

Current Trends in Management of Caesarean Scar Pregnancy

Suneeta Mittal1* and Pakhee Aggarwal2

¹Professor, Director and Head, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Fortis Memorial Research Institute, Gurugram, NCR, India ²Senior Consultant, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Fortis Memorial Research Institute, Gurugram, NCR, India

*Corresponding Author: Suneeta Mittal, Professor, Director and Head, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Fortis Memorial Research Institute, Gurugram, NCR, India.

Received: June 12, 2020; Published: November 07, 2020

Abstract

There is a global rise in number of caesarean scar ectopic pregnancies parallel with increasing trend towards operative deliveries. There is a diagnostic as well as management dilemma in these cases. There is no consensus yet on optimal management. Current trends with their pros and cons are discussed.

Keywords: Caesarean Scar Ectopic; Methotrexate; KCL; Uterine Artery Embolization; Hysteroscopy; Laparoscopy; Evacuation

Abbreviations

KCL: Potassium Chloride; hCG: Human Chorionic Gonadotrophin

Introduction

The rate of caesarean delivery is increasing worldwide. Overall ectopic pregnancy number has also multiplied six-fold in last 2 decades [1]. Caesarean scar pregnancy is one of the rarest forms of ectopic pregnancy. However, the proportion is gradually rising, in parallel with increasing incidence of caesarean section [2]. Approximately 1 in 2000 pregnancies are implanted over a previous cesarean scar. Studies have shown that currently upto 6% of ectopic pregnancies occur in women with a prior caesarean delivery. The risk does not increase with increasing number of caesareans in a woman [3,4]. Pregnancies implanted in previous myomectomy scars have also been reported in literature [5].

To label a pregnancy as scar pregnancy, the pregnancy has to be located in the scar surrounded by myometrium and connective tissue. It may occur either by the embryo directly implanting on a defect in the lower segment scar or the embryo migrating through a microscopic fistula within the scar [6,7].

Risk factors

A wedge defect of the Cesarean scar may favour implantation over the scar [8]. Besides having a scarred uterus, associated adenomyosis, previous dilation and curettage, manual removal of the placenta and history of *in vitro* fertilization may contribute [6,9]. There are no reliable scientific data on the risk of recurrence of scar ectopic in future pregnancy or on role of the interval between the previous caesarean delivery and occurrence of scar pregnancy, as well as the effect of caesarean wound closure technique.

Clinical presentations

Most women will miss a period and may start with vaginal bleeding or cramping. Rarely a woman may present with rupture and shock of sudden onset [7,10]. Sometimes it is misdiagnosed as spontaneous abortion [11]. However, up to 40 percent of women are asymptomatic, and the diagnosis is made during routine sonographic examination [4]. Rarely, early rupture can lead to an abdominal pregnancy.

Diagnosis

In the past the diagnosis was made late in the first trimester, and was mostly established after hysterectomy for uncontrollable, even life-threatening, hemorrhage from the eroded blood vessels within the myometrial tissue [12]. A high index of suspicion is necessary to diagnose before rupture.

All women of reproductive age with abdominal pain, uterine bleeding, or menstrual abnormalities should be tested for pregnancy. Once β hCG assay is positive, a high resolution transvaginal ultrasound examination is done to establish the location of pregnancy. Transvaginal ultrasound and color flow Doppler provide a high diagnostic accuracy with very few false positives, but false negative rate is high unless there is a high index of suspicion. Quite often diagnosis is missed and women are treated as having abortion with intrauterine pregnancy and diagnosis is suspected only after evacuation of an incomplete abortion with persistent bleeding and pain.

Imaging criteria for diagnosis include: empty uterus, empty cervical canal, a bulge in the scar with pregnancy embedded in the mass between the bladder and the anterior uterine wall, and thinning or complete absence of healthy myometrium between the bladder and the sac [12-14]. Ultrasound imaging with 3D is useful [15]. Adding color Doppler shows perfusion in the periphery of sac with discontinuity of the anterior uterine wall in the sagittal plane [16]. Magnetic resonance imaging and hysteroscopy may sometimes be required to confirm the diagnosis. It is important to differentiate scar ectopic from cervical ectopic pregnancy and placenta accreta [10].

To differentiate intrauterine from scar ectopic pregnancy an easy technique has been described: to measure the location of the center of the gestational sac relative to the midpoint axis of the uterus. Most scar pregnancies are located proximal to the midpoint axis of the uterus. This has a high sensitivity (93.0%) and specificity (98.9%) [17]. Scar pregnancy may be endogenic (growing towards uterine cavity) or exogenic (growing towards bladder).

Management

Prompt diagnosis and optimal treatment is essential for a cesarean scar pregnancy. A delay in diagnosis and/or treatment can lead to uterine rupture, major hemorrhage, hysterectomy and serious maternal morbidity. Early diagnosis can help in preserving the uterus and future fertility. Management plan is individually tailored according to clinical presentation (Table 1).

Patient factors	Symptoms and haemodynamic stability
	Desire for future fertility
	Acceptability of prolonged follow up
	Associated medical and surgical risk factors
	Response to initial treatment
Pregnancy factors	Gestational age of ectopic
	Human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) levels
	Size of ectopic mass and viability
	Type of scar ectopic
	Myometrial thickness surrounding the sac/scar integrity
Facility factors	Availability of interventional radiology
	Surgical and endoscopic expertise
	Follow-up and monitoring

Table 1: Factors influencing management

Earlier, surgery was the first option as soon as diagnosis was suspected. Currently several options have been explored for management. No universal treatment guidelines have been established, and options have ranged from systemic or local injection of methotrexate [18,19], local injection of 5 mEq potassium chloride (KCL) into the sac [20], suction curettage under transabdominal ultrasound guidance [21,22], hysteroscopy guided excision [23], uterine artery embolization [24,25], surgical wedge resection of the ectopic pregnancy on laparoscopy or laparotomy [26,27], and rarely hysterectomy. Various management options are listed in table 2. There are several case reports using these management strategies. Several modalities have also been used in combination like systemic methotrexate with local KCL [28,29]. Methotrexate has also been used as preoperative treatment followed by curettage [30], in combination with uterine artery embolization [31,32], for recurrence [33] and post operatively [22]. Disadvantages of pure medical management include a slow resolution of pregnancy and a risk of rupture and bleeding any time resulting in an emergency.

Used very rarely in selected cases, high failure
Systemic methotrexate
Local injection of methotrexate with sac aspiration
Local injection of KCLUterine artery embolisation
Methotrexate injection plus local KCL
Uterine artery embolisation and methotrexate
Dilatation and evacuation
Hysteroscopic resection
Vaginal excision and resuturing
Laparoscopic /open excision and resuturing
Hysterectomy
Combined laparoscopic and hysteroscopic procedure
Combined abdominal and vaginal procedure
Uterine artery embolisation followed by dilatation and evacuation/resection after 24 - 48 hours
Methotrexate followed by surgical evacuation/resection after 24-48 hours
Surgery followed by methotrexate

Table 2: Methods of management

Surgical management includes vaginal evacuation under ultrasound or hysteroscopy guidance or simultaneous hysteroscopy and laparoscopy [34-36] with removal of products and repair of scar depending on the operative findings. Sometimes if uterine bleeding is more, intra-uterine balloon catheter insertion is required for tamponade [37]. Surgical management is more controlled under endoscopic vision

and gives an opportunity to close the defect at the same time if future fertility is desired. It can be carried out when medical management has failed.

Currently evidence is insufficient to suggest superiority of one approach over other in terms of efficacy and complication risk, however current trend is to individualize the treatment and combine both medical and surgical management. This could be pre-operative methotrexate or uterine artery embolization to reduce intraoperative blood loss [26] followed by hysteroscopy and/or laparoscopy or ultrasound guided evacuation. Hysteroscopic removal of conceptus implanted in a cesarean section scar is considered a safe and feasible procedure and helps preserving future fertility. We have successfully managed 5 cases with this approach with minimum blood loss (Mittal S, unpublished data). Expectant management is no longer used because of the risk of rupture and maternal death [38].

In a stable patient, the most appropriate current management is systemic methotrexate followed by hysteroscopy guided evacuation of products of conception under laparoscopic supervision. Sometimes products may need abdominal removal through laparoscope including wedge resection of ectopic sac and resuturing of scar. A patient who is showing signs of hemorrhage or hemodynamic instability may require laparotomy and sometimes hysterectomy.

If a patient has completed her family, option of hysterectomy or tubal ligation should be offered as in subsequent pregnancy, implantation may again take place on the scar [39]. Even if subsequent pregnancy is intrauterine, there is always a risk of serious complications like placenta accreta, uterine rupture or antepartum haemorrhage [40]. An early ultrasound needs to be done in the subsequent pregnancy [41]. If everything is normal, she should be closely monitored and delivered by an early caesarean between 34 - 36 weeks of gestation and cesarean hysterectomy may be considered if appropriate [42].

Conclusion

With modern technology in expert hands, caesarean scar pregnancy can be diagnosed early and judiciously managed with preop methotrexate and hysteroscopic removal of products under laparoscopic guidance.

Conflict of Interest

None.

Bibliography

- 1. Barnhart K., et al. "An update on the medical treatment of ectopic pregnancy". Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics of North America 27 (2000): 653-667.
- 2. Birge Ö., et al. "Medical management of cesarean scar pregnancy at advanced age: case report and literature review". Clinical and Experimental Obstetrics and Gynecology 43.1 (2016): 140-142.
- Valley MT., et al. "Cesarean scar pregnancy: imaging and treatment with conservative surgery". Obstetrics and Gynecology 91 (1998):
 838.
- 4. Rotas MA., *et al.* "Cesarean scar ectopic pregnancies: etiology, diagnosis, and management". *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 107 (2006): 1373.
- 5. Wong KS., et al. "Myomectomy scar ectopic pregnancy". Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology 50 (2010): 93.
- 6. Marchiolé P., et al. "Intramural pregnancy embedded in a previous Cesarean section scar treated conservatively". *Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 23 (2004): 307.

- 7. Ash A., et al. "Caesarean scar pregnancy". An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology 114 (2007): 253.
- Ofili-Yebovi D., et al. "Deficient lower-segment Cesarean section scars: prevalence and risk factors". Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology 31 (2008): 72.
- 9. Chueh HY., et al. "Ectopic twin pregnancy in cesarean scar after in vitro fertilization/embryo transfer: case report". Fertility and Sterility 90.5 (2008): e19-e21.
- 10. Vial Y., et al. "Pregnancy in a cesarean scar". Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology 16 (2000): 592.
- 11. Einenkel J., et al. "A misdiagnosed case of caesarean scar pregnancy". Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics 271.2 (2005): 178-181.
- 12. Calì G., et al. "Changes in ultrasonography indicators of abnormally invasive placenta during pregnancy". *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 140 (2018): 319.
- 13. Armstrong V., et al. "Detection of cesarean scars by transvaginal ultrasound". Obstetrics and Gynecology 101 (2003): 61.
- 14. Monteagudo A., et al. "Saline infusion sonohysterography in nonpregnant women with previous cesarean delivery: the "niche" in the scar". *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine* 20 (2001): 1105.
- 15. Lee SR., et al. "Cesarean scar pregnancy associated with an impending uterine rupture diagnosed with 3-dimensional ultrasonography". American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology 216 (2017): 531.e1.
- 16. Shih JC. "Cesarean scar pregnancy: diagnosis with three-dimensional (3D) ultrasound and 3D power Doppler". *Ultrasound in Obstet- rics and Gynecology* 23 (2004): 306.
- 17. Timor-Tritsch IE., et al. "Easy sonographic differential diagnosis between intrauterine pregnancy and cesarean delivery scar pregnancy in the early first trimester". American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology 215.2 (2016): 225.e1-7.
- 18. De Vaate AJ., et al. "Therapeutic options of caesarean scar pregnancy: case series and literature review". Journal of Clinical Ultrasound 38.2 (2010): 75-84.
- 19. Zong L., et al. "Successful Treatment of a Recurrent Cesarean Scar Pregnancy by Transvaginal Cesarean Scar Pregnancy Lesion Resection: A Case Report". *The Journal of Reproductive Medicine* 61.11-12 (2016): 595-597.
- 20. Wang CN., *et al.* "Successful management of heterotopic cesarean scar pregnancy combined with intrauterine pregnancy after in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer". *Fertility and Sterility* 88 (2007): 706.e13-6.
- 21. Kucera E., *et al.* "Ektopická gravidita v jizvě po císarském rezu [Cesarean scar ectopic pregnancy]". *Ceská Gynekologie* 72.3 (2007): 207-213.
- 22. Huang L., *et al.* "High-intensity focused ultrasound combined with dilatation and curettage for Cesarean scar pregnancy". *Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 43.1 (2014): 98-101.
- 23. Wang CJ., et al. "Hysteroscopic management of heterotopic cesarean scar pregnancy". Fertility and Sterility 94.41529 (2010): e15-e18.
- 24. Timor-Tritsch IE., et al. "Cesarean scar pregnancies: experience of 60 cases". Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine 34.4 (2015): 601-610.
- 25. Yela DA and Marchiani N. "Tratamento conservador da gravidez ectópica em cicatriz de cesárea: relato de caso [Conservative management of ectopic pregnancy in cesarean scar: case report]". The Brazilian Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics 35.5 (2013): 233-237.
- 26. Yang MJ and Jeng MH. "Combination of transarterial embolization of uterine arteries and conservative surgical treatment for pregnancy in a cesarean section scar. A report of 3 cases". *The Journal of Reproductive Medicine* 48.3 (2003): 213-216.

- 27. Bolanca I., et al. "Repeated cesarean scar pregnancy Case report". Clinical and Experimental Obstetrics and Gynecology 43.5 (2016): 774-776.
- 28. Goynumer G., et al. "Treatment of a viable caesarean scar pregnancy with transvaginal methotrexate and potassium chloride injection". Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics 280.5 (2009): 869-872.
- 29. Michaels AY, *et al.* "Outcome of cesarean scar pregnancies diagnosed sonographically in the first trimester". *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine* 34.4 (2015): 595-599.
- 30. Graesslin O., et al. "Conservative treatment of ectopic pregnancy in a cesarean scar". Obstetrics and Gynecology 105 (2005): 869.
- 31. Elito Júnior J., *et al.* "Uterine artery embolization with methotrexate infusion as treatment for cesarean scar pregnancy. Case report". *Medical Ultrasonography* 15.3 (2013): 240-243.
- 32. Kiley J and Shulman LP. "Cesarean scar ectopic pregnancy in a patient with multiple prior cesarean sections: a case report". *The Journal of Reproductive Medicine* 54.4 (2009): 251-254.
- 33. Holland MG and Bienstock JL. "Recurrent ectopic pregnancy in a cesarean scar". Obstetrics and Gynecology 111 (2008): 541-545.
- 34. Colomé C., et al. "Conservative treatment by endoscopy of a cesarean scar pregnancy: two case reports". Clinical and Experimental Obstetrics and Gynecology 36.2 (2009): 126-129.
- 35. Lee CL., *et al.* "Laparoscopic management of an ectopic pregnancy in a previous Caesarean section scar". *Human Reproduction* 14.5 (1999): 1234-1236.
- 36. Api M., et al. "Should Cesarean Scar Defect Be Treated Laparoscopically? A Case Report and Review of the Literature". Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology 22.7 (2015): 1145-1152.
- 37. Polat I., et al. "Suction curettage as first line treatment in cases with cesarean scar pregnancy: feasibility and effectiveness in early pregnancy". *Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine* 29.7 (2016): 1066-1071.
- 38. Deans R and Abbott J. "Hysteroscopic management of cesarean scar ectopic pregnancy". Fertility and Sterility (93 (2010): 1735.
- 39. Ben Nagi J., *et al.* "Reproductive outcomes of women with a previous history of Caesarean scar ectopic pregnancies". *Human Reproduction* 22 (2007): 2012.
- 40. Seow KM., et al. "Subsequent pregnancy outcome after conservative treatment of a previous cesarean scar pregnancy". Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica 83 (2004): 1167.
- 41. Rosen T. "Placenta accreta and cesarean scar pregnancy: overlooked costs of the rising cesarean section rate". *Clinics in Perinatology* 35 (2008): 519.
- 42. Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine (SMFM). "Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine (SMFM) Consult Series #49: Cesarean scar pregnancy". *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 222 (2020): B2.

Volume 9 Issue 12 December 2020 ©All rights reserved by Suneeta Mittal and Pakhee Aggarwal.