

Covid-19 Vaccine Uptake among University Students in Ghana: A Case Study of First-year AAMUSTED Students

Ebenezer Assoah^{1*}, Denis Dekugmen Yar² and Simon Nyarko³

¹*Department of Biological Science Education, Akenten Appiah-Menka University of Skills Training and Entrepreneurial Development (AAMUSTED), Asante Mampong Campus, Ghana*

²*Department of Public Health Education, Akenten Appiah-Menka University of Skills Training and Entrepreneurial Development (AAMUSTED), Asante Mampong Campus, Ghana*

³*Department of Pharmaceutics, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana*

***Corresponding Author:** Ebenezer Assoah, Department of Biological Science Education, Akenten Appiah-Menka University of Skills Training and Entrepreneurial Development (AAMUSTED), Asante Mampong Campus, Ghana.

Received: March 06, 2023; **Published:** April 29, 2023

Abstract

Background: The World Health Organization (WHO) endorses the COVID-19 vaccination as the most effective method of curbing the SARS-CoV-2 infection. Vaccine hesitancy is ranked among the top ten significant global health threats due to people's perceptions and conspiracies. However, there is a scarcity of data on university students' vaccination status in Ghana.

Aim: The purpose of the study was to determine the attitudes of Ghanaian university students towards COVID-19 and its vaccination program.

Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional survey was used to assess students' perceptions of the COVID-19 vaccination program using standardized questionnaires. A total of 1000 validated questionnaires were distributed to respondents, and 700 responded, indicating a 70% response rate.

Results: The results revealed that 55.3% of the respondents were vaccinated [$X^2(1) = 7.734, p < 0.05$] with a few (38.5%) having shown some form of adverse effects. About 38.5% of the participants had no idea about the type of vaccine they took, while most of them (89.4%) desired to recommend the vaccine to those who haven't yet taken their jab [$X^2(1) = 223.089, p < 0.05$]. Out of the 381 (53%) that were vaccinated, 219 (59.7%) did so willingly trusting the approval of the vaccines by World Health Organization (WHO) meanwhile a few of the participants [$X^2(5) = 1419.210, p < 0.05$] had misconceptions and doubts about the vaccine. It was also observed that conspiracy theories impede the uptake of the COVID-19 vaccine. From the study, the binary logistic regression analysis of the data discovered that age has a significant impact on the vaccination status of students [AOR = 0.351, CI (0.136, 0.911), P-value = 0.031].

Conclusion: The rate of COVID-19 vaccination coverage among Ghanaian university students is lower than expected. Mistrust, misconceptions, conspiracy theories, and socio-demographic factors are major impediments to vaccine success in Ghana. The study's findings suggest that any interventions aimed at increasing COVID-19 vaccination uptake among university students should focus on mass education in order to build participants' trust in vaccination and decrease misconceptions and conspiracy theories about the vaccination program.

Keywords: Pandemic; Vaccination; Vaccine Hesitancy; Conspiracy Theory; SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19

Introduction

In human history, pandemics and their predicaments are reported to have had vastly destructive impacts on human well-being, frugality, and even national security [1]. The plague of Athens, for instance, killed more than 1.7% of the global human population in 430 BC [2,3]. In addition, the top five (5) deadliest global plagues in human history are smallpox, the black death, the Justinian plague, the Spanish flu, and AIDS/HIV, which have resulted in 356 million, 200 million, 50 million, 50 million, and 25 million deaths, respectively [3].

WHO declared COVID-19 a pandemic in 2020, and it had globally infected 435 million people and caused 6 million deaths as of February 26, 2022 [4]. The rapid upsurge in morbidity and mortality rates of COVID-19 disease is a threat to the human race, hence the need for efficient techniques for curbing this global catastrophe. The upwelling of the death rate is evident and supports the view that COVID-19 is a biological weapon. To thwart the quick spread of this pestilence, several countries instituted numerous control measures that include travel restrictions, the wearing of a nose mask, social distancing, lockdown, vaccination, and others [5,6]. Considering the dilapidated nature of the health system, the meager number of infrastructure and social amenities, the privation of basic healthcare, and the low level of public education on global infections in most of the sub-Saharan regions, the re-emergence of SARS-CoV-2 may cause great devastation to these underdeveloped nations. This massive global infection burden has escalated vaccine development. Vaccine hesitancy is the main impediment to vaccination programs in west Africa. Vaccination misinformation, conspiracy theories, and misconceptions that were escalated by mainstream and social media have led to mistrust, which is a key factor in vaccine hesitancy. To overcome this impediment, public education and transparency of the vaccine should be improved [7,8].

Instead of using social and other media to help mitigate the effects of pandemics, people are rather using the media to blow out misinformation about COVID-19 and its vaccination, which may play a negative role in the combat against this pandemic [9]. For instance, Ghanaian comedian and actor Clemento Suarez gave the coronavirus the name “colonial virus” in one of his comic videos. Also, an international diplomatic consultant (Farouk Al-Wahab) on Kofi TV on January 2, 2022, made several claims that “Covid-19 is a man-made virus that focuses on vaccination, and it is going to be a business around the world”. He further claimed that we are in the third phase of the third world war, which is only a war of economics and health. He finally said, “China stimulated COVID to exploit money from Africa, but God has been so good to Africans”. People’s perceptions and beliefs mostly affect or shape their way of life. Firstly, most West Africans greatly mistrust and stigmatize most products (including vaccines) produced in western countries due to the unforgettable history of colonization, which is just a few decades old. Finally, there are social and traditional media claims that HIV and Ebola are biological weapons manufactured by the West to wipe out the black race [10].

The government of Ghana announced the implementation of a “no vaccine, no entry” policy, about which some Ghanaians have expressed their concern. There are also a lot of misconceptions and conspiracies about the COVID-19 vaccination, hence the need for this study.

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study was to determine the attitudes of Ghanaian university students toward COVID-19 and its vaccination program by evaluating Ghana’s “no vaccine, no entry” policy, determining the frequency of COVID-19 vaccination in Ghanaian tertiary students, and testing some misconceptions and conspiracy theories about COVID-19 and its vaccination in Ghana.

Materials and Methods

Study area

This research was carried out from January to July 2022 at the Akenten Appiah-Menka University of skill training and entrepreneurial development, Mampong campus (AAMUSTED-M). The school has an estimated population of 5,000 students. AAMUSTED-M is located in Asante Mampong, the administrative capital of Mampong Municipal. AAMUSTED-M is one of the four tertiary institutions in Mampong Municipality of the Ashanti Region of Ghana. The land size of this town covers an area of 2,345 km², with approximately 220 communi-

ties mostly located in the countryside [11]. The Akenten Appiah-Menka University of Skills Training and Entrepreneurial Development (AAMUSTED) was established on August 27, 2020, under Act 1026 of 2020 of the Parliament of the Republic of Ghana. The main campus is located in Kumasi, whilst the Asante-Mampong Campus, which is 63 kilometres from the main campus is located in Asante-Mampong. The Asante-Mampong Campus has four (4) Faculties and eleven (11) Academic Departments. The school currently have students from all over the 16 regions of Ghana with the majority from the Ashanti region. The student number increases each year as new programmes are installed [12].

Study design

A descriptive survey design was used in this study to assess COVID-19 vaccine uptake among first-year AAMUSTED-M students, Asante-Mampong, Ghana.

Population and sample size

The research was conducted on AAMUSTED-M first-year undergraduate and postgraduate students. The entire population of the university is estimated to be around 5000 people, with 1000 being first-year students. Since the research was intended for first year students, 700 of them were sampled for the study (N=700). This implies that 70% of the first-years were involved in the study.

Sampling techniques

A convenient sampling technique was used to select first-year students for the study during their medical registration and orientation programme.

Data collection

A structured questionnaire that comprised of 29 questions were used to collect data from first-year AAMUSTED students via face-to-face interviews. The questions were formulated simply and are presented in a logical order. 1,000 questionnaires were printed and evenly distributed to the applicants. 700 respondents completed the questionnaires (70% response rate), and a team of four field assistants entered the responses into a Google Form. The questionnaire was divided into 4 sections: Socio-demographic characteristics, COVID-19 vaccine status, Perception on vaccine uptake and "No vaccine No entry" policy.

Statistical analysis

The collected data was entered and stored in an MS Excel sheet (version 2016). The data was analyzed using the descriptive statistics of the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22. The results were then presented in the form of tables showing frequencies and percentages, among others. Chi-square analysis was used to determine statistical associations between outcome and explanatory variables. The level of significance was held at a 95% confidence interval and a 0.05 level of precision. In addition, different variables of the samples were compared, establishing relationships between variables using logistic regression statistics (COR and AOR) and cross-tabulation to determine the significant difference at a 95% confidence interval ($P < 0.05$) and ($P > 0.05$) being not significant. The good logistic regression model of fit was tested using the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit.

Ethical consideration

A standard proposal of the work was presented to the head of Public Health Department for acceptance and approved by the Ethical Clearance Board of AAMUSTED-M. Official permission was also sought from the head of medicals of the university clinic before commencement. The consent of the respondents was obtained during the students' orientation section, during which the content, and purpose of the survey were explained. Respondents were allowed to decide whether or not to participate. Respondents' details such as names and index numbers were not disclosed on the questionnaires.

Results

The variables of the questionnaires were grouped into four (4) categories: sociodemographic characteristics of respondents; COVID-19 vaccine status of respondents; participants’ perceptions of vaccine uptake; participants’ agreements with or views on the “no vaccine to entry” policy.

The sociodemographic of the respondents comprised 64.14% males, 34.29% females, and 1.57% did not indicate their sexes; however, it can be significantly stated that there is an association between the sexes ($X^2 (2) = 63.398, p < .05$) (Table 1). The majority of the respondents (47.43%) are significantly within the age range of 20 - 25 years, and 2.14% of the respondents did not indicate their age range ($X^2 (4) = 350.825, p < 0.05$) (Table 1). Almost all of the participants (98.42%) are undergraduate students, with less than 2% being postgraduate students. The majority (32%) of respondents ($X^2 (11) = 378.751, p < 0.05$) read B.Sc. in Agriculture Science Education (Table 1). Few of the respondents (23.43%) are married, and the majority, 64.14%, are not in any serious relationship. Almost all (99.28%) AAMUSTED students are religious, with 85.43% Christian, 13.42% Muslim, 0.43% Traditional or Spiritualist, 0.43% Atheist, and 0.29% not specified. However, there was a significant association between Christians, Muslims, traditions, atheists, and others ($X^2 (4) = 1402.046, p < .05$) (Table 1). Majority of the respondents are from the Ashanti region of Ghana (41%) and the entire subjects of this study are significantly spread across or are from all the sixteen (16) region in Ghana ($X^2 (14) = 1474.318, p < .05$) (Table 1). In this study, the Ahafo and Brong Ahafo regions were combined as the Ahafo region, and the Northern and North East regions were combined as one region, totaling 14 regions.

| Demographic characteristics | Frequency (%) | X ² | p-value |
|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------|
| Age range | | | |
| Less than 20 | 44 (6.29) | 350.825 | < 0.001 |
| 20 to 25 | 332 (47.43) | | |
| 26 to 30 | 248 (35.43) | | |
| Above 30 | 61 (8.71) | | |
| Not indicated | 15 (2.14) | | |
| Level of study | | | |
| Undergraduate | 689 (98.42) | 662.464 | < 0.001 |
| Postgraduate | 9 (1.29) | | |
| Not indicated | 2 (0.29) | | |
| Program | | | |
| Agriculture | 224 (32.00) | 378.751 | < 0.001 |
| Biological sciences | 98 (14.00) | | |
| Chemistry | 12 (1.71) | | |
| Early childhood | 46 (6.57) | | |
| Environmental Health Sanitation | 44 (6.23) | | |
| Integrated science | 77 (11.00) | | |
| Agribusiness | 10 (1.43) | | |
| Public Health | 34 (4.86) | | |
| JSS Education | 103 (14.71) | | |
| Upper primary Education | 25 (3.57) | | |
| Mathematics | 19 (2.71) | | |
| Physics | 8 (1.14) | | |

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------|---------|
| Gender/Sex | | | |
| Female | 240 (34.29) | 63.398 | < 0.001 |
| Male | 449 (64.14) | | |
| Not indicated | 11 (1.57) | | |
| Are you in relationship | | | |
| Yes | 240 (34.29) | 63.398 | < 0.001 |
| No | 449 (64.14) | | |
| Not indicated | 11 (1.57) | | |
| Marital status | | | |
| Married | 164 (23.43) | 176.095 | < 0.001 |
| Living together | 508 (72.57) | | |
| Not indicated | 28 (4.00) | | |
| Are you employed | | | |
| Yes | 258 (36.86) | 27.625 | < 0.001 |
| No | 392 (56.0) | | |
| Not indicated | 50 (7.14) | | |
| Religion | | | |
| Christian | 598 (85.43) | 1402.046 | < 0.001 |
| Muslim | 94 (13.42) | | |
| Traditional/spiritualist | 3 (0.43) | | |
| No religion | 3 (0.43) | | |
| Not indicated | 2 (0.29) | | |
| Region/permanent destination | | | |
| Ahafo | 13 (1.86) | 1474.318 | < 0.001 |
| Ashanti | 287 (41.00) | | |
| Bono east | 21 (3.00) | | |
| Central | 29 (4.14) | | |
| Eastern | 22 (3.14) | | |
| Greater Accra | 26 (3.71) | | |
| North East | 39 (5.57) | | |
| Oti | 21 (3.00) | | |
| Savanna | 6 (0.86) | | |
| Upper East | 37 (5.29) | | |
| Upper West | 29 (4.14) | | |
| Volta | 15 (2.14) | | |
| Western | 31 (4.43) | | |
| Western north | 40 (5.71) | | |
| Not indicated | 84 (12.0) | | |

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents: chi-square goodness of fit.

Covid-19 vaccine status of respondents

More than half of (55.3%) AAMUSTED Mampong students have been vaccinated ($X^2 (1) = 7.734, p < 0.05$) (Table 2). Majority of the respondents (41.9%) received their vaccine dosage from the health centers or clinics while few people (6.7%) got vaccinated at their workplace ($X^2 (3) = 104.051, p < 0.05$) (Table 2). A significant number of people (38.5%) experienced adverse reaction after vaccinated ($X^2 (1) = 20.077, p < 0.05$) (Table 2). Majority (36.6%) of these educated and matured adults did not have any idea on the type of COVID-19 vaccines they were receiving, however, 32.4% indicated that they received the Oxford/Astrazeneca COVID-19 vaccine ($X^2 (7) = 301.371, p < 0.05$) (Table 2). Majority (89.4%) indicated that they will recommend the COVID-19 vaccines to other people ($X^2 (1) = 223.089, p < 0.05$) (Table 2). Majority that received the vaccines (59.7%) were motivated by the fact that the vaccines were scientifically proven by WHO to prevent COVID-19 infection. However, a good number of people (27.8%) indicated that, they were persuaded to be vaccinated by the government of Ghana ($X^2 (3) = 223.089, p < 0.05$) (Table 2). Majority (62.1%) perceived the vaccine to be effective enough to prevent or reduce the burden of the COVID-19, however, 37.9% have other perceptions and misconceptions about the vaccine that includes; it is being experimenting on black people (3.1%), it is a means to cripple the world economy by the world powerful countries (3.6%), COVID-19 disease is not real (3.9%), the vaccine is a weapon to wipe out black race (4.6%) and the vaccine is a symbol of the anti-christ-666 (5.7%) ($X^2 (5) = 1419.210, p < 0.05$) (Table 2).

| Variable | Frequency (%) | X ² | p-value |
|--|---------------|----------------|---------|
| Have you vaccinated? | | | |
| Yes | 381 (55.3) | 7.734 | = 0.005 |
| No | 308 (44.7) | | |
| Place of vaccination | | | |
| Health center/ Clinic | 157 (41.9) | 104.051 | < 0.001 |
| Government Hospital | 74 (19.7) | | |
| Workplace | 25 (6.7) | | |
| Community | 119 (31.7) | | |
| Experienced adverse reaction after vaccinated? | | | |
| Yes | 145 (38.5) | 20.077 | < 0.001 |
| No | 232 (61.5) | | |
| Which vaccine did you receive? | | | |
| Moderna | 36 (10.4) | 301.371 | < 0.001 |
| Pfizer | 25 (7.3) | | |
| Gamaleya (Sputnik V) | 1 (0.4) | | |
| Janssen [(Johnson and Johnson) | 36 (10.4) | | |
| Oxford/AstraZeneca | 114 (32.4) | | |
| Oxford/AstraZeneca formulation | 12 (2.5) | | |
| Don't know | 129 (36.6) | | |
| Will you recommend covid-19 vaccine to someone? | | | |
| Yes | 321 (89.4) | 223.089 | < 0.001 |
| No | 38 (10.6) | | |
| Why did you receive the Covid-19 vaccine jab? | | | |
| Its scientifically proven by WHO to prevent Covid-19 infection | 219 (59.7) | 223.089 | < 0.001 |
| I was not afraid | 31 (8.4) | | |
| The Government asked me to | 102 (27.8) | | |
| I took it because others were taking it | 15 (4.1) | | |

| | | | |
|--|------------|----------|---------|
| Why have you NOT been vaccinated? | | | |
| It's because of religious reasons | 20 (7.4) | 206.321 | < 0.001 |
| I have no access to vaccine | 75 (27.7) | | |
| It's because I'm afraid of side effect | 117 (43.2) | | |
| I just don't want to | 38 (14.0) | | |
| Because lot of conspiracy theories | 20 (7.4) | | |
| Others | 1 (0.3) | | |
| Your perception about the covid-19 vaccine? | | | |
| It's a weapon to wipe out black race | 32 (4.6) | 1419.210 | < 0.001 |
| It's a symbol of the anti-Christ- 666 | 40 (5.7) | | |
| It's being experimented on black people | 22 (3.1) | | |
| Covid-19 disease is not real | 27 (3.9) | | |
| It's a means to cripple world economy | 25 (3.6) | | |
| The vaccine is approved by WHO/GHS and prevent infection/reduce its burden | 435 (62.1) | | |

Table 2: Covid-19 vaccine status of respondents: chi-square goodness of fit.

Perception on vaccine uptake among respondents

More than half of (52.4%) AAMUSTED Mampong students agrees that it is wrong to make the COVID-19 vaccine uptake compulsory and agrees that it should be voluntary ($X^2 (4) = 117.691, p < 0.05$) (Table 3). Majority of the respondents (69.9%) contradictory agrees that the government should pass a law to make the COVID-19 vaccine uptake compulsory ($X^2 (4) = 291.613, p < 0.05$) (Table 3). A significant number of people (37.5%) indicated that they will not take the vaccine because they do believe that it is not protective enough ($X^2 (4) = 112.151, p < 0.05$) (Table 3). Majority (62.3%) of the respondents disagree that they would not take the vaccine because it is not able to prevent the COVID-19 infection ($X^2 (4) = 276.666, p < 0.05$) (Table 3).

| Variables | Frequency (%) | X ² | p-value |
|---|---------------|----------------|---------|
| Wrong to make Covid-19 vaccine uptake compulsory | | | |
| Strongly agree | 167 (24.8) | 117.691 | < 0.001 |
| Agree | 186 (27.6) | | |
| Are neutral | 55 (8.2) | | |
| Disagree | 187 (27.7) | | |
| Strongly disagree | 79 (11.7) | | |
| Covid-19 vaccine uptake should be voluntary | | | |
| Strongly agree | 167 (24.8) | 117.691 | < 0.001 |
| Agree | 186 (27.6) | | |
| Are neutral | 55 (8.2) | | |
| Disagree | 187 (27.7) | | |
| Strongly disagree | 79 (11.7) | | |
| Government should make law to make it compulsory | | | |

| | | | |
|--|------------|---------|---------|
| Strongly agree | 192 (28.8) | 291.613 | < 0.001 |
| Agree | 274 (41.1) | | |
| Are neutral | 41 (6.1) | | |
| Disagree | 107 (16.1) | | |
| Strongly disagree | 53 (7.9) | | |
| Covid-19 vaccine is not protective enough | | | |
| Strongly agree | 97 (14.3) | 112.151 | < 0.001 |
| Agree | 157 (23.2) | | |
| Are neutral | 58 (8.6) | | |
| Disagree | 220 (32.5) | | |
| Strongly disagree | 144 (21.3) | | |
| Covid-19 vaccine is not able to prevent infection | | | |
| Strongly agree | 80 (12.2) | 276.666 | < 0.001 |
| Agree | 86 (13.1) | | |
| Are neutral | 82 (12.5) | | |
| Disagree | 301 (45.7) | | |
| Strongly disagree | 109 (16.6) | | |

Table 3: Perception on vaccine uptake of respondents: chi-square goodness of fit.

Respondents’ opinions on the “no vaccine no entry” policy

Majority of the respondents (59.6%) indicated that the “no vaccine no entry” policy is not good policy whiles 24.7% agrees that it is a good policy ($X^2 (4) = 227.912, p < 0.05$) (Table 4). Majority of the respondents (51.9%) disagrees on the government enforcement of the “No Vaccine No Entry” policy whiles 39.2% agrees that the policy is good and should be enforced by government. Also, 39.4% agrees that the policy should be enforced in government institutions. About half (45.8%) indicated that they wouldn’t willingly take the COVID-19 vaccine if they had not taken it yet ($X^2 (4) = 45.620, p < 0.05$) (Table 4).

| Variables | Frequency (%) | X ² | p-value |
|---|---------------|----------------|---------|
| “No Vaccine No Entry” is a good policy | | | |
| Strongly agree | 74 (11.2) | 227.912 | < 0.001 |
| Agree | 89 (13.5) | | |
| Are neutral | 103 (15.6) | | |
| Disagree | 285 (43.2) | | |
| Strongly disagree | 108 (16.4) | | |
| Government should enforce the policy | | | |
| Strongly agree | 98 (14.7) | 118.625 | < 0.001 |
| Agree | 163 (24.5) | | |
| Are neutral | 59 (8.9) | | |
| Disagree | 223 (33.5) | | |
| Strongly disagree | 123 (18.4) | | |

| | | | |
|--|------------|---------|---------|
| The policy should be enforced in all govt intuitions | | | |
| Strongly agree | 93 (14.0) | 102.898 | < 0.001 |
| Agree | 181 (27.3) | | |
| Are neutral | 64 (9.6) | | |
| Disagree | 203 (30.6) | | |
| Strongly disagree | 123 (18.5) | | |
| The policy should be enforced in tertiary intuitions | | | |
| Strongly agree | 98 (14.8) | 99.768 | < 0.001 |
| Agree | 163 (24.6) | | |
| Are neutral | 65 (9.8) | | |
| Disagree | 213 (32.1) | | |
| Strongly disagree | 124 (18.7) | | |
| The policy should be enforced in AAMUSTED | | | |
| Strongly agree | 94 (14.2) | 106.172 | < 0.001 |
| Agree | 164 (24.8) | | |
| Are neutral | 69 (10.4) | | |
| Disagree | 218 (32.9) | | |
| Strongly disagree | 117 (17.7) | | |
| Willing to take the vaccine if not vaccinated already | | | |
| Yes | 178 (27.5) | 45.620 | < 0.001 |
| No | 297 (45.8) | | |
| I am already vaccinate | 173 (26.7) | | |

Table 4: Respondents' views on the "no vaccine no entry policy": chi-square goodness of fit.

Factors that may influence vaccination status: demographic characteristic verses vaccine status

From table 5A, the outcome of the logistic regression static stated that, the selected model was a good logistic regression model fit, since the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit, the P-value was 0.838, it greater than 0.05, then fail to reject the null hypothesis, and it is stated that the logistic model is good fit for the data set ($X^2 = 4.208$, Df = 8, $p = 0.838$) (Table 5A). Additionally, the Pseudo R-regression result showed that the square of the correlation between the model's predicted values and the actual values resulting from this correlation was 10.6%. The model explained 10.6% (Nagelkerke R square) of the variance in vaccination status and the risk factors that influences vaccine status of the participants (Table 5A). From table 5B bellow, Matured students, thus students aged greater than 30 years were 0.351 less likely to receive the COVID-19 vaccines as compare to students within the age range less than 30 years [AOR= 0.351, CI (0.136, 0.911), P-value= 0.031]. In other words, students greater than 30 years old were 64.9% ($1 - 0.351 = 0.649$) less likely to receive the COVID-19 vaccines as compare to students within the age range less than 30 years. Students from the Upper East region of Ghana were 10.201 more likely not to receive the COVID-19 vaccines as compare to students from the other sixteen regions of Ghana [AOR = 10.201, CI (1.799,57.853)0.009] (Table 5B).

| Step | Model Summary | | | Hosmer and Lemeshow Test | | |
|------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----|---------|
| | -2 Log likelihood | Cox & Snell R Square | Nagelkerke R Square | Chi-square | Df | P-value |
| 1 | 707.285 ^a | 0.079 | 0.106 | 4.208 | 8 | 0.838 |

Table 5A: The model summary and Hosmer and Lemeshow test of adjusted odd ratio (AOR).

| Risk factors | Vaccination Status | | Nagelkerke R square of COR | (X ²) (p-value) | COR (95%CI)p-value | AOR (95%CI)p-value |
|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Yes vaccinated (%) | Not vaccinated (%) | | | | |
| Sex | | | | | | |
| Female | 135 (57.0) | 102 (43.0) | 0.001 | 0.443 (0.506) | Ref. | Ref. |
| Male | 240 (54.3) | 202 (45.7) | | | 1.114 (0.811, 1.531)0.506 | 1.123 (0.764, 1.650)0.556 |
| Age | | | | | | |
| < 20 | 18 (40.9) | 26 (59.1) | 0.017 | 8.613 (0.035) | Ref. | Ref. |
| 20 - 25 | 184 (56.3) | 143 (43.7) | | | 0.538 (0.284, 1.020)0.057 | 0.629 (0.311, 1.270)0.196 |
| 26 - 30 | 129 (52.9) | 115 (47.1) | | | 0.617 (0.322, 1.184)0.146 | 0.663 (0.321, 1.366)0.265 |
| > 30 | 41 (68.3) | 19 (31.7) | | | 0.321 (0.143, 0.722)0.006 | 0.351 (0.136, 0.911)0.031* |
| Employed before | | | | | | |
| Yes | 145 (57.1) | 109 (42.9) | 0.002 | 0.751 (0.386) | Ref. | Ref. |
| No | 201 (53.6) | 180 (46.4) | | | 1.151 (0.837, 1.583)0.386 | 1.033 (0.693, 1.540)0.874 |
| Religion | | | | | | |
| Christians | 322 (54.7) | 267 (45.3) | 0.025 | 12.706 (0.005) | Ref. | Ref. |
| Muslims | 59 (64.1) | 33 (35.9) | | | 0.675 (0.428, 1.064)0.091 | 0.677 (0.386, 1.188)0.174 |
| Traditional | 0 (0.0) | 3 (100) | | | Omitted | Omitted |
| No region | 0 (0.0) | 3 (100) | | | Omitted | Omitted |
| Location/Region | | | | | | |
| Ahafo | 11 (84.8) | 2 (15.4) | 0.077 | 36.244 (0.001) | Ref. | Ref. |
| Ashanti | 155 (54.6) | 129 (45.4) | | | 0.121 (0.024, 0.621)0.011 | 3.285 (0.688, 15.68)0.136 |
| Bono east | 15 (71.4) | 6 (28.6) | | | 0.555 (0.283, 1.089)0.087 | 1.133 (0.168, 7.622)0.898 |
| Central | 16 (55.2) | 13 (44.8) | | | 0.267 (0.085, 0.833)0.023 | 3.041 (0.531, 17.424)0.212 |
| Eastern | 13 (59.1) | 9 (40.9) | | | 0.542 (0.206, 1.425)0.214 | 2.774 (0.470, 16.361)0.260 |
| Greater Accra | 14 (53.8) | 12 (46.2) | | | 0.462 (0.160, 1.331)0.153 | 3.294 (0.566, 19.159)0.184 |
| North east | 27 (69.2) | 12 (30.8) | | | 0.571 (0.211, 1.549)0.271 | 1.993 (0.363, 10.954)0.428 |
| Oti | 11 (52.4) | 10 (47.6) | | | 0.296 (0.117, 0.750)0.010 | 3.969 (0.655, 24.055)0.134 |
| Savanna | 5 (83.3) | 1 (16.7) | | | 0.606 (0.209, 1.758)0.357 | 0.928 (0.062, 13.92)0.957 |
| Upper east | 10 (27.0) | 27 (73.0) | | | 0.133 (0.014, 1.250)0.078 | 10.201 (1.799, 57.853)0.009* |
| Upper west | 21 (72.4) | 8 (27.6) | | | 1.80 (0.687, 4.713)0.231 | 1.266 (0.209, 7.664)0.797 |
| Volta | 11 (73.3) | 4 (26.7) | | | 0.254 (0.091, 0.712)0.009 | 1.874 (0.264, 13.307)0.530 |
| Western | 21 (67.7) | 10 (32.3) | | | 0.242 (0.066, 0.896)0.034 | 1.643 (0.288, 9.385)0.577 |
| Western north | 16 (40.0) | 24 (60.0) | | | 0.317 (0.119, 0.849)0.022 | 4.352 (0.807, 23.471)0.087 |

Table 5B: The cofounders of vaccination status of the respondents.

Factors that may influence vaccination status: Perception on vaccine uptake of respondents against vaccine status

From table 6A, the outcome of the logistic regression static stated that, the selected model was a good logistic regression model fit, since the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit, the P-value was 0.526, it greater than 0.05, then fail to reject the null hypothesis, and it is stated that the logistic model is good fit for the data set ($X^2 = 7.103$, Df = 8, $p = 0.526$). Additionally, the Pseudo R-regression result showed that the square of the correlation between the model’s predicted values and the actual values resulting from this correlation was 10.6%. The model explained 22.0% (Nagelkerke R square) of the variance in vaccination status and the risk factors that influences vaccine status of the participants (Table 6A). This study discovered that, conspiracies influences the uptake of the vaccine. Thus, from table 6B bellow, students with the view that the vaccine is being experimented on black people or black people are being used as lab rat were 4.555 more likely not to receive the COVID-19 vaccines as compare to students with different perceptions [AOR = 4.555, CI (1.093, 18.989), P-value = 0.037]. Moreover, students with the view that the vaccine is scientifically approved by WHO/GHS and prevent infection or reduce its burden were 0.308 less likely to not to receive the COVID-19 vaccines as compare to students with different perceptions [AOR = 0.308, CI (0.144, 0.660), P-value = 0.002]. In other words, students with the view that the COVID-19 vaccines are scientifically approved by WHO/GHS and prevent infection or reduce its burden were 69.2% ($1-0.308 = 0.692$) less likely not to receive the COVID-19 vaccines as compare to students with different opinions.

| Step | Model Summary | | | Hosmer and Lemeshow Test | | |
|------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----|---------|
| | -2 Log likelihood | Cox & Snell R Square | Nagelkerke R Square | Chi-square | Df | P-value |
| 1 | 655.617 ^a | 0.163 | 0.220 | 7.103 | 8 | 0.526 |

Table 6A: The model summary and Hosmer and Lemeshow test of adjusted odd ratio (AOR).

| Variables | Vaccination Status | | (X ²) (p-value) | COR (95%CI)p-value | AOR (95%CI) p-value |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Yes vaccinated (%) | Not vaccinated (%) | | | |
| Your perception about the covid-19 vaccine | | | | | |
| It’s a weapon to wipe out black race | 14 (43.8) | 18 (56.3) | 93.006 (0.000) | Ref. | Ref. |
| It’s a symbol of the anti-Christ- 666 | 10 (25) | 30 (75) | | 2.333 (0.858, 6.343)0.097 | 2.523 (0.898, 7.091)0.079 |
| It’s being experimented on black people | 3 (13.6) | 19 (86.4) | | 4.926 (1.210, 20.050)0.026* | 4.555 (1.093, 18.989)0.037* |
| Covid-19 disease is not real | 7 (25.9) | 20 (74.1) | | 2.222 (0.733, 6.733)0.158 | 2.452 (0.753, 7.978)0.136 |
| It’s a means to cripple world economy | 5 (20) | 20 (80) | | 3.111 (0.934, 10.364)0.065 | 2.866 (0.844, 9.740)0.091 |
| The vaccine is approved by WHO/GHS and prevent infection/reduce its burden | 304 (70.2) | 129 (29.8) | | 0.330 (0.159, 0.684)0.003* | 0.308 (0.144, 0.660)0.002* |
| It’s wrong to make Covid-19 vaccine uptake compulsory | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Strongly agree | 81 (49.4) | 83 (50.6) | 6.358 (0.174) | Ref. | Ref. |
| Agree | 113 (61.4) | 71 (38.6) | | 0.613 (0.400,0.939)0.025* | 0.588 (0.318, 1.086)0.090 |
| Are neutral | 30 (54.5) | 25 (45.5) | | 0.813 (0.441,1.501)0.508 | 0.751 (0.308, 1.830)0.529 |
| Disagree | 98 (53.6) | 85 (46.4) | | 0.846 (0.555,1.291)0.439 | 1.041 (0.570, 1.903)0.896 |
| Strongly disagree | 47 (61.0) | 30 (39.0) | | 0.623 (0.359,1.081)0.092 | 0.824 (0.406, 1.674)0.593 |
| Laws should pass to make Co-vid-19 vaccination compulsory | | | | | |
| Strongly agree | 102 (53.1) | 90 (46.9) | 0.875 (0.928) | Ref. | Ref. |
| Agree | 154 (57.2) | 115 (42.8) | | 0.846 (0.583,1.228)0.380 | 1.318 (0.761, 2.283)0.325 |
| Are neutral | 21 (53.8) | 18 (46.2) | | 0.971 (0.487,1.938)0.934 | 1.565 (0.593, 4.130)0.366 |
| Disagree | 59 (56.7) | 45 (43.3) | | 0.864 (0.535,1.398)0.552 | 1.359 (0.705, 2.618)0.360 |
| Strongly disagree | 29 (55.8) | 23 (44.2) | | 0.899 (0.485,1.665)0.735 | 1.212 (0.551, 2.667)0.632 |

Table 6B: Perception on vaccine uptake of respondents against vaccine status.

Experienced adverse reaction after vaccinated verses recommendations

From table 7A, the outcome of the logistic regression static stated that, the selected model was a good logistic regression model fit, since the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit, the P-value was 0.893, it greater than 0.05, then fail to reject the null hypothesis, and it is stated that the logistic model is good fit for the data set ($X^2 = 2.273$, Df = 6, $p = 0.893$). Additionally, the Pseudo R-regression result showed that the square of the correlation between the model’s predicted values and the actual values resulting from this correlation was 0.3%. The model explained 0.3% (Nagelkerke R square) of the variance in participants’ recommendation of the COVID-19 vaccines to their loved ones (Table 7A). From table 7B, 88.6% students that experienced adverse reaction indicated that they will recommend the covid-19 vaccine to your loved ones whiles 90.2% students that did not experienced adverse reaction indicated that they will recommend the covid-19 vaccine to your loved ones [$X^2 = 0.221$, df (1) $p = 0.718$]. Also more of the participants that are Christians (10.8%) will not recommend the covid-19 vaccine to your loved ones as compare to 9.3% of Muslims that will not recommend the covid-19 vaccine to your loved ones [$X^2 = 0.118$, df (3) $p = 0.477$]. Gender does not really influence whether or not one will recommend the vaccines to their loved ones.

| Step | Model Summary | | | Hosmer and Lemeshow Test | | |
|------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----|---------|
| | -2 Log likelihood | Cox & Snell R Square | Nagelkerke R Square | Chi-square | Df | P-value |
| 1 | 229.179 ^a | 0.002 | 0.003 | 2.273 | 6 | 0.893 |

Table 7A: The model summary and Hosmer and Lemeshow test of adjusted odd ratio (AOR).

| Factors influence vaccination | Recommend covid-19 vaccine to your loved ones | | X ² (p-value) | COR (95%CI)p-value | AOR (95%CI)p-value |
|--|---|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Yes (%) | No (%) | | | |
| Sex/Gender | | | | | |
| Female | 115 (88.5) | 15 (11.5) | 0.128 (0.720) | Ref. | Ref. |
| Male | 200 (89.7) | 23 (10.3) | | 0.882 (0.442, 1.757)0.720 | 0.814 (0.397, 1.676)0.575 |
| Religion | | | | | |
| Christians | 272 (89.2) | 33 (10.8) | 0.118 (0.477) | Ref. | Ref. |
| Muslims | 49 (90.7) | 5 (9.3) | | 0.841 (0.313,2.260)0.477 | 0.924 (0.340, 2.510)0.877 |
| Traditional | 0 (0.00) | 0 (0.00) | | Omitted | |
| No region | 0 (0.00) | 0 (0.00) | | Omitted | |
| Experienced adverse reaction after vaccinated | | | | | |
| Yes | 117 (88.6) | 15 (11.4) | 0.221 (0.718) | Ref. | Ref. |
| No | 193 (90.2) | 21 (9.8) | | 0.849 (0.421,1.711).718 | 0.893 (0.434, 1.834)0.757 |

Table 7B: Experienced adverse reaction after vaccinated verses recommendations.

Discussion

According to WHO in 2019, vaccine hesitancy is ranked 8th of the top ten significant global health threats [13-15]. Despite the fact that there are vaccines available, some people choose not to vaccinate themselves or their children. It poses a risk to the significant progress in combating diseases that can be prevented through vaccination. One of the most economical methods of illness prevention is vaccination. Vaccination saves 3 million lives per year and may save 1.5 million more if immunization rates around the world were to improve [16,17]. People opt not to get vaccinated for a variety of reasons. The WHO found three main causes of hesitancy: complacency, difficulty obtaining vaccinations, and mistrust. Health professionals, particularly those who work in communities, continue to be the most reliable source of advice and decision-makers when it comes to immunization. Therefore, it is crucial to assist WHO in delivering reliable evidence about vaccines through surveillances. Globally, most people believe that vaccinations are a “human rights violation”. Some people believe that there is a connection between vaccination and autism, as well as other brain disorders, and are concerned about long term side effects, however, there being no scientific evidence supporting that theory [18,19]. This open-minded skepticism courses through the anti-vaccination movement, fueling a range of conspiracy theories, even though science soundly supports vaccines and doctors, who work to keep people healthy, explicitly encourage vaccination. Due to the vaccination program’s slow erosion, outbreaks run rampant and may become disastrously routine [20]. Every year, approximately 1.4 million children under the age of five die due to a lack of vaccine availability [21,22]. According to the CDC, vaccines will avert more than 21 million hospital admissions and 732,000 deaths among children born in the last two decades [23].

This study revealed that the majority of university students (64.14%) are males and are within the age range of 20 - 25 years old. Almost all (99.28%) university students in Ghana are religious, with 85.43% Christian, 13.42% Muslim, 0.43% Traditional or Spiritualist, 0.43% Atheist, and 0.29% not specified. The majority of the respondents are from the Ashanti region of Ghana (41%), and the entire subject population of this study is significantly spread across or is from all sixteen (16) regions of Ghana. Students older than 30 years

are 64.9% less likely to receive the COVID-19 vaccine as compared to students within the age range of less than 30 years. Students from the Upper East region of Ghana are ten times less likely to receive the COVID-19 vaccine as compared to students from the other sixteen regions of Ghana. Also, the current study discovered that it is more likely for Muslims to recommend the COVID-19 vaccine to their loved ones as compared to Christians. However, gender does not really influence whether or not one will recommend the vaccines to their loved ones. This is similar to several studies that reported that demographic characteristics such as age, gender, religion, permanent residence, etc. significantly influence the vaccination status of individuals. Thus, a study by Oppong and Oti-Boadi (2013) reported that 56.2% of undergraduate students were male [24], and other studies also reported a significant majority of male and religious undergraduate students in Ghana [25,26].

This study reported that more than half (55.3%) of participants have been vaccinated. The majority of respondents (41.9%) received their vaccine dose from health centers or clinics, while only 6.7% were vaccinated at work. A significant number of people (38.5%) experienced an adverse reaction after being vaccinated. The majority (36.6%) of the respondents did not have any idea what type of COVID-19 vaccines they were receiving; however, 32.4% indicated that they received the Oxford/AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine. In general, most (89.4%) of the respondents indicated that they will recommend the COVID-19 vaccines to other people. This could be because most of the respondents have been vaccinated and the majority did not experience any adverse reactions. The majority of those who received the vaccines (59.7%) were motivated by the fact that the vaccines were scientifically proven by the WHO to prevent COVID-19 infection. However, a good number of people (27.8%) indicated that they were persuaded to be vaccinated by the government of Ghana. A significant number of the respondents, 37.9%, have perceptions and misconceptions about the vaccine that include that it is being experimented on black people (3.1%), it is a means to cripple the world economy by the world's powerful countries (3.6%), COVID-19 disease is not real (3.9%), the vaccine is a weapon to wipe out the black race (4.6%), and the vaccine is a symbol of the anti-Christ-666 (5.7%). This study discovered that conspiracies influence the uptake of the vaccine. Thus, students who believed the vaccine was being tested on black people were four times more likely to refuse the COVID-19 vaccines. Moreover, 69.2% of students with the view that the vaccine is scientifically approved by WHO/GHS and will prevent infection or reduce its burden are more likely to receive the COVID-19 vaccine. This study is contrary to a study by Adjei Gyimah, *et al.* (2021), which reported that less than half of the university students in their study (38.2%) have been vaccinated against the hepatitis B vaccine and also that participants who were aged 26 years or older had higher odds of taking the hepatitis B vaccination [27]. Other studies reported that vaccine hesitancy due to vaccine misinformation and other conspiracies has slowed vaccine delivery and is the major impediment to WHO reaching its target of 40% vaccine distribution across the globe [28,29]. A study in Côte d'Ivoire by Yapi, *et al.* (2021) is similar to this study in that it reported that more than one-third of the respondents attributed COVID-19 (and its vaccination) to human influence (non-natural causes) and also had other perceptions about COVID-19 that may influence its control measures, including its vaccination [30]. This study is contrary to a study on influenza about three decades ago that reported that the majority (90%) of respondents got an adverse effect after receiving the influenza vaccine [31].

According to this study, more than half (52.4%) of respondents indicated that it is wrong to make the COVID-19 vaccine uptake compulsory. A significant number of people (37.5%) indicated that they will not take the vaccine because they believe that it is not protective enough. The majority (62.3%) of the respondents disagree that they would not take the vaccine because it is not able to prevent COVID-19 infection. The majority of the respondents (59.6%) indicated that the "No Vaccine, No Entry" policy is not a good one. The majority of the respondents (51.9%) disagree with the government's enforcement of the "No Vaccine, No Entry" policy. Also, 39.4% agree that the policy should be enforced in government institutions. About half (45.7%) indicated that they wouldn't willingly take the COVID-19 vaccine if they had not taken it yet. This study is similar to several others that reported that making vaccination mandatory is against human rights and should be voluntary, and many people are willing to be vaccinated if it is not made compulsory to them [32-34]. Nonetheless, this study contradicts a study on Mongolian Healthcare Workers conducted by Turbat, Sharavyn, and Tsai in 2021, which reported that almost all healthcare workers (93.7%) are highly agreeable to mandatory COVID-19 vaccination of healthcare workers [35]. Finally, this study discovered that students who had an adverse reaction after vaccination were less likely to recommend the COVID-19 vaccine to their loved ones than those who did not have any side effects after vaccination. This is similar to a study in Germany by Graeber, *et al.* (2021), which

reported that the majority (70%) of adults in Germany will voluntarily receive the COVID-19 vaccines if they are not mandatory and are devoid of adverse reactions (side effects) [32].

Conclusion

This study can be summarized that the rate of the COVID-19 vaccination status among university students in Ghana is high. Mistrust, misconceptions, conspiracy theories, and socio-demographic characteristics are key factors that impede the success of vaccinations in Ghana. The majority of students disagree with the government's enforcement of the "no vaccine no entry" policy. The discoveries of the study recommend that any interventions designed to enhance the uptake of COVID-19 vaccination among university students should focus on mass education to establish participants' trust in vaccination, reduce misconceptions and conspiracy theories about the vaccination program, and socio-demographic characteristics, especially age, ethnicity, and residential status, as well as social support.

Bibliography

1. Qiu W., *et al.* "The pandemic and its impacts". *Health, Culture and Society* 9 (2017): 1-11.
2. Haub C. "How many people have ever lived on earth?" *Population Today* 23.2 (1995): 4-5.
3. Dasgupta S and R Crunkhorn. "A History of pandemics over the ages and the human cost". *The Physician* 6.2 (2020).
4. De Araújo Morais AH., *et al.* "Nutritional status, diet and viral respiratory infections: perspectives for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2". *British Journal of Nutrition* 125.8 (2021): 851-862.
5. Fauci AS., *et al.* "Covid-19-navigating the uncharted". Mass Medical Society (2020): 1268-1269.
6. Velavan T and CG Meyer. "The COVID-19 epidemic". *Tropical Medicine and International Health* 25.3 (2020): 278.
7. De Roo SS., *et al.* "Planning for a COVID-19 vaccination program". *The Journal of the American Medical Association* 323.24 (2020): 2458-2459.
8. Romer D and KH Jamieson. "Conspiracy theories as barriers to controlling the spread of COVID-19 in the US". *Social Science and Medicine* 263 (2020): 113356.
9. Sahoo SS., *et al.* "The Achilles's™ heel in combating the COVID-19 pandemic in an Indian perspective". *Monaldi Archives for Chest Disease* 90.2 (2020).
10. De-Graft Aikins A. "Colonial virus'? Creative arts and public understanding of COVID-19 in Ghana". *Journal of the British Academy* 8 (2020): 401-413.
11. Amissah-Reynolds PK., *et al.* Prevalence of helminths in dogs and owners' awareness of zoonotic diseases in Mampong, Ashanti, Ghana (2016).
12. Gyimah P., *et al.* "Nexus between the COSO framework and the effectiveness of internal control systems: public universities' perspectives". *Euro Med Journal of Management* 4.4 (2022): 315-331.
13. Fisher BL. "The vaccine information war: Government, big pharma, Gates fund corporate media attack on 'Vaccine Hesitancy' as 'Public Threat' (2019).
14. Hotez, PJ., *et al.* "Combating vaccine hesitancy and other 21st century social determinants in the global fight against measles". *Current Opinion in Virology* 41 (2020): 1-7.

15. Sweileh WM. "Bibliometric analysis of global scientific literature on vaccine hesitancy in peer-reviewed journals (1990–2019)". *BMC Public Health* 20.1 (2020): 1-15.
16. Feemster KA. "Building vaccine acceptance through communication and advocacy". *Taylor and Francis* (2020): 1004-1006.
17. Kennedy J. "Vaccine hesitancy: a growing concern". *Pediatric Drugs* 22.2 (2020): 105-111.
18. Alzughabi S. Disparities in Anti-Vaccine Views: Twitter Contents Analysis During World Immunization Week (2020).
19. Silva AB, et al. "COVID-19 remote consultation services and population in health inequity-concentrating territories: a scoping review". *Telemedicine and e-Health* 27.8 (2021): 881-897.
20. Brazelton MA. "Mass vaccination: Citizens' bodies and state power in modern China". 2019: Cornell University Press (2019).
21. Zar HJ and TW Ferkol. "The global burden of respiratory disease-impact on child health". *Wiley Online Library* (2014): 430-434.
22. Rudan I, et al. "Epidemiology and etiology of childhood pneumonia". *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 86 (2008): 408-416B.
23. Perkins A. "Immunizations: What nurses should know". *Nursing Made Incredibly Easy* 16.4 (2018): 30-37.
24. Opong AK and M Oti-Boadi. "HIV/AIDS knowledge among undergraduate university students: implications for health education programs in Ghana". *African Health Sciences* 13.2 (2013): 270-277.
25. Tagoe M. "Students' perceptions on incorporating e-learning into teaching and learning at the University of Ghana". *International Journal of Education and Development Using ICT* 8.1 (2012): 91-103.
26. Kobia-Acquah E, et al. "Career aspirations and factors influencing career choices of optometry students in Ghana". *PloS One* 15.5 (2020): e0233862.
27. Adjei Gyimah A, et al. "Hepatitis B vaccination status and associated factors among university students in Ghana: A cross-sectional survey". *Cogent Medicine* 8.1 (2021): 2005226.
28. Wells CR and A Galvani. "The global impact of disproportionate vaccination coverage on COVID-19 mortality". *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 22.9 (2022): 1254-1255.
29. Dhama K, et al. "COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy—reasons and solutions to achieve a successful global vaccination campaign to tackle the ongoing pandemic". *Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics* 17.10 (2021): 3495-3499.
30. Yapi RB, et al. "Knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) regarding the COVID-19 outbreak in Côte d'Ivoire: Understanding the Non-compliance of populations with Non-pharmaceutical interventions". *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 18.9 (2021): 4757.
31. Scheifele DW, et al. "Evaluation of adverse events after influenza vaccination in hospital personnel". *CMAJ: Canadian Medical Association Journal* 142.2 (1990): 127.
32. Graeber D, et al. "Attitudes on voluntary and mandatory vaccination against COVID-19: Evidence from Germany". *PloS One* 16.5 (2021): e0248372.
33. Lucia VC, et al. "COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among medical students". *Journal of Public Health* 43.3 (2021): 445-449.
34. Foroozafar N. "Justification of Governments for Mandatory Use of Covid-19 Vaccination Digital Certificates under the European Convention on Human Rights". *Peace Human Rights Governance* 6.1 (2022).

35. Turbat B., *et al.* "Attitudes towards mandatory occupational vaccination and intention to get COVID-19 vaccine during the first pandemic wave among Mongolian healthcare workers: a cross-sectional survey". *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 19.1 (2021): 329.

Volume 19 Issue 6 June 2023

All rights reserved by Ebenezer Assoah., *et al.*