review". *Heliyon* 8.12 (2022): e12193.
14. AbouAitah Khaled., *et al*. "Drug-Releasing Antibacterial Coating Made from Nano-Hydroxyapatite Using the Sonocoating Method". *Nanomaterials* 11.7 (2021): 1690.
15. Gaur Manish., *et al*. "Biomedical Applications of Carbon Nanomaterials: Fullerenes, Quantum Dots, Nanotubes, Nanofibers, and Graphene". *Materials* 14.20 (2021): 5978.
16. Jaison Jeevanandam., *et al*. "Bionanomaterials: definitions, sources, types, properties, toxicity, and regulations, Editor(s): Ahmed Barhoum, Jaison Jeevanandam, Michael K". Danquah, In Micro and Nano Technologies, Fundamentals of Bionanomaterials, Elsevier (2022): 1-29.
17. Sen Chandan K. "Human Wounds and Its Burden: Updated 2020 Compendium of Estimates". *Advances in Wound Care* 10.5 (2021).
18. Toczek Jakub., *et al*. "Benefit of Silver and Gold Nanoparticles in Wound Healing Process after Endometrial Cancer Protocol". *Biomedicines* 10.3 (2022): 679.

19. Zebarjad SM., *et al*. "A Study on Mechanical Properties of PMMA/Hydroxyapatite Nanocomposite". *Engineering* 03.08 (2011): 795-801.

20. Jogi Bhagwan F., *et al*. "Dispersion and Performance Properties of Carbon Nanotubes (CNTs) Based Polymer Composites: A Review". *Journal of Encapsulation and Adsorption Sciences* 02.04 (2012): 69-78.

21. Chen Yulin., *et al*. "Bone-Targeted Nanoparticle Drug Delivery System: An Emerging Strategy for Bone-Related Disease". *Frontiers in Pharmacology* 13.13 (2022): 909408.

22. Abubakre Oladiran Kamardeen., *et al*. "Carbon Nanotube-Reinforced Polymer Nanocomposites for Sustainable Biomedical Applications: A Review". *Journal of Science: Advanced Materials and Devices* 8.2 (2023): 100557.

23. Qiaoyin., *et al*. "Nanomaterial-Based Prosthetic Limbs for Disability Mobility Assistance: A Review of Recent Advances". *Journal of Nanomaterials* 3425297 (2022): e3425297.

24. Ahn Sungsook., *et al*. "Gold Nanoparticle Contrast Agents in Advanced X-Ray Imaging Technologies". *Molecules* 18.5 (2013): 5858-5890.

25. Yang Heyu., *et al*. "Effects of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles as T2-MRI Contrast Agents on Reproductive System in Male Mice". *Journal of Nanobiotechnology* 20.1 (2022).

26. Wu Shentian., *et al*. "Radioactive Polymeric Nanoparticles for Biomedical Application". *Drug Delivery* 27.1 (2020): 1544-1561.

27. Staggers Nancy., *et al*. "Nanotechnology: The Coming Revolution and Its Implications for Consumers, Clinicians, and Informatics". *Nursing Outlook* 56.5 (2008): 268-274.

28. Faunce Thomas A. "Toxicological and Public Good Considerations for the Regulation of Nanomaterial-Containing Medical Products". *Expert Opinion on Drug Safety* 7.2 (2008): 103-106.

29. AKÇAN Ramazan., *et al*. "Nanotoxicity: A Challenge for Future Medicine". *Turkish Journal of Medical Sciences* 50.4 (2020): 1180-1196.

30. Gangadoo S., *et al*. "From Replacement to Regeneration: Are Bio-Nanomaterials the Emerging Prospect for Therapy of Defective Joints and Bones? *Journal of Biotechnology and Biomaterials* 5 (2015): 187.

31. Shrader-Frechette Kristin. "Nanotoxicology and Ethical Conditions for Informed Consent". *Nano Ethics* 1.1 (2007): 47-56.

32. Uskoković Vuk. "Nanomedicine for the Poor: A Lost Cause or an Idea Whose Time Has yet to Come?" *Nanomedicine* 16.14 (2021): 1203-1218.

33. Maclurcan Donnie. "Nanotechnology and Developing Countries - Part 2: What Realities?" (2010).

Volume 14 Issue 6 June 2023