

Do Clinical and Demographic Characteristics Affect Pain Self-Efficacy in Chronic Disease Patients Undergoing Occupational Therapy? A Cross-Sectional Study in Greece

Foteini Terzaki^{1*}, Maria Tsironi² and Paraskevi Theofilou¹

¹School of Social Sciences, Hellenic Open University, Patra, Greece

²Department of Nursing, University of Peloponnese, Tripoli, Greece

***Corresponding Author:** Foteini Terzaki, School of Social Sciences, Hellenic Open University, Patra, Greece.

Received: December 20, 2022; **Published:** December 28, 2022

Abstract

The purpose of this research is to study the correlation of pain self - efficacy to the time of occupational therapy, gender, age and the years that have passed since the diagnosis. Sixty three individuals participated in the present study, all chronic disease patients. The results indicated statistically significant differences in pain self-efficacy, where women comparatively seemed to enjoy higher levels than men. A statistically significant monotonic negative correlation appeared between age and pain self-efficacy. It is obvious that demographic characteristics affect pain self-efficacy in chronic disease patients but not clinical characteristics.

Keywords: Occupational Therapy; Pain Self-Efficacy; Chronic Disease; Clinical Characteristics; Demographic Characteristics

Introduction

Pain, according to the classification subcommittee (Subcommittee of Taxonomy) of IASP (International Association for the Study of the Pain), is defined as a unpleasant aesthetic and emotional experience that is associated with already existing or potential tissue damage or which is described as such [1].

Pain measurement can be used in the context of diagnostic purposes, for therapeutic approaches and to evaluate the effectiveness of a therapy. Answers to questionnaires can reveal its nature, onset, focus and morphology pain, providing important diagnostic data [2,3]. There are many reliable and valid ones available pain self - assessment methods. The McGill Pain Questionnaire consists of three items main sections, which represent the aesthetic, the emotional and cognitive component of pain, respectively [4]. The Wisconsin Brief Pain Questionnaire is a short multidimensional questionnaire, which has been used extensively in patients who suffer from cancer and arthritis [5].

The Memorial Pain Assessment Card (MPAC) blames pain, relief from it, as well and patient disposition using visual proportional scales combined with word list, from which the patient chooses the ones that describe her intensity of his pain [6]. Psychological assessment can be demonstrated potentially very useful, especially in situations where pain alters the patient's ability to participate in his daily activities,

affects the relationships his relationships with others and causes him disproportionate emotional charge. Also, it can be proven useful in choosing a coping strategy of pain in terms of the psychological field, as well and in the behavioral domain [7]. Her polyphasic personality questionnaire Minnesota (Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory, MMPI) is the most commonly used for the assessment of personality traits in patients suffering from chronic pain [8,9]. Here, it should be noted that the tension is the most subjective from the characteristics of pain. Value- is scored with the help of rating scales. The scales they try to give subjective experience one as objective as possible [10]. Visual analog scales, numerical and verbal scales are included in measurement scales based on the self-assessment of specific parameters of pain.

Many studies have dealt with the pain of chronic disease patients [11-13]. In a study conducted in Switzerland in 2013, 123 patients participated with chronic renal failure who were undergoing hemodialysis (HA). Total of patients reported pain and fatigue as major annoyances, with 66% considering pain as the dominant nuisance. From the findings of the study the association of pain with symptoms emerged, such as shortness of breath, fatigue/weakness, anorexia, nausea/ vomiting, constipation, anxiety, sleep disorders. The most frequent pain reported was musculoskeletal tic (64%) followed by headache (31%) and cramps (20%) which are the main complaints during hemodialysis [11].

In another study, 224 hemodialysis patients completed the MVQOLI-15 and the Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire. The study was conducted in four dialysis centers in hospitals of the region of Peloponnese. The lower the quality of life enjoyed by hemodialysis patients, the more effective the self-efficacy in pain. Most of respondents assessed the overall quality of life as "moderate," while the pain self-efficacy depended on complications or comorbidity that accompanies the hemodialysis process [14].

Silva., *et al.* [15] studied quality of life, self-efficacy and pain intensity in people with chronic disease. The sample included 95 individuals suffering from chronic pain. The results showed that individuals with chronic pain and high degree of self-efficacy might present more favorable quality of life and lower pain intensity.

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this research is to study the correlation of the pain self-efficacy to the age, gender, time of occupational therapy and the years that have passed since the diagnosis.

Methods

It is a cross-sectional quantitative study including the dependent variable of pain self - efficacy as well as the independent variables (gender, age, time of occupational therapy and the years that have passed since the diagnosis).

Sixty three individuals participated in the present study, all chronic disease patients. Of the above patients, according to the research design, 32 patients (50.8%) receive Occupational Therapy while 31 patients (49.2%) did not receive. The inclusion criteria for the sample's selection were diagnosed with a chronic disease, > 18 years old and speaking the Greek fluently.

For the implementation of this research, two questionnaires were used: Questionnaire for the demographic data and questionnaire for the pain self-efficacy. In more detail, the research tools are described below.

The demographic data of the sample included closed-ended questions, where gender, age, place of residence, occupation, etc. were specifically investigated.

To measure pain self-efficacy, Pain Self-efficacy Questionnaire (PSEQ) was used. In its original form, the PSEQ consisted of 10 questions [16] and created in 1980 by Michael Nicholas [17]. Furthermore, it has been used in Portuguese patients [18] with chronic musculoskel-

etal pain but also in Chinese patients [19] with chronic pain under physical therapy. It can be applied to all clinical situations of the pain. It covers a wide range of functions, including household chores, social fatigue, work, and coping of pain without drugs. It takes two min to be completed [17]. The specific questionnaire also explores value- calculates the patient's ability to perform routine tasks activities and to have a smooth individual, family life and social life despite the presence of chronic pain in his individual medical history. It consists of 10 questions, with which effectiveness is examined chronic pain management by the patient himself, even without the administration of analgesic therapy. To construct the corresponding score, sum- the patients' answers to the 10 questions are shown. THE coding of the responses is as follows: 0 = not at all sure, 1 = somewhat sure, 2 = not so sure, 3 = don't know/don't answer, 4 = enough sure, 5 = very sure and 6 = absolutely sure. Therefore, the score ranges from 0 - 60, with a high score indicating greater effectiveness in pain management [19].

For the conduct of the research, the questionnaires were distributed electronically, through the Google forms platform. The researcher communicated with occupational therapists and doctors working in rehabilitation centers, in order to forward the questionnaires to a sample of patients. The patients completed electronically and anonymously the questionnaires or with the help of their family. Before completing the questionnaire, the patients had to agree to ethical conditions, related to anonymity, confidentiality and the assurance that the results will be used strictly and only in the context of the statistical analysis of the research. The responses, after being coded, were processed with the statistical package spssv19. To analyze the descriptive statistics, frequency, mean value and standard deviation were calculated with simultaneous visualization in histograms or bar graphs as appropriate. Moreover, parametric tests were used, such as the Independent Sample's test statistical test and Pearson correlation.

Results

The purpose of this research is to study the correlation of the pain self-efficacy to the time of occupational therapy, gender, age and the years that have passed since the diagnosis.

Sixty three individuals participated in the present study, all chronic disease patients. Of the above patients, according to the research design, 32 patients (50.8%) receive occupational therapy while 31 patients (49.2%) did not receive.

Recipients of occupational therapy services

As previously mentioned, there are 32 receiving occupational therapy services (50.8% of the total sample). Of these, 29.0% are men (9 people) and 71.0% are women (22 people) while we also have a missing value. The average age of those receiving occupational therapy services is 52.56 years (SD: 16.49), while the median age is 56 years. Ages range from 19 to 94 years. In continuation of the above, those receiving occupational therapy services have been diagnosed with the disease for an average of 10.31 years (SD: 10.751), while the median number of years that have passed since the diagnosis of the disease is 8.00 years. In addition, the range of years that have passed since the diagnosis of the disease is 59, ranging from 1 to 60.

Finally, and regarding the frequency of receiving occupational therapy services, the sample was asked in an open-ended question about the time they receive occupational therapy services and the frequency with which they receive them ("If you receive occupational therapy services, how long do you receive them and how often"). To capture the results, the frequency of download was divided into times/week and correspondingly the total duration of download into years. It was found that the average weekly frequency of receiving occupational therapy services amounts to 2.34 times/week (SD: 1.54). Accordingly, the minimum weekly frequency of receiving occupational therapy services is 0.5 times/week (once every two weeks) while the maximum is 7 times/week (every day). Accordingly, the average years of receiving occupational therapy services amounts to 2.23 (SD: 2.37). The years of receiving occupational therapy services range from 0.25 years (one quarter) to 10 years.

Non-recipients of occupational therapy services

Accordingly, and in continuation with the above, there are a total of 31 people not receiving Occupational Therapy services, of which 32.3% (10 people) are men while the remaining 67.7% (21 people) are women. Looking at the age distribution of those not receiving Occupational Therapy services, we can see that the minimum age is 24 years, while the maximum is 78 years. Mean age is 50.65 years (SD: 15.58) while median age is 52 years. Examining the years that have passed since the diagnosis of the disease, for those not receiving Occupational Therapy services, we can find that the average value is 9.97 years (SD: 11.71) and in addition the minimum value is 1 year and the maximum 62.

Pain self-efficacy

We can find that the average value of the sample regarding self-efficacy amounts to 39.10 (SD: 13.25), from which we can conclude that the sample appears moderately self-efficacious. Beyond this, the minimum value of self-efficacy amounts to 11,000 while the corresponding maximum to 64,00. The median price is 41.00, while the prevailing price is 50.00.

We therefore found that the sample appears moderately self-efficacious overall. For a better approximation of self-efficacy, we will calculate the average value for each of the statements that make up the self-efficacy scale. It is obvious that values close to 0 correspond to a low occurrence of the measured property, while values close to 6 correspond to a high occurrence of it.

Pain self-efficacy by gender

To examine the difference in pain self-efficacy according to gender, we will first present the descriptive statistics for the two genders and then implement the independent samples t-test. From the examination of the mean value on the said scale, we can find that Women show a comparatively higher pain self-efficacy with the corresponding mean value amounting to 41.74 (SD: 12.20) comparatively higher than the self-efficacy of men for which the mean value of the scale is 33.05 (SD: 14.22).

In order to examine the statistical significance of the difference shown, we will implement the statistical control –t-test of independent samples after having previously formulated the null and alternative research hypothesis: H0: There is no statistically significant difference in mean pain self-efficacy for both sexes H1: There is a statistically significant difference in mean pain self-efficacy for both sexes.

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
Pain self-efficacy	Equal variances assumed	,822	,368	-2,457	60	,017	-8,69155	3,53733	-15,76728	-1,61583
	Equal variances not assumed			-2,314	30,250	,028	-8,69155	3,75542	-16,35849	-1,02462

Table 1: Differences in pain self-efficacy for both sexes.

First from the results of Levene’s test we can find that with $F = 0.822$, $p = 0.368 > 0.05$ that the null hypothesis of equality of variances between the two groups cannot be rejected. Next, from the t-test statistical control we can find that with $t = -2.457$, $df = 60$. $p = 0.017 <$

0.05 the null hypothesis is rejected and therefore there is a statistically significant difference in mean pain self-efficacy between the two sexes, with females showing comparatively higher self-efficacy.

Self-efficacy in pain and its correlation with age

Next, we will examine the existence of a correlation between age and pain self-efficacy. First, we will plot the two variables and then calculate the Pearson correlation coefficient.

From the result, we can see that there is a negative correlation between age and measured pain self-efficacy, in the sense that pain self-efficacy decreases with increasing age. From the calculation of the Pearson correlation coefficient, we can see that with $r = -0.404$, $p = 0.001 < 0.05$ there is a statistically significant, negative and moderately strong correlation between the two variables which is also statistically significant. In conclusion, we can find that age is moderately and negatively correlated with pain self-efficacy in the sense that an increase in age is expected to bring about a decrease in pain self-efficacy.

		Age	Pain Self-Efficacy
Age	Pearson Correlation	1	-,404**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,001
Pain Self-efficacy	Pearson Correlation	-,404**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,001	

Table 2: Pearson correlation coefficient of pain self-efficacy and age.

Pain self-efficacy and its correlation with years since illness

Accordingly and in order to examine pain self-efficacy and its association with years since the disease, we will plot the two variables.

From the result we can conclude that no correlation seems to be found between the two variables, while the two variables seem to fall into a negative correlation. Indeed, from the Pearson correlation coefficient with $r = -0.112$, $p = 0.391 > 0.05$, no statistically significant linear correlation emerges.

		Pain self-efficacy	Years since disease diagnosis
Years since disease diagnosis	Pearson Correlation	1	-,112
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,391
Pain self-efficacy	Pearson Correlation	-,112	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,391	

Table 3: Pearson correlation coefficient of pain self-efficacy and years since diagnosis.

Pain self-efficacy and its correlation with weekly frequency of receiving

Occupational therapy services in order to examine the chance association between pain self-efficacy and frequency of receipt of occupational therapy services, we will calculate the Pearson correlation coefficient after first plotting the two variables.

We can see that the two variables do not appear to be linearly related. Indeed, from the calculation of the Pearson correlation coefficient, with $r = -0.300$, $p = 0.101 > 0.05$, no statistically significant correlation between them emerges.

		Inpatient frequency of receiving occupational therapy	Pain self-efficacy
Inpatient frequency of receiving occupational therapy	Pearson Correlation	1	-,300
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,101
Pain self-efficacy	Pearson Correlation	-,300	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,101	

Table 4: Pearson correlation coefficient for pain self-efficacy and weekly frequency of receiving occupational therapy services.

Discussion

The results indicate significant differences in pain self-efficacy, where women comparatively seem to enjoy higher levels than men. A significant negative correlation appears between age and pain self-efficacy. It is obvious that demographic characteristics affect pain self-efficacy in chronic disease patients but not clinical characteristics.

Specifically, from the analysis of the results of those receiving Occupational Therapy services, statistically significant differences were identified in pain self-efficacy between the two genders, where women seem to enjoy comparatively higher levels than men. Maybe this could be explained based on the fact that, in general, females accept their health status easier compared to males. In any case, this finding is not in agreement with several studies on chronic diseases, presenting female patients feeling more depressed than males and with lower quality of life [20-24].

In addition, in those receiving Occupational Therapy services, a statistically significant and negative correlation was found between age and pain self efficacy, which means that older people do not present high efficacy to manage pain. Maybe this could be explained based on the fact that older patients are more vulnerable facing many problems and co morbidities in their health. This finding is in agreement with other studies indicating that older people present lower level of efficacy [25].

Conclusion

In continuation of the above, no statistically significant correlation is observed between the years that have passed since the diagnosis of the disease and pain self-efficacy as well as between the weekly frequency of receiving Occupational Therapy services and pain self-efficacy.

Last but not least, this study had some limitations due to its small sample. It is noted that the results can be further investigated in larger samples from other groups of chronic disease patients. In future research there may be the possibility of investigating other factors (e.g. level of satisfaction from occupational therapy) that are related to or affect the levels of quality of life.

Bibliography

1. International Association for the Study of Pain. Classification of chronic pain. 2nd edition. Seattle, IASP Press (1994).
2. Hagger MS and Orbell S. "A meta-analytic review of the common sense model of illness representations". *Psychology and Health* 18 (2003): 141-184.
3. Turk DC and Monarch ES. "Biopsychosocial perspective on chronic pain". New York, Guilford (2002).
4. Melzack R. "The McGill Pain Questionnaire: Major properties and scoring methods". *Pain* 1 (1975): 277-299.

5. Daut RL, et al. "Development of the Wisconsin Brief Pain Questionnaire to assess pain in cancer and other diseases". *Pain* 17 (1983): 197-210.
6. Minasidou E and Lemonidou X. "Quality of life of elderly people diseases and the theory of the social production of functions". *Nursing* 44 (2005): 202-211.
7. Lau E. "Assessment of pain. Greek Society Seminar of Pain Treatment and Palliative Care "Treatment of Pain". *Arta* (2012).
8. Ahles TA, et al. "The multidimensional nature of cancer-related pain". *Pain* 17 (1983): 277-288.
9. McGuire DB. "Cancer-related pain: A multidimensional approach". *Dissertation Abstracts International* 48 (1987): 705.
10. International Association for the Study of Pain; Task force on acute pain. Management of acute pain: A practical guide. Seattle, IASP Publications (1992).
11. Gamondi C, et al. "Frequency and severity of pain and symptom distress among patients with chronic kidney disease receiving dialysis". *Swiss Medical Weekly* 143 (2013): w13750.
12. Bruera E, et al. "The Edmonton staging system for cancer pain: Preliminary report". *Pain* 37 (1989): 203-209.
13. Davison SN and Jhangri GS. "The impact of chronic pain on depression, sleep, and the desire to withdraw from dialysis in hemodialysis patients". *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management* 30 (2005): 465-473.
14. Zyga S, et al. "Management of Pain and Quality of Life in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease Undergoing Hemodialysis". *Pain Management Nursing* 16.5 (2015): 712-720.
15. Silva MS, et al. "Self-efficacy, pain intensity, and quality of life in individuals with chronic pain". *Revista Eletrônica de Enfermagem* 18 (2016): e1145.
16. Theofilou P, et al. "Measuring pain self efficacy and health related quality of life among hemodialysis patients in Greece: A cross-sectional study". *Health Psychology Research* 1 (2013): 74-78.
17. Tonkin L. "The Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire". *Australian Journal of Physiotherapy* 54 (2008): 77.
18. Ferreira-Valente MA, et al. "Psychometric properties of the Portuguese version of the Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire". *Acta Reumatologica Portuguesa* 36 (2011): 260-267.
19. Vong SK, et al. "Measurement structure of the Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire in a sample of Chinese patients with chronic pain". *Clinical Rehabilitation* 23 (2009): 1034-1043.
20. Vazquez I, et al. "[Differences in health-related quality of life between male and female hemodialysis patients]". *Nefrologia* 24.2 (2004): 167-178.
21. Gottlieb SS, et al. "The influence of age, gender, and race on the prevalence of depression in heart failure patients". *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 43.9 (2004): 1542-1549.
22. Di Marco F, et al. "Anxiety and depression in COPD patients: The roles of gender and disease severity". *Respiratory Medicine* 100.10 (2006): 1767-1774.
23. Oikonomidou G, et al. "Depression in patients with chronic renal failure". *Dialysis Living* 14 (2005): 22-32.

24. Theofilou P. "Depression and Anxiety in Patients with Chronic Renal Failure: The Effect of Sociodemographic Characteristics". *International Journal of Nephrology* (2011): 514070.
25. Theofilou P, *et al.* "Reliability of the Greek Version of "Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire" in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease". *Hellenic Journal of Nursing* 53.2 (2014): 175-184.

Volume 5 Issue 1 January 2023

©All rights reserved by Foteini Terzaki, *et al.*