Microbial Biofilms as Biofertilizers

Hammed BA*

Food Biotechnology Department, Ural Federal University, Russia

*Corresponding Author: Hammed BA, Food Biotechnology Department, Ural Federal University, Russia.

Received: February 24, 2025; Published: February 26, 2025

Abstract

This review attempts to evaluate the impact of microbial biofilm biofertilizers on plant growth using studies conducted between 2020 to 2024. It was inferred that biofilm biofertilizers stimulated plant growth by promoting solubilization of nutrients (P, N, K) thus enhancing seed germination. It also increases Indoleacetic acid (IAA), siderophore, chlorophyll a and b production consequently promoting root, shoot and leaf development under stressed and unstressed abiotic conditions.

Keywords: Microbial Biofilms; Biofertilizers; Indoleacetic Acid (IAA)

Introduction

In natural environments, majority of microorganisms exist in polysaccharide encased consortium referred to as Biofilms, which protects them from various harsh environmental conditions [1]. Biofilms are formed by numerous bacteria (both gram positive and gram negative) [2] and could be a single/uniform (bacteria-bacteria, fungi-fungi) or mixed communities (bacteria-fungi, bacteria-algae, fungi-bacteria-algae, etc.) of microorganisms. Microbial biofilms serve as plant growth promoting agents when employed as biofertilizers [3]. Biofilm biofertilizer (BFBF) is a fertilizer that contains a healthy microbial community that has evolved in biofilm mode and has enormous potential benefits [2] which include promotion of soil structure, stabilization and solubilization of nutrients and soil water retention capacity consequently increasing plant growth and crop yield. These activities of biofilm fertilizers is particularly pronounced in marginal soils where nutrient availability and soil structure are often compromised [4].

Impact of microbial biofilm biofertilizer on plant growth

| Microorganism | Plants | Study outcomes and Impact on plant growth | Author |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Rhizobacterial strains; <i>Ochrobactrum</i> <i>intermedium</i> sp. and <i>Lysinibacillus</i> <i>macrolides</i> | Wheat | Increase in root and shoot wet weight <i>in-vitro</i> , maximum phosphate content was recorded in biofilm inoculated plants. Increase in nitrogen, chlorophyll a and b as well as relative water content. Significant increase in spike length and grain weight as well as Indoleacetic acid (IAA), siderophores and salt stress tolerance in BFBF inoculated plants. | [5] |
| <i>Bacillus subtilis and Curtobacterium cit- reum,</i> however, only <i>B. subtilis</i> produced EPS | Maize | Significantly high values of IAA production and solubilization of phosphate, enhancing plant's ability to tolerate water insuf- ficiency and relatively improved root and shoot development. | [6] |

Citation: Hammed BA. "Microbial Biofilms as Biofertilizers". EC Microbiology 21.3 (2025): 01-03.

Microbial Biofilms as Biofertilizers

| Halotolerant biofilm forming Rhizo- | tomatoes | Approximately 75% of the biofilm bacteria were able to solu- | [7] |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| bacteria (Glutamicibacter arilaitensis, | | bilize phosphate, 26% were able to solubilize potassium, 79% | |
| G. nicotianae, Enterobacter ludwigii, E. | | produced various types of siderophores, 74% showed high | |
| cloacae, Exiguobacterium acetylicum, | | salt tolerance (in 15% NaCl), 79% grew at pH 4 and all isolates | |
| Staphylococcus saprophyticus, Leclercia | | grew at pH 9 and 10. There was less electrolyte leakage in | |
| adecarboxylata, Pseudomonas poae, P. putida and Bacillus subtilis. | | seawater-induced salt stressed plant inoculated with biofilm | |
| | | bacteria and high relative water content in leaves. Significant | |
| | | levels of chl a and chl b, 21% and 11% synthesis respectively | |
| | | was recorded in plants inoculated with biofilm bacteria, all of | |
| | | which culminated to the protection of plant cells from oxida- | |
| | | tive damage in saline environment and non-stress conditions | |
| Fungal-bacteria biofilm (Bacillus pumi- | Potato | A combination of 50% chemical fertilizer and biofilm biofertil- | [8] |
| lus, B. subtilis, Bradyrhizobium japoni- | | izer produced the maximum yield of potatoes as a result of | |
| cum and Trichoderma harzianum) with | | enhanced soil nutrients levels. Interestingly however, there | |
| 50% chemical fertilizer | | was no significant difference in the tuber yield from chemical | |
| | | fertilizer alone and biofilm fertilizer alone in regions where | |
| | | potato cultivation was not so favorable. There was also no | |
| | | significant difference in the soil physiochemical parameters | |
| | | for this region although phosphate availability in soil with the | |
| | | biofilm biofertilizer was significantly higher than the chemical | |
| | | fertilizer applied soil in the first growing season. | |
| Bacteria-fungi biofilm with 50% chemi- | Rice | Significant increase in thousand grain weight and non-diaz- | [9] |
| cal fertilizer | | otrophs, increase in root and shoot dry weight, consequently | |
| | | increasing plant growth and grain yield | |

Table

Conclusion

Biofilm Biofertilizers significantly improved soil physicochemical conditions and enhanced chlorophyll, siderophore and indoleacetic acid production which are germane to plant growth and crop yield as demonstrated by several studies. The application of biofilm biofertilizers could be a key to sustainable agriculture and green farming and a major solution to the improvement of marginal soils where the microbial and soil physicochemical balance has been heavily altered by human and other environmental factors. Mostly gram positive bacteria were reported in the literatures examined as biofilm formers, with ability to stimulate plant growth promoting factors. Future research should be geared towards the isolation of gram positive biofilm bacteria as well as fungi from the rhizosphere to formulate the much needed biofilm fertilizers.

Bibliography

- 1. Ghiasian M. "Microbial biofilms: Beneficial applications for sustainable agriculture". New and Future Developments in Microbial Biotechnology and Bioengineering (2020): 145-155.
- Devi S., et al. "Microbial biofilms: Beneficial and detrimental impacts". Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Food Sciences 12.5 (2023): e5211.
- 3. Mukhi M and Vishwanathan AS. "Beneficial biofilms: a minireview of strategies to enhance biofilm formation for biotechnological applications". *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 88.3 (2022): e0199421.

Citation: Hammed BA. "Microbial Biofilms as Biofertilizers". EC Microbiology 21.3 (2025): 01-03.

Microbial Biofilms as Biofertilizers

- 4. Mushalin I., *et al.* "Current status and the role of biofilm biofertilizer for improving the soil health and agronomic efficiency of maize on marginal soil". *International Journal of Life Science and Agriculture Research* 3.7 (2024): 596-602.
- 5. Rafique M., *et al.* "Unlocking the potential of biofilm-forming plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria for growth and yield enhancement in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)". *Scientific Reports* 14 (2024): 15546.
- 6. Yasmeen T., *et al.* "Biofilm producing plant growth promoting bacteria in combination with glycine betaine uplift drought stress tolerance of maize plant". *Frontiers in Plant Science* 15 (2024): 1327552.
- 7. Haque MM., et al. "Halotolerant biofilm-producing rhizobacteria mitigate seawater-induced salt stress and promote growth of tomato". Scientific Reports 12.1 (2022): 5599.
- 8. Henagamage AP., et al. "Effect of biofilmed biofertilizers on potato yield through induced soil-plant interaction". Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences 58.6 (2021): 1715-1723.
- 9. Premarathna M., *et al.* "Biofilm biofertilizer can reinstate network interactions for improved rice production". *Ceylon Journal of Science* 50.3 (2021): 235-242.

Volume 21 Issue 3 March 2025 ©All rights reserved by Hammed BA.