

Assessing Psychomotor Speed in Neurological Disorders and Diseases

Elham Foroozandeh*

Department of Educational and Psychological Services, Nae. C., Islamic Azad University, Naein, Iran

***Corresponding Author:** Elham Foroozandeh, Department of Educational and Psychological Services, Nae. C., Islamic Azad University, Naein, Iran.

Received: September 01, 2025; **Published:** September 22, 2025

Psychomotor speed, a fundamental component of cognitive function, refers to the time it takes to process information and initiate a motor response. This seemingly simple process is, in reality, a highly complex function involving multiple interconnected neural pathways, including sensory perception, cognitive processing, motor planning, and execution. The intricate coordination of these systems is primarily mediated by the fronto-striatal circuits, the cerebellum, and the association cortices. Consequently, a decline in psychomotor speed is a sensitive and early indicator of neurological dysfunction. This article provides an overview of the assessment of psychomotor speed in various neurological disorders and diseases, highlighting the utility of specific neuropsychological tests as diagnostic and prognostic tools [1].

The slowing of psychomotor speed, often termed bradykinesia or psychomotor retardation, is a hallmark symptom across a wide spectrum of neurological conditions. In neurodegenerative diseases like Parkinson's disease (PD), psychomotor slowing is a core motor symptom, resulting from the degeneration of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra. Similarly, in Multiple Sclerosis (MS), demyelination of nerve fibers disrupts the rapid transmission of electrical signals, leading to a global slowing of information processing. Even in conditions like Alzheimer's disease (AD), while memory impairment is the most prominent feature, psychomotor slowing is a significant early-stage sign, reflecting widespread cerebral pathology. The evaluation of psychomotor speed is therefore crucial not only for diagnosis but also for monitoring disease progression and assessing treatment efficacy [2].

A variety of standardized neuropsychological tests are utilized to quantify psychomotor speed. These instruments are designed to isolate different aspects of the cognitive-motor interface, from simple reaction time to more complex visuo-motor and executive functions.

The clock drawing test (CDT)

The clock drawing test (CDT) is a widely-used and highly effective screening tool that evaluates a patient's visuospatial and executive functions, as well as their psychomotor speed. The test requires the patient to draw a clock face with all the numbers and set the hands to a specific time, typically "ten past eleven." While the final drawing provides insights into visuospatial and conceptual abilities, the process of drawing itself is revealing. Digital versions of the CDT, which capture pen strokes and timing, can provide granular data on psychomotor components, such as latency to begin drawing, time to draw the face, and time to place the numbers and hands. Delays in these individual components can indicate psychomotor slowing, even if the final drawing appears relatively intact. In diseases like Alzheimer's and related dementias, the CDT is a powerful instrument for detecting subtle cognitive decline that may not be apparent in other screening tests [3].

The trail making test (TMT)

The trail making test (TMT) is a gold standard in neuropsychological assessment, renowned for its sensitivity to processing speed, attention, and executive function. The test consists of two parts, A and B. In TMT-A, the patient must connect circles containing numbers (1-25) in ascending order as quickly as possible. This part primarily assesses visuo-motor tracking and simple processing speed. The time taken to complete TMT-A is a direct measure of psychomotor speed, with longer completion times indicating impairment. TMT-B is a more complex task, requiring the patient to alternate between connecting numbers and letters in sequential order (1-A-2-B, etc.). The time difference between TMT-B and TMT-A provides a measure of cognitive flexibility and set-shifting, but the raw scores for both parts are valuable indicators of psychomotor function. Performance on the TMT is significantly impaired in conditions affecting frontal and subcortical pathways, such as traumatic brain injury, Parkinson's disease, and vascular dementia [4].

The Wechsler digit span test

While not a direct measure of psychomotor speed in the same vein as the TMT, the Wechsler digit span test is a crucial component of a comprehensive neuropsychological battery that provides indirect insights into cognitive slowing. This test assesses auditory working memory, with two main subtests: "Digits Forward" and "Digits Backward". In Digits Forward, the patient must repeat a sequence of numbers in the same order as presented by the examiner. In Digits Backward, the patient must repeat the sequence in reverse order. The speed and accuracy of verbal repetition can be influenced by psychomotor factors, particularly in the motor-speech aspect of the response. The test's dual nature allows for the differentiation between a simple attentional deficit (Digits Forward) and a more complex working memory or executive dysfunction (Digits Backward), which often correlates with general psychomotor slowing observed in other tests. For instance, a patient with Parkinson's disease might perform adequately on Digits Forward but struggle with the cognitive manipulation required for Digits Backward, a finding that supports the presence of executive dysfunction in addition to psychomotor slowing [5].

Finger tapping tests

Finger tapping tests represent a simple and highly standardized method for the direct evaluation of psychomotor speed and fine motor function. In this test, the individual is instructed to rapidly and repetitively tap a button or a surface with their index finger for a specified duration, typically 10 to 30 seconds. The total number of taps within this timeframe is recorded. This instrument specifically measures motor speed, coordination, and dexterity without significant reliance on complex cognitive processes like memory or reasoning. The results of the Finger Tapping Test can be indicative of deficits in the motor pathways of the central nervous system, making it an invaluable tool for the diagnosis and monitoring of disease progression in conditions such as Parkinson's disease, Multiple Sclerosis (MS), and following traumatic brain injuries. A decrease in tapping speed often serves as a sensitive and early sign of underlying neuromuscular impairment [6,7].

Reaction time tests

Reaction time tests are among the most fundamental and precise tools for quantifying psychomotor speed. They provide a direct measure of the time elapsed between the presentation of a stimulus and the initiation of a motor response. This category of assessment is typically divided into two core types: Simple reaction time (SRT) and choice reaction time (CRT). Simple reaction time measures the speed of a single, predetermined motor response to a single stimulus (e.g. pressing a button immediately upon hearing a tone). It captures the most basic neural efficiency of a stimulus-response loop, involving sensory processing and motor execution with minimal cognitive load. In contrast, choice reaction time introduces an element of cognitive complexity, requiring the individual to select the correct response from multiple options based on the specific stimulus presented (e.g. pressing a left button for a red light and a right button for a blue light). The time taken for CRT reflects not only sensorimotor speed but also the efficiency of decision-making, cognitive processing, and response

selection. Impairment in both SRT and CRT is a sensitive indicator of neurological slowing and is frequently observed in a wide range of conditions, from diffuse cerebral dysfunction to specific neurodegenerative disorders [8-11].

The animal naming test

The animal naming test, also known as “semantic verbal fluency”, is a common neuropsychological tool used to assess verbal retrieval, semantic memory, and executive function. While it doesn’t directly measure psychomotor speed like the trail making test or a finger-tapping task, an individual’s performance on it is heavily influenced by this speed, providing valuable insights into the domain. In this test, the individual is instructed to name as many animals as they can within a specified time frame, typically 60 seconds. The examiner records the total number of unique and correct names generated. A variation, known as “phonemic verbal fluency”, asks the individual to name words starting with a specific letter (e.g. ‘F’, ‘A’, ‘S’). Comparing the results of these two tests can help pinpoint the exact nature of a cognitive deficit. Performance on the animal naming test is closely linked to “psychomotor speed” because it requires the individual to rapidly access information from their long-term semantic memory, organize their thoughts, and articulate words in a continuous stream. Any slowing in these processes will result in a lower total score. In a neuropsychological context, this test is highly useful for several reasons. First, it is sensitive to frontal lobe dysfunction. The task of generating and organizing a list of items is largely mediated by the frontal lobes. A reduction in the number of words produced, or an increase in repetitions and rule violations, can be a precise indicator of executive dysfunction, which is often associated with a global slowing of psychomotor processing. Second, the test can help differentiate between various types of cognitive impairment. For instance, in Alzheimer’s disease (AD), individuals often show a disproportionate decline in semantic verbal fluency (e.g. animal naming) compared to phonemic fluency, which reflects damage to temporal lobe structures. In contrast, patients with frontotemporal dementia (FTD) or conditions affecting subcortical pathways, like Parkinson’s disease, may show impairments in both types of fluency due to more widespread deficits in executive function and processing speed [12].

Psychomotor speed serves as a sensitive and critical index for assessing neurocognitive function. A decline in this speed is an early hallmark of disruptions within the brain’s intricate neural circuits, commonly observed in diseases such as Parkinson’s disease, multiple sclerosis, and Alzheimer’s disease. A range of standardized neuropsychological tools, including the clock drawing test, the trail making test, finger tapping tests, and various reaction time tests and animal naming test are instrumental in precisely evaluating this function. Each of these assessments targets distinct facets of the cognitive-motor interface, providing valuable insights into slowed information processing and motor impairments. These evaluations are not only crucial for early diagnosis but also enable clinicians to monitor disease progression and gauge the efficacy of therapeutic interventions. Ultimately, the assessment of psychomotor speed extends beyond a mere diagnostic function; it acts as a vital metric for clinical research and patient monitoring. The precision in measuring this speed helps us identify subtle neurological deficits that might be overlooked by other assessments. Therefore, a comprehensive evaluation of psychomotor speed should be an integral component of any clinical workup for neurological disorders, facilitating more accurate diagnoses, improved treatment planning, and better prognostic predictions. As digital technologies advance, we can anticipate the development of even more precise and sensitive tools for analyzing psychomotor data.

Bibliography

1. Changiz Tahereh., *et al.* “A narrative review of psychomotor abilities in medical sciences: Definition, categorization, tests, and training”. *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences: The Official Journal of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences* 26 (2021): 69.
2. Bailon Olivier., *et al.* “Psychomotor slowing in mild cognitive impairment, Alzheimer’s disease and Lewy body dementia: mechanisms and diagnostic value”. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders* 29.5 (2010): 388-396.

3. Aprahamian Ivan., *et al.* "The Clock Drawing Test: A review of its accuracy in screening for dementia". *Dementia and Neuropsychologia* 3.2 (2009): 74-81.
4. Guo Yihan. "A selective review of the ability for variants of the Trail Making Test to assess cognitive impairment". *Applied Neuropsychology. Adult* 29.6 (2022): 1634-1645.
5. Egeland Jens., *et al.* "Measuring working memory span with WAIS-IV: Digit sequence is the superior span test". *Applied Neuropsychology. Adult* (2025): 1-8.
6. Suzumura Shota., *et al.* "Finger tapping test for assessing the risk of mild cognitive impairment". *Hong Kong Journal of Occupational Therapy: HKJOT* 35.2 (2022): 137-145.
7. Lan Boon Leong and Jacob Hsiao Wen Yeo. "Comparison of computer-key-hold-time and alternating-finger-tapping tests for early-stage Parkinson's disease". *PloS one* 14.6 (2019): e0219114.
8. Lahera Guillermo., *et al.* "Reaction time, processing speed and sustained attention in schizophrenia: impact on social functioning" [Tiempo de reacción, velocidad de procesamiento y atención sostenida en esquizofrenia: impacto sobre el funcionamiento social]. *Revista de Psiquiatria y Salud Mental* 10.4 (2017): 197-205.
9. Schworer Emily K., *et al.* "Evaluating processing speed and reaction time outcome measures in children and adolescents with Down syndrome". *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 20.6 (2023): 5202.
10. Hughes Abbey J., *et al.* "Reaction time and rapid serial processing measures of information processing speed in multiple sclerosis: complexity, compounding, and augmentation". *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society: JINS* 17.6 (2011): 1113-1121.
11. Khode Vitthal., *et al.* "Detection of cognitive impairment by choice auditory reaction time (ART) and visual reaction time (VRT)s during acute mental stress in young hypertensives: a case control study". *Current Hypertension Reviews* 18.1 (2022): 64-69.
12. Ortiz-Treviño Juan F., *et al.* "Stroop test, Quickstroop, and the 1-min animal naming test for minimal hepatic encephalopathy diagnosis: A multicenter study in Mexico". *Annals of Hepatology* 29.6 (2024): 101531.

Volume 17 Issue 10 October 2025

©All rights reserved by Elham Foroozandeh.