

Prevalence of Current Concepts in the Management of Acute Pancreatitis

Baraa Mohammed Hamed^{1*}, Saad Khaleel M Alonze², Muhammad Ibrahim A Mirdad³, Saif Mohammed Adnan M⁴, Hassan Ali H Alhamdi⁵, Abdullatif Mohammed Alomair⁶, Omaymah Ibrahim Yahya Daak⁷, Malak Meshari H Al Naeem⁸, Mohammed Abdulhafith Bafail⁹, Nada Fahad M Alsultan⁸, Layla Raya¹⁰, Alnaim Lama Fahad A⁸, Alharbi Abdulaziz Abdulrahman B¹¹, Alanazi Saqqar Abdulelah S², Fatimah Mohammed Alhamad¹² and Alaa Nasser Alahmed¹³

¹East Jeddah Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

²Princess Basma Hospital, Jordan

³Dammam Primary Health Care, Saudi Arabia

⁴Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia

⁵Prince Saud Bin Jalawi Hospital, Saudi Arabia

⁶King Faisal University, Hofuf, Saudi Arabia

⁷Jazan University, Saudi Arabia

⁸Prince Sultan Cardiac Center Hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁹King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

¹⁰King Abdulaziz Hospital (KAAH), Saudi Arabia

¹¹Umm Al Qura University, Mecca, Saudi Arabia

¹²Imams Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia

¹³King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

*Corresponding Author: Baraa Mohammed Hamed, East Jeddah Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Received: August 18, 2017; Published: August 21, 2017

Abstract

There has been an expansion in the rate of acute pancreatitis announced around the world. Regardless of enhancements in access to care, imaging and interventional strategies, acute pancreatitis keeps on being related with critical morbidity and mortality. Patients of acute pancreatitis giving systemic inflammatory reaction disorder are in danger of moderate-severe pancreatitis and subsequently, ought to be alluded to a tertiary center early. Most by far of patients with acute pancreatitis have mild syndrome and can be managed at smaller centers. Early forceful fluid resuscitation with controlled fluid extension, early enteral sustenance, and culture-coordinated antibiotics enhance results in acute pancreatitis. Tainted pancreatic corruption ought to be overseen in a tertiary care hospital inside a multidisciplinary setup. The setup approach including antibiotics, percutaneous seepage, and negligibly intrusive necrosectomy established successively in view of clinical reaction has enhanced the results in this subgroup of patients.

Keywords: Acute Pancreatitis; Antibiotics; Management

Introduction

Pancreatitis is procedure in which pancreatic enzymes autodigest the gland. The gland from time to time heals without any impairment of function or any morphologic changes; this procedure is known as acute pancreatitis. Pancreatitis can similarly recur intermittently, backing to the functional and morphologic loss of the gland; recurrent attacks are referred to as chronic pancreatitis [1]. The two types of pancreatitis may present in the emergency department (ED) with acute clinical results. Distinguishing patients with severe acute

pancreatitis as quickly as possible is critical for accomplishing ideal results. Once a working diagnosis of acute pancreatitis is achieved, laboratory tests are acquired to support the clinical impression, to help characterize the etiology, and to search for complications [2]. Diagnostic imaging is pointless in most cases but might be acquired when the diagnosis is in uncertainty, when severe pancreatitis is present, or when an imaging study may give particular data expected to answer a clinical inquiry. Image-guided objective might be helpful. Genetic testing might be considered. Management depends mainly on severity. Medical treatment of mild acute pancreatitis is comparatively direct. Treatment of severe acute pancreatitis includes intensive care; the aims of medical management are to give forceful strong care, to drop inflammation, to limit infection or superinfection, and to recognize and treat complications correctly. Surgical intervention (open or minimally invasive) is demonstrated in particular cases [3].

Signs and symptoms

The important symptom of acute pancreatitis is abdominal pain, which is symptomatically dull, boring, and steady. Regularly, the pain is sudden in onset and gradually intensifies in severity until reaching a constant ache. Most often, it is located in the upper abdomen, regularly in the epigastric region, but it may be perceived more on the left or right side, depending on which portion of the pancreas is involved. The pain radiates directly through the abdomen to the back in approximately one half of cases. Nausea and vomiting are regularly present, along with associated anorexia. Diarrhea can similarly take place. Positioning could be significant, because the discomfort frequently expands with the patient sitting up and bending forward. However, this improvement is usually temporary. The duration of pain varies but naturally lasts more than a day. It is the intensity and persistence of the pain that usually causes patients to seek medical attention. Ask the patient about recent effective or other invasive processes (e.g. endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography [ERCP]) or family history of hypertriglyceridemia. Patients frequently have a history of previous biliary colic and binge alcohol consumption, the main causes of acute pancreatitis [4].

A few uncommon physical findings are associated with severe necrotizing pancreatitis:

- Erythematous skin nodules may result from focal subcutaneous fat necrosis; these are usually not more than 1 cm in size and are typically located on extensor skin surfaces; in addition, polyarthritis is occasionally seen.
- The Cullen sign is a bluish discoloration around the umbilicus resulting from hemoperitoneum.
- The Grey-Turner sign is a reddish-brown discoloration along the flanks resulting from retroperitoneal blood dissecting along tissue planes; more commonly, patients may have a ruddy erythema in the flanks secondary to extravasated pancreatic exudate.

The following physical examination findings may be noted, varying with the severity of the disease [5]:

- Fever (76%) and tachycardia (65%) are mutual abnormal vital signs; hypotension might be noted.
- Abdominal tenderness, muscular guarding (68%), and distention (65%) are observed in most patients; bowel sounds are often diminished or absent because of gastric and transverse colonic ileus; guarding tends to be more pronounced in the upper abdomen.
- A minority of patients' exhibit jaundice (28%).
- Some patients have dyspnea (10%), which may be affected by irritation of the diaphragm (resulting from inflammation), pleural effusion, or a more serious condition, for example acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS); tachypnea may occur; lung auscultation may reveal basilar rales, especially in the left lung.
- In severe cases, hemodynamic instability is evident (10%) and hematemesis or melena sometimes develops (5%); in addition, patients with severe acute pancreatitis are often pale, diaphoretic, and listless.
- Occasionally, in the extremities, muscular spasm may be noted secondary to hypocalcemia.

Management of acute pancreatitis

Medical management of mild acute pancreatitis is moderately clear. The patient is kept NPO (nil per os-that is, nothing by mouth), and intravenous (IV) fluid hydration is given. Analgesics are managed for pain relief. Antibiotics are commonly not specified. In the event that ultrasonograms indicate proof of gallstones and if the reason for pancreatitis is accepted to be biliary, a cholecystectomy ought to be performed amid a similar healing center affirmation. Encouraging ought to be presented enterally as the patient's anorexia and torment settle. Patients can be started on a low-fat eating routine at first and need not perpetually begin their dietary headway utilizing an unmistakable fluid eating regimen. Efficient surveys and meta-examinations have demonstrated that organization of enteral nourishment may lessen mortality and irresistible confusions contrasted and parenteral sustenance. Although the perfect planning to start enteral sustaining stays undetermined, organization inside 48 hours gives off an impression of being protected and endured [6]. Serum amylase and lipase levels can be raised in patients with cerebrum damage (e.g. cerebrovascular mischance or brain trauma). These patients are for the most part nurtured in an intensive care unit and require mechanical ventilation. Pancreatic compound heights may rise and fall impressively finished numerous days to weeks. The rise is accepted to come about because of hyperstimulation of the pancreas by means of a focal instrument, yet no proof of intense pancreatitis is available on imaging considers. Patients with serious intense pancreatitis require escalated mind. Inside hours to days, various confusions (e.g. stun, pneumonic disappointment, renal disappointment, gastrointestinal [GI] bleeding, or multiorgan system failure) might create. The objectives of therapeutic administration are to give forceful steady care, to diminish irritation, to confine disease or superinfection, and to distinguish and regard complications as proper [7]. Autoimmune pancreatitis is an uncommon condition. Corticosteroids ought not to be utilized to treat this condition in the here and now in patients who are associated with having immune system pancreatitis and who give intense pancreatitis. No confirmation based rules determine when a patient ought to be exchanged to a more experienced or talented medicinal focus. Be that as it may, if serious intense pancreatitis is recommended either by the Atlanta criteria or by a C-reactive protein (CRP) level over 10 mg/dL, Ranson score of 4 or higher, or Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score of 9 or higher, consider exchange to a foundation where an intensivist staffs the basic care unit and an intrigued subspecialist experienced in the determination and treatment of pancreatitis is accessible [8]. Advance inpatient mind relies upon whether any of the entanglements of extreme pancreatitis create and how well patients react to treatment. This reaches from a couple of days to a while of serious care. Patients can be released when their torment is very much controlled with oral absence of pain, they can endure an oral eating regimen that keeps up their caloric needs, and the sum total of what confusions have been tended to sufficiently [9].

Initial Supportive Care

Nutritional support

General guidelines for nutritional support of patients with acute pancreatitis include the following:

- Total parenteral nutrition (TPN) might be necessary when patients cannot meet their caloric needs with enteral nutrition or when acceptable jejunal access cannot be retained; the TPN solution would include fat emulsions in amounts sufficient to avoid essential fatty acid deficiency.
- In patients with moderate-to-severe pancreatitis, begin nutritional support early in the course of management, as soon as stabilization of fluid and hemodynamic parameters permits; optimally, nasojejunal feedings with a low-fat formulation should be initiated at admission.
- In patients with mild uncomplicated pancreatitis, no benefit is perceived from nutritional support, and the energy (caloric) intake received with IV dextrose 5% in water (D5W) suffices; oral feedings must be initiated once the patient's pain and anorexia resolve.

- If surgery is necessary for diagnosis or complications of the disease, place a feeding jejunostomy at the time of the operation; use a low-fat formula.
- Begin oral feedings once abdominal pain has resolved and the patient regains appetite; the diet must be low in fat and protein.

Hypothetical contemplations with respect to the capacity of the enterocyte to keep up an obstruction against bacterial translocation support nasojejunal feedings-subsequently the suggestion to endeavor starting nasojejunal feedings at affirmation in all patients admitted to the intensive care unit. For patients with mellow intense pancreatitis, nasojejunal feedings can be maintained a strategic distance from unless patients can't endure oral admission for more than 1 week [10,11]. Research has not built up whether nasojejunal tubes have a benefit over nasogastric tubes for enteral feeding. In spite of the fact that TPN, which has been appeared to decrease mortality, might be vital in specific circumstances, it ought to for the most part be saved for use as a second line treatment, behind enteral feeding. A planned, randomized examination demonstrated that starting oral bolstering with a low-fat strong eating routine was also endured as starting sustaining with an unmistakable fluid eating regimen, yet it didn't bring about a shorter length of hospital stay [12]. In a 2014 randomized, multicenter investigation of 208 patients with intense pancreatitis, early gastroenteric tube bolstering was not better than an oral eating regimen started 72 hours after introduction. Tube feeding was given if the oral diet was not endured. Amid a half year of development, real disease or death happened in 30 of 101 patients (30%) in the early nasoenteric tube feeding group and in 28 of 104 patients (27%) in the oral diet group (hazard proportion, 1.07; 95% certainty interim, 0.79 to 1.44; $P = 0.76$). Of the 104 patients in the oral eating regimen gathering, 72 (69%) did not require tube sustaining [13].

Fluid resuscitation

Patients with acute pancreatitis lose a lot of fluids to third spacing into the retroperitoneum and intra-abdominal parts. Appropriately, they need prompt intravenous (IV) hydration within the first 24 hours. Particularly in the early stage of the disease, aggressive fluid revival is basically essential. This can't be overemphasized. There is no universal consent absolutely supporting one sort of fluid over another sort; both crystalloids and colloids are utilized. Resuscitation ought to be adequate to keep up hemodynamic stability. This commonly includes management of some liters of fluid as a bolus, trailed by continuous infusion at a rate of 250 - 500 mL/h. Central venous pressure, pulmonary artery wedge pressure, and urine output (> 0.5 mL/kg/h) can be followed up as markers of adequate hydration. Cautious care must be paid to signs of overhydration, for instance pulmonary edema causing hypoxia [14,15].

Emerging Pharmacologic Treatments

Despite the fact that the part that cytokines play in the systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) gives off an impression of being vital, an extensive clinical trial of lexipafant, a platelet-actuating factor adversary, has demonstrated no advantage in patients with extreme intense pancreatitis. Since various pathways are engaged with the provocative reaction, additionally inquire about is required keeping in mind the end goal to characterize which cytokine or mix of cytokines ought to be focused to enhance the complications of acute pancreatitis [16]. Treatment directed against tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) has been focused as a potential treatment of acute pancreatitis; nevertheless, clinical trials have not yet decided its value in this setting.

Surgical Interventions

Surgical intervention, whether by insignificantly invasive or conventional open methods, is specified when an anatomic complication acquiescent to a mechanical solution is present (e.g. acute necrotizing pancreatitis in which the necrotic phlegmon is excised to limit a potential site of sepsis, or hemorrhagic pancreatitis in which surgical control of bleeding is warranted). Based on the condition and local expertise, this might need the talents of an interventional radiologist, an interventional endoscopist, or surgeon [17].

Pancreatic duct disruption

Harm to the pancreatic ductal framework may enable the pancreatic juice to spill from the organ. The sudden advancement of hypocalcemia or a quick increment in retroperitoneal liquid on computed tomography (CT) is suggestive of this condition. When imaging ponders give confirming confirmation, the condition is at first overseen by percutaneous arrangement of a seepage tube into the liquid accumulation under the direction of ultrasonography or CT filtering. Fluid amylase or lipase levels in the 10,000s firmly recommend the nearness of a ductal interruption [18]. In the correct clinical setting, ERCP approves the diagnosis and provides a management option. Transpapillary stent placement or, if possible, placement of a 6 French nasopancreatic tube attached to an external bulb suction device can effectively treat leaks by removing the sphincter tone and changing the dynamics of fluid flow in favor of ductal healing. Occasionally, leaks are associated with downstream stenoses that are also amenable to endoscopic treatment. Refractory cases might warrant surgery. If a persistent leak is present in the tail of the gland, a distal pancreatectomy is preferred. If the leak is in the head of the gland, a Whipple procedure is the operation of choice.

Infected pancreatic necrosis

The clinician can't depend on clinical discoveries alone to separate contaminated and clean pancreatic putrefaction. At the point when clinical indications of contamination or SIRS are available in the setting of necrotizing pancreatitis, CT-guided needle desire is shown. Surgery is prescribed when substantial zones of the pancreas are necrotic and percutaneous CT-guided yearning exhibits disease on the basis of a positive Gram stain. Anti-microbial treatment alone is not adequate to accomplish a cure. Forceful surgical debridement and waste are important to expel dead tissue and to clear the contamination. A study of patients with necrotizing pancreatitis and infected necrotic tissue determined that a step-up method to management (containing of percutaneous drainage followed, if needed, by insignificantly invasive retroperitoneal necrosectomy) yielded improved results than standard care with open necrosectomy. Patients who received step-up treatment had a lower rate of major complications (new-onset multiorgan failure, multiple systemic complications, perforation of a visceral organ, enterocutaneous fistula, or bleeding) and death [19].

Pseudocysts

Peripancreatic liquid accumulations holding on for over a month are alluded to as intense pseudocysts. Pseudocysts do not have an epithelial layer and in this way are not viewed as genuine blisters. They likewise contrast from genuine growths in that they are normally loaded with necrotic trash instead of liquid. In like manner, pseudocysts might be better portrayed by the term sorted out putrefaction. Most pseudocysts can be taken after clinically. Nonetheless, when they are symptomatic (i.e. related with torment, bleeding, or contamination) or are bigger than 7 cm and are quickly extending in an intensely sick patient, intercession is shown. A few diverse remedial methodologies might be actualized, contingent upon the anatomic relations and on the length of the common history of the inconvenience. In those patients with extensive liquid accumulations, percutaneous desire of pancreatic pseudocysts is a sensible approach. Despite the fact that treatment disappointments are regular when the pseudocyst speaks with the pancreatic ductal framework, percutaneous waste fills in as an equivocating measure that may later prompt effective endoscopic or surgical intercession. Frequently, a tainted pseudocyst (which by definition is viewed as a pancreatic sore) can be effectively overseen by methods for percutaneous seepage. Pseudocysts may likewise be overseen endoscopically with transpapillary or transmural procedures. Transpapillary seepage requires the principle pancreatic channel to speak with the pseudocyst pit, in a perfect world in the head or body of the organ. The proximal end of the stent (which ought to be littler than the breadth of the pancreatic channel) is set into the cavity. The specialized achievement rate is 83%, the confusion rate 12%. By and large, be that as it may, pancreatic stents are hard to screen, are inclined to deterrent, and convey an expanded danger of disease and ductal damage. Some noncommunicating pseudocysts might be pliable to transmural enterocystostomy. Technical success needs a mature cyst that bulges into the foregut, and the distance from the lumen to the cyst cavity should be less than 1 cm. The success rate is 85%, the complication rate 17%. The transduodenal approach is associated with fewer complications and recurrences than the transgastric method [20].

Gallstone pancreatitis

It is ideal for patients conceded with gallstone pancreatitis to experience cholecystectomy before release, instead of being booked for a later date as an outpatient. Patients released with gallstone pancreatitis without a cholecystectomy are at high hazard for repetitive episodes of pancreatitis. Aboulian, *et al.* [21] found that in patients with gentle gallstone pancreatitis, performing laparoscopic cholecystectomy inside 48 hours of affirmation-paying little mind to whether stomach agony or research facility variations from the norm had settled-brought about a shorter hospital stay and had no obvious effect on the specialized trouble of the methodology or the perioperative complexity rate. In a study of information from 316 Italian patients conceded for non-severe intense gallstone pancreatitis more than 14 years, specialists found that short of what 33% (31%) experienced early laparoscopic cholecystectomy (≤ 72 hours). The most widely recognized components identified with surgical deferral were the need to 1- stabilize comorbid conditions and 2- preoperatively explore the basic bile channel; different variables were fundamentally exceptional age and an expanded frequency of clinical signs showing the nearness of normal bile conduit stones. Albeit early laparoscopic cholecystectomy seemed to abbreviate general hospitalization, the clinical results were comparative between the individuals who experienced early surgery and the individuals who experienced deferred laparoscopic cholecystectomy [22]. In the event that the imaging and laboratory study discoveries are predictable with serious intense gallstone pancreatitis that is not reacting to steady treatment or with rising cholangitis with declining signs and manifestations of impediment, early endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography with sphincterotomy and stone extraction is demonstrated.

Antibiotic Therapy

Antibiotics, normally medications of the imipenem class, ought to be utilized as a part of any instance of pancreatitis entangled by tainted pancreatic corruption. In any case, they ought not to be given routinely for fever, particularly right on time in the malady course, since this indication is all around secondary to the provocative reaction and ordinarily does not mirror an irresistible procedure. Some controlled trials have assessed the role of empiric antibiotics in patients with severe acute necrotizing pancreatitis for infectious prophylaxis. One such trial assessed the part of imipenem-cilastatin started at admission to forestall contaminated pancreatic corruption. This medication mix enters the pancreatic parenchyma and diminishes the danger of intra-stomach contamination. It seemed to offer some profit in anticipating irresistible complexities. Tragically, contagious superinfection has a tendency to grow later in the clinical course, in spite of the fact that this hazard is presumably overemphasized [23]. A randomized trial failed to show any benefit from giving ciprofloxacin and metronidazole to avoid infectious complications. Consequently, this drug combination is not routinely used for prophylaxis in the setting of acute pancreatitis. Basically, antibiotic prophylaxis in severe pancreatitis is provocative. At the moment, the routine use of antibiotics as prophylaxis against infection in severe acute pancreatitis is not suggested [24].

Conclusion

Acute pancreatitis has been seriously contemplated for a considerable length of time. Many reasons for Acute pancreatitis have been found, yet its pathogenetic speculations are various and questionable. The genuine idea of acute pancreatitis still stays to be clarified. The reasons for acute pancreatitis are different, and its mechanism is common. Once the hypothesis is affirmed, conventional helpful methodologies against acute pancreatitis might be enhanced, and decompression of pancreatic pipe weight ought to be pushed in the treatment of intense pancreatitis which may incredibly enhance the result of acute pancreatitis.

Bibliography

1. Lund H., *et al.* "Long-term recurrence and death rates after acute pancreatitis". *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology* 41.2 (2006): 234-238.
2. Steer LM. "Etiology and pathogenesis of acute pancreatitis". *Annali Italiani di Chirurgia* 66.2 (1995): 159-163.

3. Gou S., *et al.* "Use of probiotics in the treatment of severe acute pancreatitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials". *Critical Care* 18.2 (2014): R57.
4. Parenti DM., *et al.* "Infectious causes of acute pancreatitis". *Pancreas* 13.4 (1996): 356-371.
5. Working Group IAP/APA Acute Pancreatitis Guidelines. "IAP/APA evidence-based guidelines for the management of acute pancreatitis". *Pancreatology* 13 (2013): e1-e15.
6. Krishnan K. "Nutritional management of acute pancreatitis". *Current Opinion in Gastroenterology* 33.2 (2017): 102-106.
7. Working Party of the British Society of Gastroenterology Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland Pancreatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland Association of Upper GI Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland. "UK guidelines for the management of acute pancreatitis". *Gut* 54.3 (2005): iii1-iii9.
8. Banks PA., *et al.* "Classification of acute pancreatitis--2012: revision of the Atlanta classification and definitions by international consensus". *Gut* 62.1 (2013): 102-111.
9. Sun JK., *et al.* "Early enteral nutrition prevents intra-abdominal hypertension and reduces the severity of severe acute pancreatitis compared with delayed enteral nutrition: A prospective pilot study". *World Journal of Surgery* 37.9 (2013): 2053-2060.
10. Warndorf MG., *et al.* "Early fluid resuscitation reduces morbidity among patients with acute pancreatitis". *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 9.8 (2011): 705-709.
11. Gardner TB., *et al.* "Faster rate of initial fluid resuscitation in severe acute pancreatitis diminishes in-hospital mortality". *Pancreatolgy* 9.6 (2009): 770-776.
12. Jacobson BC., *et al.* "A prospective, randomized trial of clear liquids versus low-fat solid diet as the initial meal in mild acute pancreatitis". *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 5.8 (2007): 946-951.
13. Bakker OJ., *et al.* "Early versus on-demand nasoenteric tube feeding in acute pancreatitis". *New England Journal of Medicine* 371.21 (2014): 1983-1993.
14. Flint RS and Windsor JA. "The role of the intestine in the pathophysiology and management of severe acute pancreatitis". *HPB (Oxford)* 5.2 (2003): 69-85.
15. Koh YY., *et al.* "The effect of intestinal permeability and endotoxemia on the prognosis of acute pancreatitis". *Gut Liver* 6.4 (2012): 505-511.
16. Johnson CD., *et al.* "Double blind, randomised, placebo controlled study of a platelet activating factor antagonist, lexipafant, in the treatment and prevention of organ failure in predicted severe acute pancreatitis". *Gut* 48.1 (2001): 62-69.
17. Pilleul F., *et al.* "Preoperative evaluation of intraductal papillary mucinous tumors performed by pancreatic magnetic resonance imaging and correlated with surgical and histopathologic findings". *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging* 21.3 (2005): 237-244.
18. Ai X., *et al.* "Ultrasound-guided percutaneous drainage may decrease the mortality of severe acute pancreatitis". *Journal of Gastroenterology* 45.1 (2010): 77-85.
19. van Santvoort HC., *et al.* "A step-up approach or open necrosectomy for necrotizing pancreatitis". *New England Journal of Medicine* 362.16 (2010): 1491-1502.
20. Beger HG and Rau BM. "Severe acute pancreatitis: clinical course and management". *World Journal of Gastroenterology* 13.38 (2007): 5043-5051.

21. Aboulian A., *et al.* "Early cholecystectomy safely decreases hospital stay in patients with mild gallstone pancreatitis: a randomized prospective study". *Annals of Surgery* 251.4 (2010): 615-619.
22. Guadagni S., *et al.* "Early cholecystectomy for non-severe acute gallstone pancreatitis: easier said than done". *Minerva Chirurgica* 72.2 (2017): 91-97.
23. Maravi-Poma E., *et al.* "Early antibiotic treatment (prophylaxis) of septic complications in severe acute necrotizing pancreatitis: a prospective, randomized, multicenter study comparing two regimens with imipenem-cilastatin". *Intensive Care Medicine* 29.11 (2003): 1974-1980.
24. Isenmann R., *et al.* "Prophylactic antibiotic treatment in patients with predicted severe acute pancreatitis: a placebo-controlled, double-blind trial". *Gastroenterology* 126.4 (2004): 997-1004.

Volume 11 Issue 1 August 2017

©All rights reserved by Baraa Mohammed Hamed., *et al.*