

Masahiro Takakura¹, Raymond Chao², Aimee Kisaichi³, Veronica Neumann³ and Nicholas A Kerna^{4,5*}

¹Integrative Medicine Group, Seattle, Washington, USA ²Coastal Integrative Wellness, Valrico, Florida, USA ³Seattle Nature Cure Clinic, Washington, USA ⁴SMC-Medical Research, Thailand ⁵First InterHealth Group, Thailand

*Corresponding Author: Nicholas A Kerna, (mailing address) POB47 Phatphong, Suriwongse Road, Bangkok, Thailand 10500. Contact: medpublab+drkerna@gmail.com.

Received: March 08, 2022; Published: May 31, 2022

DOI: 10.31080/ecor.2022.13.00950

Abstract

This systematized review sought to better qualify and quantify the causal relationship between trigger-point (TP) needling efficacy and the local twitch response (LTR) regarding the upper trapezius muscle.

A systematized review is defined by the Duke University Medical Center Library and Archives as an attempt to include elements of the systematic review process while stopping short of a conventional systematic review.

The limited data utilized showed, preliminarily, that an LTR from needle insertion is a relative indicator of treatment success—as determined using a Visual Analogue Scale and measuring the patients' ranges of motion, pre-treatment and post-treatment.

This review also noted that an LTR is a probable prerequisite for identifying effective TPs and a helpful hallmark in treating patients, resulting in peak benefit. However, this procedure can cause post-treatment, needling application-area tenderness.

Novel research should consider the number of LTRs resulting in dry needling (DN) maximal benefit at a specific TP. Finally, it is noted that utilizing 0.12 mm needles inhibits muscle soreness, mitigates patient anxiety concerning needling, and enhances needling therapy efficacy—as indicated in the comparison studies.

Keywords: Anxiety Disorder; Muscle Tension; Myofascial Pain; Neck, Shoulder, and Upper Back Pain; Needling Treatment

Abbreviations

DN: Dry Needling; ESWT: Extracorporeal Shockwave Therapy; HPPTUS: High-Power Pain Threshold Ultrasound; LTR: Local Twitch Response; NCBI: National Center for Biotechnology Information; QoL: Quality of Life; RCT: Randomized Control Trial; ROM: Range of Motion; RT-AJ: Range of Tragus-Acromioclavicular Joint; TP: Trigger-Point; US: Ultrasound; VAS: Visual Analog Scale

64

Introduction

In "A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Effectiveness of Dry Needling for Myofascial Trigger Points Associated with Neck and Shoulder Pain" by Liu., *et al.* (2015), a local twitch response (LTR) was not observed in subjects receiving trigger-point (TP) needling [1].

A study by Kietrys., *et al.* (2013)—titled "Effectiveness of Dry Needling for Upper-Quarter Myofascial Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis"—noted studies describing whether or not an LTR was desired or elicited by dry needling (DN) [2]. Based on most of the studies reviewed, these investigators opined that LTR provocation was necessary for the DN technique [2]. However, Hong (1994) detected only a slight difference between an LTR with TP injections and no LTR with TP injections [3].

LTRs are critical in identifying TPs and necessary in treating patients, resulting in maximum benefit. However, this procedure can cause post-treatment soreness [3].

Needling treatments—either wet or dry—can cause soreness and, at times, heightened tension in the patient and specific muscles due to pain reactions. Also, needling can cause an anxiety reaction in the patient. Thus, extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT) or high-power pain threshold ultrasound (HPPTUS) therapy can be beneficial, avoiding soreness and diminishing anxiety caused by needle insertion. The ESWT and HPPTUS techniques are forms of sound-wave therapy.

Study design

A systematized review is defined by the Duke University Medical Center Library and Archives includes elements of a systematic review as a truncated and less comprehensive version of a substantive systematic review [4].

Data source: inclusion and exclusion criteria

The systematized review for this research was conducted by the Assistant of Librarians from Bastyr University, Kenmore, Washington, USA. The publication search for the data sources was accessed through the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) and Embase.

The search terms were adapted for a comparative study, using the following search words and terms: trigger points and superficial back muscle, acupuncture, massage, extracorporeal shockwave therapy, high-power pain threshold ultrasound therapy, myofascial pain syndrome, stretching, and yoga.

Studies that included the following terms were used: 1) randomized control trial (RCT), 2) comparative study, 3) patients with myofascial pain syndrome (associated with neck, shoulder, or superficial back muscle pain), 4) identifying trigger points, and 5) performing treatments.

Only studies employing TP needling were considered. Modalities, such as ESWT, HPPTUS, other forms of electrotherapy were not included in this study.

Selection and data extraction

According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the principal investigator evaluated titles and abstracts of selected studies. The data extracted included population sample size, number of male and female patients, population's mean age, symptoms duration, diagnoses, LTR, and outcome measurements.

65

Results

The readily accessible research suggested that limited studies have been designed and undertaken to evaluate treatment modalities on the upper trapezius muscles specifically.

For this research, 19 studies were reviewed and selected based on the size of the subject pool, mean age, target tissue, diagnosis, LTR, outcome measures, and results. The selected studies are as follows:

- Itoh., et al. (2007) [5]
- Sarrafzadehm., et al. (2012) [6]
- Patra., et al. (2017) [7]
- Unalan., et al. (2011) [8]
- Gur., et al. (2014) [9]
- Bubnov., et al. (2011) [10]
- Segura-Orti., et al. (2016) [11]
- Kashyap., et al. (2018) [12]
- Amini., et al. (2017) [13]
- Aguilera., et al. (2009) [14]
- Kamali., et al. (2019) [15]]
- Ustun., et al. (2014) [16]
- Aridici., et al. (2016) [17]
- Gur., et al. (2013) [18]
- Kamanli., et al. (2005) [19]
- Ay., et al. (2010) [20]
- Koca., et al. (2014) [21]
- Bookwala., et al. (2015) [22].

Discussion

Only 3 of the 19 studies reviewed elicited an LTR with the application of DN. However, 3 LTP-positive subjects experienced significantly decreased pain, using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS), and increased overall range of motion (ROM). Nevertheless, no studies noted, quantified, or qualified the number of LTRs elicited in each subject or needle manipulation by the physician or therapist.

66

Summary of TP needling's success compared to other modalities and procedures in relieving UT muscle spasm

Tables 1–3 clearly illustrate TP needling's superiority compared to other conventional modalities regarding UT muscle spasm treatment—noting, as mentioned earlier, the LTP response indicates effective TP placement and protocol. The lack of a TP-induced LTR may indicate non-effective—or less than ideal—needling placement or protocol.

Study	Compari-	RCT	N (M/F)		N (M/F):			Mean	Tissue	Diagnosis	LTR	Outcome	Result	Additional
[5]	son SA vs. non-	(Y/N) Y	40	group A	group B	group C	group D	Age 47-80	Neck	Chronic	Noted for	Measure Pain (VAS),	TPN: less pain,	Non-radiat-
[0]	TPN A vs. TPN acp vs. SH A		(29:11)						muscles		TPN, only one LTR at each TP	QOL, NDI	> QOL	ing pain
[6]	Phono-	N	60 (0:60)	Control:	UT: 15	PR (pres-	PhH: 15	21-24	UT	3 months	No mention	Pain inten-	Significant	
[-]	phoresis			15		sure				to 1 year	of LTR	sity, PPT,	pain reduc-	
	Hydrocorti-					release):				5		and AROM	tion all groups	
	sone (PhH)					15							(P<0.001)—	
	vs. UT												except for the	
													control group.	
													PhH and PR had	
													more significant	
													pain reduction	
													compared to the	
													UT group.	
[7]	DN vs. MT	Y	Initial:	DN: 39	МТ	СоВ		36-47	C1-C2	Cervi-	No mention	Algo-meter	Group C (CoB)	
	vs. both		150, 35	(11/28)	(13/25):	(13/24):				cogenic	of LTR	for PPT, SP-	had the most	
			stopped		38	37				Head-		36 question-	notable change	
			Tx							aches		naire	com-pared	
													to the other	
													groups.	
[8]	High-	Y	Initial:	HPPTUS:	TrP: 22			41-	UT	-	No mention	VAS and	Saw improve-	One patient
	Power Pain		197,	20 (3/17)	(2/20)			56		TP in the	of LTR	cervical	ment of VAS in	in HPPTUS
	Threshold		ended							UT (0-4		ROM (w/	both groups, but	developed
	Ultrasound		with 42							weeks)		goniometric	it was not stati-	erythema
	Therapy											measure-	cally significant	and
	(HPPTUS) vs. Local TP											ment)		dropped out on day-3
	Injection													on day-3
[9]	ESWT 1	Y	Initial:	ESWT one	ESWT			35-	UT	MPS w/	No mention	TPs, pain,	Significant	
	session vs.		108,	session:	for 3			48		failure of	of LTR	PGA, MDGA,	improvement	
	ESWT 3 ses-		ended	30(6/24)						conserva-		NPDS, NHP,	was seen in both	
	sion using		with 60		30(5/25)					tive thera-		and HAM-A	groups; there	
	Minilith SL1									pies			was no signifi-	
	shockwave												cant difference	
	generator												in results be-	
													tween the two	
													groups.	
[10]	Ultrasound-	Y	Initial:	W/US	W/o US			Me-	Shoul-	MPS	Positive for	VAS	Both groups	Group A
	Guided		133,	guided at	-			dian in	der		LTR		showed a signifi-	required
	TPDN		ended		shoulder:			Group					cant decrease in	fewer
	vs. Non-		with 133.	45	19			A: 56.					VAS: Group A:	needles and
	ultrasound-		shoulder					Me-					84% of patients,	had a higher
	Guided		dysfunc-					dian in					Group B: 64.5%	percentage
	TP Dry		tion spe-					Group						of eliciting
	Needling		cifically:					B: 58						the LTR
			n= 64											compared
							L							to Group B

 Table 1. TP needling efficacy versus other modalities; 1 of 3.

Study	Comparison	RCT	N (M/F)	N	N (M/F):	N (M/F):	Mean	Tissue	Diag-	LTR	Outcome	Results	Additional
		(Yes/ No)		(M/F): group A	group B	group C	Age		nosis		Measure		
[11]	Strain-counter-strain (SCS)	Y	Initial: 39,	DN: 12	SCS: 10	Sham	32	UT	MPS	Positive	VAS, PPT,	No statistical significance	NDI scores were sig-
	vs. DN		ended w/	(4/8)	(3/7)	SCS: 12				for LTR	NDI Ques-	between all groups. The	nificantly decreased
			34			(2/10)					tionnaire	study showed a reduction in	in the SCS group,
												VAS in all 3 groups	but not the other 2
													groups
[12]	Manual Pressure Release	Y	Initial: 51	MRP:	MET: 15	Control:	21-26	UT	Nonspe-	No men-	VAS, ROR,	MPR and MET were shown	All groups received
	(MPR) vs. Muscle Energy		(0/51),	15		15			cific neck	tion of	NDI, PPT	to reduce pain and muscle	postural advice and
	Technique (MET)		ended						pain	LTR		tenderness, improve neck	active exercises
			with 45									disability, and increase	
												ROM; marginal improve-	
												ment of PPT score in the	
												control group	
[13]	Manual Passive Muscle	Y	Initial: 30	MPMS:	MRP'		21-22	UT	Latent	No men-	VAS, PPT,	MPMS and PRT showed	
	Shortening (MPMS) vs.		(0/30),	15	Control:				MTrPs	tion of	cervical	a significant increase in	
	Positional Release Therapy		ended w/		15					LTR	AROM of	PPT, decrease in VAS, and	
	(PRT)		30								lateral	increase in right lateral	
											flexion	cervical flexion	
[14]	US vs. Ischemic	Y	Initial 66	IC: 22	US: 22	Sham	34-46	UT	Latent	No men-	AROM,	Both treatments showed an	
	Compression (IC)		(29/36);	(9/13)	(10/12)	US: 22			MTrPs	tion of	BEA, PT	immediate effect on MTrPs;	
			ended			(10/12)				LTR		no significant changes in the	
			with 66									sham US group.	
[15]	DN vs. Friction Massage	Y	Initial:	DN: 20	FM: 20		33-49	sub-oc-	Tension-	No men-	Frequency,	Both groups had a signifi-	DN showed an
	(FM)		44, ended	(4/16)	(1/19)			cipital,	type	tion of	intensity,	cant improvement in	improve-ment in ex-
			with 40					tempo-	head-	LTR	pain, cervi-	reducing the frequency and	tension for cervical
			(5/35)					ralis,	aches >6		cal ROM	intensity of headaches and	ROM. Other cervical
								SCM,	months +			pain threshold at theTPs.	ROM showed no
								and UT	3 trigger				improve-ment
									points				
[16]	EMLA cream phono-phore-	Y	Initial: 50	PH: 25	US: 25		36-45	UT	MPS	No Men-	NTP, pain	Both groups had a statisti-	
	sis (PH) vs. US		(8/42),	(5/20)	(3/22)					tion of	intensity at	cally significant decrease in	
			ended							LTR	rest, pain	the number of TPs, but the	
			with 50								intensity	PH group had a consider-	
											w/ move-	able statistical improvement	
											ment,	in reduction of pain at rest,	
											lateral cer-	NPDI score, and had an	
											vical ROMs,	overall more signifi-cant	
											NPDS	decrease in NTP	

Table 2: TP needling efficacy versus other modalities; 2 of 3.

Study	Comparison	RCT	N (M/F)	N (M/F):	N (M/F):	N (M/F):	Mean	Tissue	Diagnosis	LTR	Outcome Measure	Result	Addi-
		(Y/N)		group A	group B	group C	Age						tional
[17]	DN vs. High-	Y	Initial: 91,	HPPT: 30	DN: 31		38-50	UT	MPS > 3	No men-	Primary: VAS, NPDS.	HPPT and DN were shown to be	
	Power Pain		ended with	(3/27)	(5/26)				weeks	tion of LTR	Secondary: number	effective at treating MPS. HPPT	
	Threshold US		61 (8/52)								of painful TPs, ROM	was shown to be more effective	
	(HPPT)										of the tragus-acro-	at reducing muscle stiffness and	
											mioclavicular joint,	decreasing anxiety. There was no	
											SF-36, Beck Depres-	difference between the therapies	
											sion Inventory, and	in reducing pain or increasing	
											Sonoelastographic	ROM.	
[18]	US vs. ESWT	Y	Initial: 120,	US: 29	ESWT:		35-48	UT	MPS	No Men-	Number of TrP, PGA,	Both groups had a statistically sig-	
			ended with	(9/20)	30					tion of LTR	MDGA, NPAD, NHP,	nificant improvement of number	
			59 (14/45)		(5/25)						HAM-A	or TrPs, the severity of pain, PGA,	
												MDGA, NPAS, NHP, and HAM-A	
												scales. ESWT had a greater signifi-	
												cance in NPADS and NHP scales at	
												the end of 12 weeks.	
[19] (not	Lidocaine	Y	Initial: 29	Lidocaine:	DN: 10	BTX-A: 9		Cervi-					
complete	injection vs.		(6/23)	10				cal, back,					
sufficient	botulinum		ended w/					shouler					
areas)	toxin (BTX-A);		29					(UT, MT,					
	injection vs.							LT), levator					
	DN							scapula)					
[20]	Local anes-	Y	Initial: 80,	Lidocaine:	DN: 40		37-47	UT	MPS > 1	Positive for	VAS, BDI, Active cer-	Statistically significant improve-	
	thetic (2mL of		(28/52)	40 (14,26)	(14,26)				month	LTR	vical ROM	ment in both groups in VAS,	
	1% lidocaine)											cervical ROM, and BDI after 4 and	
	Injection vs											12 weeks. No significant differ-	
	DN											ence between the groups when	
												compared	
[21]	Low vs.	Y	Initial: 75,	Moderate:	: high 20	Low: 20	35-41	UT	MPS > 3	No men-	VAS, NTP, PPT, RT-AJ,	Post-treatment, Group B showed	
	moderate vs.		ended with	21 (8/13)	(7/12)	(6/14)			weeks	tion of LTR	NPDS	significant improvement in VAS,	
	high-dose US		61 (21/40)									RT-AJ, NPDS compared to Group	
	therapy											A and C.	
[22]	ART w/ US vs.	Y	Initial: 60	ART + US:	SCS+ US:	US: 20		UT	Latent	No Men-	Cervical ROM, PPT,	Group A and Group B demon-	
	SCS w/ US			20	20				MTrPs	tion of LTR	trapezius muscle	strated effective treatment for	
											length	latent TPs in UT, compared to US	
												only. There was no significant	
												difference between Group A and	
												Group B.	

Table 3: TP needling efficacy versus other modalities; 3 of 3.

Conclusion

Although DN provoked LTRs in only 16% of the reviewed studies, the LTR-provoked group experienced significant pain reduction and increased ROM. Thus, preliminarily, it can be posited that a DN-induced LTR will indicate—to the physician, therapist, and patient—that pain will likely and gradually diminish, and movements may become less restricted, positively affecting the patient quality of life (QoL).

Citation: Takakura M, Chao R, Kisaichi A, Neumann V, Kerna NA. "Local Twitch Response (LTR) as an Indicator of Trigger Point (TP) Needling Therapy Success Regarding Upper Trapezius (UT) Muscle Spasms, and TP's Efficacy Compared to Diverse Modalities in Addressing UT Muscle Spasm". *EC Orthopaedics* 13.6 (2022): 63-71.

68

69

LTR is vital in recognizing TPs and helpful in treating patients, resulting in maximum benefit. However, this procedure can cause posttreatment, application-area tenderness.

Future research should investigate the number of LTRs resulting in a maximal benefit of dry needling at a specific trigger point. As a valuable and pertinent footnote to this systematized review, eliciting an LTR with 0.12 mm needles typically reduces post-treatment muscle soreness and pre-treatment patient anxiety from needling. Also, this needle gauge enhances needling therapy efficacy as noted in comparison studies.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that this paper was written without any commercial or financial relationship that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Supplementary Note 1

The principal investigator, Masahiro Takakura, Ph.D., N.D., LAc, DC, collected the data. Dr. Takakura is a certified practitioner, receiving Collaborative Institutional Training Initiative (CITI) training through Bastyr University, Kenmore, Washington, United States, by completing "Human Subjects Research, Biomedical Research".

Supplementary Note 2

This paper is based on prior doctoral research: Takakura M. (2019). "The Observation of the Complexity of Trigger Point Local Twitch Response (LTR) within Neuro Myofascial Dynamics by Upper Trapezius Acupuncture Ashi Needling" (unpublished doctoral dissertation).

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Kinesio Taping[®] for providing certain supplies and for their assistance in co-creating Figures 1,2, 4-7, and to JH Warfel (author) and Lippincott Williams and Wilkins (publisher) for Figure 3, that was adapted from *The Extremities, Muscles, and Motor Points*; 1993.

References

- Liu L., *et al.* "Effectiveness of dry needling for myofascial trigger points associated with neck and shoulder pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis". *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 96.5 (2015): 944-955. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/25576642/
- Kietrys DM., et al. "Effectiveness of dry needling for upper- quarter myofascial pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis". Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy 43.9 (2013): 620-634. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23756457/
- Hong CZ. "Lidocaine injection versus dry needling to myofascial trigger point: The importance of the local twitch response". *American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 73.4 (1994): 256-263. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8043247/
- 4. Zhang X., *et al.* "The dose-effect relationship in extracorporeal shock wave therapy: The optimal parameter for extracorporeal shock wave therapy". *Journal of Surgical Research* 186.1 (2014): 484-492. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24035231/
- 5. Itoh K., *et al.* "Randomised trial of trigger point acupuncture compared with other acupuncture for treatment of chronic neck pain". *Complementary Therapies in Medicine* 15.3 (2007): 172-179. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17709062/

70

- 6. Sarrafzadehm J., *et al.* "The effects of pressure release, phonophoresis of hydrocortisone, and ultrasound on upper trapezius latent myofascial trigger point". *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 93.1 (2012): 72-77. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/21982324/
- Patra RC., et al. "Effectiveness of dry needling on pain and range of motion in patients with cervicogenic headache". International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology 2.7 (2017): 466-469. https://ijisrt.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/Effectiveness-of-Dry-Needling-on-Pain-and-Range-of-Motion-in-Patients-with-Cervicogenic-Headache.pdf
- Unalan H., *et al.* "Comparison of high-power pain threshold ultrasound therapy with local injection in the treatment of active myofascial trigger points of the upper trapezius muscle". *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 92.4 (2011): 657-662. https:// pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21440713/
- Gur A., et al. "Comparison of the efficacy of ultrasound and extracorporeal shock wave therapies in patients with myofascial pain syndrome: a randomized controlled study". *Journal of Musculoskeletal Pain* 21.3 (2013): 210-216. https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/262791118_Comparison_of_the_Efficacy_of_Ultrasound_and_Extracorporeal_Shock_Wave_Therapies_in_Patients_with_ Myofascial_Pain_Syndrome_A_Randomized_Controlled_Study
- 10. Bubnov RV. "Ultrasound-guided trigger point dry needling: A new approach for myofascial pain syndrome management". *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology* 37.8 (2011): S74. https://www.umbjournal.org/article/S0301-5629(11)00598-9/fulltext
- 11. Segura-Ortí E., *et al.* "Trigger point dry needling versus strain–counterstrain technique for upper trapezius myofascial trigger points: a randomised controlled trial". *Acupuncture in Medicine* 34.3 (2016): 171-177. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26746173/
- Kashyap R., *et al.* "Controlled intervention to compare the efficacies of manual pressure release and the muscle energy technique for treating mechanical neck pain due to upper trapezius trigger points". *Journal of Pain Research* 11 (2018): 3151. https://pubmed.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/30588067/
- 13. Amini A., et al. "The effects of manual passive muscle shortening and positional release therapy on latent myofascial trigger points of the upper trapezius: A double-blind, randomized clinical trial". Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal 19.9 (2017). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320598378_The_Effects_of_Manual_Passive_Muscle_Shortening_and_Positional_Release_Therapy_on_Latent_Myofascial_Trigger_Points_of_the_Upper_Trapezius_A_Double-Blind_Randomized_Clinical_Trial
- Aguilera FJM., *et al.* "Immediate effect of ultrasound and ischemic compression techniques for the treatment of trapezius latent myofascial trigger points in healthy subjects: a randomized controlled study". *Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics* 32.7 (2009): 515-520. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19748402/
- 15. Kamali F., *et al.* "Dry needling versus friction massage to treat tension-type headache: a randomized clinical trial". *Journal of Bodywork and Movement Therapies* 23.1 (2019): 89-93. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30691768/
- 16. Ustun N., *et al.* "Efficacy of EMLA cream phonophoresis comparison with ultrasound therapy on myofascial pain syndrome of the trapezius: a single-blind, randomized clinical study". *Rheumatology International* 34.4 (2014): 453-457. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/24149990/
- 17. Aridici R., *et al.* "Comparison of the efficacy of dry needling and high-power pain threshold ultrasound therapy with clinical status and sonoelastography in myofascial pain syndrome". *American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 95.10 (2016): e149-e158. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27552352/

71

- 18. Gur A., et al. "Comparison of the efficacy of ultrasound and extracorporeal shock wave therapies in patients with myofascial pain syndrome: a randomized controlled study". Journal of Musculoskeletal Pain 21.3 (2013): 210-216. https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/262791118_Comparison_of_the_Efficacy_of_Ultrasound_and_Extracorporeal_Shock_Wave_Therapies_in_Patients_with_ Myofascial_Pain_Syndrome_A_Randomized_Controlled_Study
- 19. Kamanli A., *et al.* "Comparison of lidocaine injection, botulinum toxin injection, and dry needling to trigger points in myofascial pain syndrome". *Rheumatology International* 25.8 (2005): 604-611. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15372199/
- 20. Ay S., *et al.* "Comparison of injection methods in myofascial pain syndrome: a randomized controlled trial". *Clinical Rheumatology* 29.1 (2010): 19-23. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19838864/
- 21. Koca I., *et al.* "A comparison of the effectiveness of low-, moderate-and high-dose ultrasound therapy applied in the treatment of myo-fascial pain syndrome". *Modern Rheumatology* 24.4 (2014): 662-666. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24329132/
- 22. Bookwala T., *et al.* "Comparison of efficacy of Active Release Technique with Ultrasound and Strain-Counterstrain Technique with Ultrasound on Upper Trapezius Trigger Points". *Indian Journal of Public Health Research and Development* 6.3 (2015). https://www. researchgate.net/publication/277963021_Comparison_of_efficacy_of_Active_Release_Technique_with_Ultrasound_and_Strain-Counterstrain_Technique_with_Ultrasound_on_Upper_Trapezius_Trigger_Points

Volume 13 Issue 6 June 2022 © All rights reserved by Masahiro Takakura., *et al.*