

Current Concepts in the Surgical Management for Temporomandibular Joint Osteoarthritis

Edvitar Leibur*, Oksana Ivask and Ülle Voog-Oras

Institute of Dentistry, Department of Maxillofacial Surgery, Tartu University Clinicum, Tartu, Estonia

*Corresponding Author: Edvitar Leibur, Institute of Dentistry, Department of Maxillofacial Surgery, Tartu University Clinicum, Tartu, Estonia.

Received: April 23, 2021; Published: May 29, 2021

Abstract

The aim of this paper was to give an overview of our experience about the treatment methods and results we have used in the TMJ osteoarthritis patients treatment. The stages of TMJ disorders are usually classified according to Wilkes [1]. Correct diagnosis is important for successful treatment and decision must be based on clinical symptoms and radiological findings, including the history and ohter diagnostic data as synovial fluid (SF) components, blood analysis *etc.* Usually SF aspirates are obtained during arthrocentesis or immediately before arthroscopy and used for determination the levels of intracellular inflammatory and pain mediators. In case of episodes of pain, opening reciprocal clicking, locking in the TMJ a conservative therapy with drugs, occlusal splints is indicated (Wilkes stages I-II). In stages III-V surgical procedures as arthocentesis, arthroscopy or arthrotomy are indicated.

Keywords: Temporomandibular Joint Osteoarthritis; Surgical Treatment

Introduction

TMJ disorders are classified as follows [2]:

- 1. Inflammatory arthritis
 - a) Acute, chronic.
 - b) Infectious: Nonspecific, specific (gonococcal, syphilitic, tuberculous, Lyme disease associated arthritis).
- 2. Osteoarthritis/osteoarthrosis (most often disorder).
- 3. Injuries:
 - a) Luxation, concussion, fracture.
 - b) Microtrauma.
- 4. Ankylosis (fibrous, fibro-osseous, osseous).
- 5. Systemic conditions affecting the TMJ: rheumatoid arthritis, juvenile arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, gout, pseudogout, calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease (CPDD).
- 6. Tumours (benign and malignant).
- 7. Congenital disturbances: I and II branchial arch malformations, condylar hypo-, hyperplasia, idiopatic condylar resorption.

Citation: Edvitar Leibur., *et al.* "Current Concepts in the Surgical Management for Temporomandibular Joint Osteoarthritis". *EC Orthopaedics* 12.6 (2021): 60-66.

Osteoarthritis is one of the most common among the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) disorders. There are data that 38 - 50% of adults population have signs of TMJ [3,4]. Osteoarthritis affect the hard structures of the joint that results in destructive changes of bone and chondral tissues, Presence of adhesions, fibrillations, granulations, calcification granules etc. in the joint space are characteristic in case of osteoarthritis. In systemic diseases (psoriasis, rheumatoid arthritis etc.) involvement of TMJ occurs [5]. Knowledge about the pathogenesis of the TMJ osteoarthritis has been improved giving a possibility to achieve more efficient treatment results. Several cytokines and intracellular inflammatory mediators mediators are identified in the synovial fluid as tumor necrosis factor (TNF α), interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β), prostaglandin E2 (PgE2), leukotriene B4 (LB4 and pain mediator serotonin 5-HT [6,7]. It is found that genetic factors can play a role in the aetiology of persistent pain condition in the TMJ. The associations between TMJ disorders and genes HTR2A and COMT have been reported [8,9]. AS early diagnosis is the key to successful treatment, the scores of subjective and clinical symptoms, and parameters as maximal interincisal opening (MIO), Visual Analogue scale (VAS), Activity of Daily Living (ADL) for pre- and posttreatment are to be compared. The associations between pain and bone characteristics on the ADL in osteoarthritis patients is found [4,6]. The following bone changes in case of osteoarthritis have been found by using OPTG, CT, MRI: erosions, subarticular sclerosis, condylar deformity, articular surface flattening, osteophytosis, intraarticular bodies, reduced space, ankylosis. MRI has diagnostic value to establish joint specific soft tisuse changes and allows to analyse disc position [5,10].

Aim of the Study

The aim of this review paper is to give an overview of the treatment methods and results wich we have used in the TMJ osteoarthritis patients treatment.

Treatment methods

In clinical practice the stages of internal derangements of the TMJ are usually classified according by Wilkes [1].

Treatment methods of osteoarthritis are as follows: conservative with drugs, arthrocenthesis, arthroscopy, arthrotomy (open reduction), joint replacement, prosthetics (occlusal splints), physiotherapy, rehabilitation.

Conservative treatment with drugs

In conservative treatment of arthritis or osteoarthritis non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) mainly diclofenac-Na, ibuprofen, naproxen, tolfenamic acid, etoricoxib, aceclofenac *etc*. are used [11,12]. These drugs inhibit the synthesis of intracellular inflammatory mediator PGE2. It is shown that PGE2 stimulates resorption of bone tissue [13,14] and in case of TMJ arthritis the level of PGE2 in synovial fluid aspirate was higher than normal, thus indicating a pathological condition of an inflammatory nature [7]. A comparison of therapeutic efficacy and tolerability of NSAIDs is also performed. It was found that tolfenamic acid was statistically superior to diclofenac-Na., etoricoxib has been superior to diclofenac-Na for the treatment of osteoarthritis of joints [12,15].

Arthrocentesis

Arthrocentesis is considered as a treatment method between nonsurgical treatment and arthroscopy or arthrotomy. Indications for arthrocentesis are radiological bone changes in TMJ characteristic to osteoarthritis and non effectiveness of conservative treatment with NSAIDs. The aim of arthrocentesis is to aspirate synovial fluid for diagnosis, to analyse the levels of mediators and cytokines in the aspirate, perform lavage of the joint space and insert medicaments in the joint space if indicated. Arthrocentesis is widely used in the treatment of TMJ disorders as well as diagnostic purposes [16,17]. Methods of arthrocentesis are as follows: direct method, pumping, single irrigation, irrigation under pressure, "push and pull technique" [18-21]. Good results have been achieved by intramuscular botulinum toxin injection additional to arthrocentesis in the management of TMJ disorders [22]. Arthrocentesis is performed under local anaesthesia usually with 2 mL 2% Lidocain solution by blocking the auriculotemporal nerve. Mainly the saline solution (NaCl 9 mg/mL) is used for irrigation (Figure 1).

Citation: Edvitar Leibur., *et al.* "Current Concepts in the Surgical Management for Temporomandibular Joint Osteoarthritis". *EC Orthopaedics* 12.6 (2021): 60-66.



Figure 1: The needle is inserted into posterior part of the upper joint compartment and connected with the three-way stopcock for arthrocentesis in the open mouth position.

Arthrocentesis can release intracapsular adhesions, especially these are released by irrigation under pressure in order to achieve resolution of symptoms. Filling upper compartment under pressure gives a possibility to lubricate the joint space, brake down adhesions, allowing remove degenerative products in the synovial fluid (calcificates, chondrmatosis granules). On the bases of our results [21-23] arthrocentesis with the push and pull technique offer good results with regard to reducing pain, increasing MIO and improving function. Stable access to the upper space of the TM joint and limited trauma are the advantages of arthrocentesis. Arthrocentesis is indicated. for Wilkes'stages II-III.

Arthroscopy

Arthroscopy is performd under visual control of internal TM joint structures. Indications for arthroscopy are patients with osteoarthritis who had undergone and failed to respond to the treatment with NSAIDs and ohter drugs or arhrocentesis, mainly the patients with Wilkes`stages III, IV, V. Figure 2 shows arthroscopy (arhroscope KARL STORZ GmbH&Co, KG) under nasotracheal general anaesthesia.



Figure 2: Forward - oblique telescope 30° (HOPKINS[®]) fiber optic light transmission incorporated and outflow cannula are inserted into the right upper TMJ space.

Beforehand a marking lines and puncture points were made on the skin surface. For distension of the superior compartement 1% lidocain solution 2 mL was injected Through a small skin incision from the tragus 1,0 cm a puncture with a trocar with a blunt obturator was inserted into posterior recess of the TMJ upper space. Another skin incision was made ca 0,75 cm from the first skin incision for an outflow cannula. In case of osteoarthritis several pathological changes are found as adhesions, fibrillations, irregularities of the articular surfaces, destruction of bone structures, calcifications etc. In some cases eburneation and chondromatosis granules are found (Figure 3A and 3B).



Figure 3: A. Superior compartment of the left TMJ. Adhesions and fibrillations with "crab meat" appearance, mild granulations, irregularities of condylar surface. Hyperaemia of the capsular wall. B. Superior compartment of the right TMJ, chondromatosis granules in the center, pronounced fibrous adhesions, fibrillations and fibres are seen. Synovial inflammation is localizing in the posterior part of the disc.

It is accepted that arthroscopy is a safe, minimally invasive means of treating TMJ osteoarthritis [24,25]. Pre- and postoperative MRI reveald that disc position remained anteriorly without reduction after arthroscopic surgery, disk mobility increased and deformity of the disks progressed [26]. It has been an effective method of TMJ disorders refractory to non-surgical treatments. Improvement in MIO and VAS data is also observed over the 5 -1 0 years period of time [24,27]. Application of TMJ arthroscopy in case of osteoarthritis have led to the acceptance this minimally invasive means in treating of intra-articular and degenerative TMJ problems.

Arthrotomy

Although some TMJ osteoarthritis patients are successfully treated by arhrocentesis or arthroscopic surgery [3,22,28] there are still patients for whom an open reduction is necessary with disc surgery. Erosions, large osteophytes on the condyle which are very difficult to shave arthroscopically need arthrotomy. Surgical interventions for arthrotomy are as follows:

- a) Disccoplasty, discectomy, discopexia
- b) Eminectomy
- c) High condylar osteotomy.

Central cartilaginous perforations need an arthrotomy and possibly discectomy. Arthrotomy and disc surgery are necessary e.g. in case of painful clicking in osteoarthritis patients with limited mouth opening with anteriorly displaced, non reducing disc, or it is perforated or

rigid, misshaped. Healing of the disk perforations is also described [3]. Disc repositioning using bioresorbable screws for the disc fixation to the condyle is reported [29]. Synovial chondromatosis is observed in the patients due to TMJ osteoarhritis where open reduction is indicated in order to remove nodules [30] (Figure 4).



Figure 4: Arthrotomy of the right TMJ. Capsule is incised and upper compartment is exposed. Numerous opalescent nodules are visible.

Open joint procedures are developed for reposition the displaced disc or to perform discoplasty. Ipsilateral myofacial temporal pedicle flap is used for the interpositional arthroplasty in disc formation [31].

The results of arthroscopic surgery and arthrotomy in two centers, using the Jaw Pain and Function Questionnaire were comparied and it was concluded that these treatment results with arthroscopic surgery were comparable with open reduction treatment [32]. The advantages of arthroscopy compared with arthrotomy are that arthroscopic surgery is less invasive and associated withlower morbidity.

Conclusion

In case of TMJ osteoarthritis it is important:

- a) To estimate the extent of osteoarhritis pathology and on the bases of received data to choose the least morbidity procedure.
- b) For the patients with episodic signs and symptoms a conservative treatment mainly with drugs (Wilkes` stages I-II) are indicated.
- c) For Wilkes'stages III-V arthrocentesis, arthroscopy or arthrotomy is indicated.

Bibliography

- 1. Wilkes CH. "Internal derangements of the temporomandibular joint". *Archives of Otolaryngology and Head Neck Surgery* 115.4 (1989): 469-477.
- Leibur E., *et al.* "Temporomandibular Joint Arthroscopy vs Arthrotomy". In: Regional Arthroscopy, INTECH-Open Access Publisher (2013): 61-95.
- 3. Holmlund AB and Axellsson S. "Temporomandibular arthropathy: correlation between clinical signs and symptoms and arthroscopic findings". *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica* 25.3 (1996): 266-271.

Citation: Edvitar Leibur., *et al.* "Current Concepts in the Surgical Management for Temporomandibular Joint Osteoarthritis". *EC Orthopaedics* 12.6 (2021): 60-66.

- 4. Jagur O., *et al.* "The associations of TMJ pain and bone characteristics on the activities of daily living". *Open Journal of Stomatology* 2.4 (2012): 237-243.
- 5. Voog Ü., *et al.* "Progression of radiographic changes in the temporomandibular joints of patients with rheumatoid arthritis in relation of inflammatory markers and mediators in the blood". *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica* 62.1 (2004): 7-13.
- 6. Voog Ü., *et al.* "Inflammatory mediators and radiographic changes in the temporomandibular joint in patients with rheumatoid arthritis". *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica* 61.1 (2003): 57-64.
- Leibur E., et al. "Temporomandibular Joint Synovial Fluid Components Levels; Implications for Arthrocentesis outcome". EC Orthopaedics 5.3 (2017): 100-107.
- Mutlu N., et al. "T102C polymorphism of the 5-HT2A receptor gene may be associated with temporomandibular dysfunction". Oral Diseases 10.6 (2004): 349-352.
- 9. Shad B., *et al.* "Potential genetic risk factors for chronic TMD. Associations from the OPPERA case Control Study". *Journal of Pain* 12.11 (2012): T92-T101.
- 10. Landes CA., *et al.* "3-D sonography for diagnosis of disc dislocation of the temporomandibular joint compared with MRI2". *Ultrasound Medical Biology* 32.5 (2007): 633-639.
- 11. Kajander A., et al. "Effect of tolfenamic acid in rheumatoid arthritis". Scandinavian Journal in Rheumatology 1.2 (1972): 91-96.
- 12. Legrand E. "Aceclofenak in the management of inflammatory pain". Expert Opinion Pharmacotherapy 5.6 (2004): 1347-1357.
- 13. Klein DC. and Raisz LG. "Prostaglandins: stimulation of bone resorption in tissue culture". Endocrinology 86.6 (1970): 1436-1440.
- 14. Leibur E. "Effect of prostaglandin E2 on embryonic alveolar bone in tissue culture". In: Tissue Biology, Tartu University Press 3 (1992): 9-12.
- 15. Zacher J., *et al.* "A comparison of the therapeutic efficacy and tolerability of etoricoxib and diclofenac in patients with osteoarthritis". *Current Medical Research Opinion* 19.8 (2003): 725-736.
- 16. Nitzan DW., et al. "Temporomandibular joint arthrocentesis. A simplified treatment for severe limited mouth opening". Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery 49.11 (1991): 1163-1167.
- 17. Alstergren P., et al. "Determination of temporomandibular joint fluid concentrations using vitamin B12 as an internal standard". European Journal of Oral Sciences 103.4 (1995): 214-218.
- Alpaslan G. and Alpaslan C. "Efficacy of TMJ arthrocentesis with and without injection of sodium hyaluronate in the treatment of internal derangements". *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 59.6 (2001): 613-618.
- Sanroman JF. "Closed lock (MRI fixed disc): a comparison of arthrocentesis and arthroscopy". International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery 33.4 (2004): 344-348.
- Guarda-Nardini L., et al. "Arthrocentesis of the temporomandibular joint: a proposal for a single-needle technique". Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontics 106.4 (2008): 483-486.

Citation: Edvitar Leibur., *et al.* "Current Concepts in the Surgical Management for Temporomandibular Joint Osteoarthritis". *EC Orthopaedics* 12.6 (2021): 60-66.

- 21. Leibur E., et al. "Temporomandibular joint arthrocentesis for the treatment of osteoarthritis". Stomatologija, Baltic Dental and Maxillofacial Journal 17.4 (2015): 113-117.
- 22. Ivask O., *et al.* "Intramuscular botulinum toxin injection additional to arthrocentesis in the management of temporomandibular joint pain". *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontics* 122.4 (2016): e99-e106.
- 23. Voog Ü., *et al.* "The effect of arthocentesis in the temporomandibular joint". In: The III Congress of the Baltic Association for Maxillofacial and Plastic Surgery; Tartu (1999): 75-76.
- 24. Leibur E., *et al.* "Long-term evaluation of arthroscopic surgery with lysis and lavage of temporomandibular joint disorders". *Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery* 38.8 (2010): 615-620.
- Leibur E., et al. "Temporomandibular Joint Arthroscopy". In. Modern Arthroscopy, Ed. Jason L. Dragoo. INTECH Open Access Publisher (2011): 1-26.
- 26. Ohnuki T., *et al.* "Magnetic resonance evaluation of the disc before and after arthroscopic surgery for temporomandibular disorders". *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontics* 96.2 (2003): 141-148.
- 27. Murakami K., *et al.* "Outcome of arthroscopic surgery for internal derangement of the temporomandibular joint: lõng-term results covering 10 years". *Journal of Craniomaxillofacial Surgery* 28.5 (2000): 264-277.
- Krug J., et al. "Influence of discoplasty and discectomy of temporomandibular joint on elimination of pain and restricted mouth opening". Acta Medica (Hradec Kralove) 47.1 (2004): 47-53.
- 29. Sembronio S., *et al.* "Disc repositioning surgery of the temporomandibular joint using bioresorbable screws". *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 35.12 (2006). 1149-1152.
- Ivask o., et al. "Synovial chondromatosis of the temporomandibular joint: a case report with review of the literature". Stomatologija: Baltic Dental and Maxillofacial Journal 17.3 (2015): 97-101.
- Nestal-Zibo H., et al. "Use of the suture anchor in interpositional arthroplasty of temporomandibular joint ankylosis". International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery 16 (2012): 157-162.
- Undt G., *et al.* "Open versus arthroscopic surgery for internal derangement of the temporomandibular joint: A retrospective study comparing two centers results using Jaw Pain and Function Questionnaire". *Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery* 34,4 (2006): 234-241.

Volume 12 Issue 6 Jane 2021 ©All rights reserved by Edvitar Leibur., *et al.*