

D-Dimer in the Prognosis of Acute Pancreatitis

Verónica Ayala^{1*}, Jaysoom Abarca², Gustavo Ayala¹, Isabel Guaman¹, Roberto Barreno¹, Paul Abarca¹, Néstor Basantes¹, Edgar Changoluisa¹, Enrique Carrera², Wendy Calderón² and Carolina del Salto³

¹Hospital Metropolitano de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

²Hospital Eugenio Espejo, Quito, Ecuador

³Hospital Generaly Docente de Calderón, Quito, Ecuador

*Corresponding Author: Verónica Ayala, Hospital Metropolitano de Quito, Quito, Ecuador.

Received: February 25, 2019; Published: June 21, 2019

Abstract

Introduction: Acute pancreatitis has always represented a medical challenge because of its complexity according to severity; thus, several tools have been proposed to more accurately determine whether we are facing a mild versus a moderate or severe pancreatitis. Consequently, in recent years, the BISAP (Bedside Index of Severe in Acute Pancreatitis) scoring system has been used as a highly sensitive tool to determine the severity of acute pancreatitis.

Objective: This study will determine that a D-dimer marker greater than 0.5 ug/dL is highly sensitive in the identification of a moderate or severe pancreatitis in relation to a BISAP score.

Methodology: An epidemiological analytic cross-sectional time-period design study was carried out. Sixty patients admitted to the Hospital Eugenio Espejo with an acute pancreatitis diagnosis were evaluated and were submitted to the BISAP scoring system and the D-Dimer test 24 and 48 hours after admission.

Results: The results found included the observation that the D-Dimer test in relation to the BISAP scoring system had a 100% sensitivity in the identification of severe pancreatitis, with a 26% specificity in 24 hours and 100% sensitivity in 48 hours, with a 76% specificity, in addition to a 100% negative predictive value.

Conclusion: In conclusion, the D-dimer marker is a highly sensitive tool to determine severe pancreatitis, and it is considerably help-ful as a negative predictive value.

Keywords: Acute Pancreatitis; D-Dimer; BISAP Score

Introduction

Acute pancreatitis is a highly prevalent disease at the hospital level where the cost of medical care can reach high fee due to hospitalization time [1]. Therefore, a diagnostic tool is still being proposed worldwide for patients with moderate or severe acute pancreatitis to perform an adequate management and avoid the possibility of longer hospitalization in a patient.

Currently, acute pancreatitis is divided into mild, if there is no evidence of systemic or local complications; moderate if there are transient complications that are corrected within 48 hours, and severe if the complications are persistent and last more than 48 hours [1].

For the evaluation of the type of acute pancreatitis that the physician faces, several clinical and biochemical scales have been proposed, but the BISAP (Bedside Index of Severity in Acute Pancreatitis) remains a score that measures 5 parameters that is very useful for the determination of the severity of this disease and that have shown the same validation in mortality prediction [1-14].

Citation: Verónica Ayala, *et al.* "D-Dimer in the Prognosis of Acute Pancreatitis". *EC Gastroenterology and Digestive System* 6.7 (2019): 532-539.

D-Dimer are products of fibrin degradation that are formed in thrombotic disorders such as pulmonary thromboembolism and venous thrombosis and in these entities it is of great help for the diagnosis [2]. Severe pancreatitis leads to ischemia of the pancreas and subsequently to the necrosis of the same by alteration in the microcirculation and in turn multiple organ damage may occur.

The measurement of D-dimer as a diagnostic tool for the severity of acute pancreatitis has been raised in some studies [3,4] and it has even been proposed that a D-Dimer greater than 500 ug/dl is the cut-off point to determine the presence of severity in the acute pancreatitis [3-5].

Methodology

An analytical epidemiological cross-sectional period design was applied. We worked on 60 patients admitted to hospital at the Eugenio Espejo Hospital with a diagnosis of acute pancreatitis who met the inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria. This sample was sufficient according to international bibliography.

Inclusion criteria

- 1. Men or women over 18 years old.
- 2. Patients admitted to hospital with a diagnosis of acute pancreatitis that meet two criteria of 3:
 - Acute abdominal pain less than 5 days evolution.
 - Amylase or lipase greater than 3n.
 - Image that suggests acute pancreatitis.
- 3. Patients who agree to enter the study.

Exclusion criteria

- 1. Patients with acute cholecystitis, acute cholangitis.
- 2. Patients with acute pancreatitis after retrograde cholangiography endoscopic.
- 3. Patients who do not wish to participate in the study.
- 4. Patients under 18 years of age.

The BISAP score and D-dimer determination were performed at 24 hours and 48 hours after admission. Based on the BISAP, acute pancreatitis was classified and other variables were studied such as age, alcohol consumption, time of onset of symptoms and time of evaluation. The determination of Dimer D with the Cobas 6000 Team with the reagent D-DI2 Tina-quant dimer D of the second generation. This test was processed in the Eugenio Espejo Hospital laboratory and the cut-off value was 0.5 ug/dl for positivity.

Results

This study was carried out on 60 subjects admitted to hospitalization of the Eugenio Espejo Hospital in 2017 between the months of January and July with the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis fulfilling the inclusion criteria, to whom the BISAP score was determined and the determination of dimer D at 24 hours after admission and 48 hours later the results found were as follows.



Graph 1: Percentual sex distribution in acute pancreatitis inpatients of Hospital Eugenio Espejo between January and July 2017. Source: Research data Elaboration: Dra. Verónica Ayala

Citation: Verónica Ayala, et al. "D-Dimer in the Prognosis of Acute Pancreatitis". EC Gastroenterology and Digestive System 6.7 (2019): 532-539.

Graph 2 shows that of the 60 subjects studied they were in an age range from 18 to 94 years with an average of 50.6 ± 21.7 years.





Table 1 shows a percentage distribution of age in two age groups consisting of those under 65 years who occupied 70% of the subjects and a group of older and equal to 65 years that corresponded to 30%.

Age	No	%
< 65 Years	42	70
≥ 65 Years	18	30
Total	60	100

Table 1: Percentage distribution of age in patients with acute pancreatitis Hospitalized in the Eugenio Espejo

Hospital from January to July 2017.

Source: Research data.

Elaboration: Dra. Verónica Ayala.

Alcohol Consumption	No	%
Mild or none	57	95
Moderate or high	3	5
Total	60	100

Table 2: Consumption of alcohol in patients with acute pancreatitis hospitalized in the Hospital Eugenio Espejo, 2017.

Source: Research data.

Elaboration: Dra. Verónica Ayala.

In alcohol consumption, two groups were selected, a group with occasional or mild consumption who occupied 95% of the subjects in the study, and another group with moderate to high consumption, who represented 5%.

The time of onset of symptoms of pancreatitis until they went to hospitalization of Eugenio Espejo Hospital averaged 38 ± 35.8 hours.

The table 3 shows the prevalence of severe pancreatitis that is established at 48 hours in 8.3% of the subjects under study and 91% of subjects with mild pancreatitis. At 24 hours the subjects defined as severe or moderate pancreatitis occupied 16.6% of the subjects in the study and of the patients with mild pancreatitis was 83.33%.

Citation: Verónica Ayala, et al. "D-Dimer in the Prognosis of Acute Pancreatitis". EC Gastroenterology and Digestive System 6.7 (2019): 532-539.

Severity of pancreatitis according to bisap	No	%
At 24 hours		
Moderate or severe	10	16,6
Mild	50	83,33
At 48 hours		
Severe	5	8,3
Mild	55	91

Table 3: Prevalence of severe acute pancreatitis according to the BISAP score at 24 hours and 48 hours.

Source: I	Rese	arch data.	
Elaboration: l	Dra.	Verónica A	yala

D-dimer	BISAP	
	Positive	Negative
Positive	10	37
Negative	0	13

Table 4: Relationship between D-Dimer and BISAP to determine pancreatitis severe after 24 hours of evaluation.

Sensitivity: 100%, Specificity: 26%, VPP: 21% and VPN: 100%.

Source: Research data.

Elaboration: Dra. Verónica Ayala.

D-dimer	BISAP	
	Positive	Negative
Positive	5	42
Negative	0	13

 Table 5: Relationship between D-Dimer and BISAP to determine pancreatitis severe after 48 hours of evaluation.

Sensitivity: 100%, Specificity: 76%, VPP: 10% and VPN: 100%.

Source: Research data.

Elaboration: Dra. Verónica Ayala.

In the relationship between D-dimer and BISAP to determine severe pancreatitis, it is observed that the D-dimer at 24 hours has a sensitivity of 100% with a specificity of 26% and a negative predictive value of 100%. Although, at 48 hours D-dimer has a sensitivity of 100% with a specificity of 76% and a negative predictive value of 100%.



Graph 3: Correlation between absolute values of D-Dimer versus absolute values of BISAP. Source: Research data. Elaboration: Dra. Verónica Ayala.

When making a correlation between the values obtained from D-dimer and the absolute value of the BISAP score, a rising trend is observed in which the higher the BISAP score, the higher the D-dimer value.

It is important to mention that two patients were withdrawn from the study due to death in the first 24 hours.

Discussion

Acute pancreatitis remains a pathology of concern due to its possible complications, therefore some tools have been created that determine the prognosis of mortality or the prediction of severe acute pancreatitis. In this study, 60 patients diagnosed with acute pancreatitis who were admitted to the Eugenio Espejo Hospital were evaluated and the BISAP score and D-Dimer determination were performed 24 hours and 48 hours after admission.

The subjects studied were at an average age of 50.6 ± 22 years of which 70% were under the age of 60 years.

Of the subjects studied, 16% at 24 hours presented severe acute pancreatitis compared to the study by Radencovic who had 22% and this number in the two studies dropped to 48 hours after evaluation, according to the criteria to define severe acute pancreatitis [3].

Among the results found in this study it was observed that the determination of D-Dimer in relation to the BISAP as the gold test, showed a high sensitivity of 100% in identifying severe pancreatitis with a specificity at 24 hours of 26% and 48 hours a sensitivity of 100% with a specificity of 76%. In comparison with published studies Boskovic et al, in a study published in 2014 conducted at 35 patients found a similar sensitivity to determine d-dimer in search of multiorgan failure with a sensitivity of 100%, with a specificity of 62.1% [4].

The negative predictive value of D-dimer was 100%, which is why this study suggests that when d-dimer is determined in patients with acute pancreatitis, if the value obtained is less than 0.5 ugUEF/ml there is great security to predict that this group of patients will not develop severe pancreatitis.

Although the study did not seek to determine the correlation of D-dimer values and BISAP score, the values obtained when correlating them show high values in patients with severe pancreatitis versus patients with mild acute pancreatitis [15-68].

Conclusions

The determination of D-dimer greater than 0.5 ugUEF/ml is highly sensitive to identify moderate or severe pancreatitis compared with the BISAP score in patients admitted to the hospitalization of the Eugenio Espejo Hospital in Ecuador.

The usefulness of D-dimer as a negative predictive value in patients with acute pancreatitis suggests that when determining D-dimer, if the value obtained is less than 0.5 ug/ml, there is great certainty to predict that this group of patients will not develop severe or moderate pancreatitis.

Bibliography

- 1. Banks PA., *et al.* "Vege SS Classification of acute pancreatitis--2012: revision of the Atlanta classification and definitions by international consensus. Acute Pancreatitis Classification Working Group". *Gut* 62.1 (2013): 102-111.
- 2. Fagenholz. "Increasing Unites States admissions for acute pancreatitis". Annals of Epidemiology 17.7 (2007): 491-497.
- Radenkovic D., et al. "d-Dimer in Acute Pancreatitis: A New Approach for an Early Assessment of Organ Failure". Pancreas 38.6 (2009): 655-660.
- 4. Boskovic A., *et al.* "The role of D-dimer in prediction of the course and outcome in pediatric acute pancreatitis". *Pancreatology* 14.5 (2014): 330-334.
- 5. Elf JL., *et al.* "Clinical probability assessment and D dimer determination in patients with suspected deep vein thrombosis, a prospective multicenter management study". *Thrombosis Research 123.4* (2009): 612-616.
- 6. Ke L1., *et al.* "D-dimer as a marker of severity in patients with severe acute pancreatitis". *Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences* 19.3 (2012): 259-265.

Citation: Verónica Ayala., *et al.* "D-Dimer in the Prognosis of Acute Pancreatitis". *EC Gastroenterology and Digestive System* 6.7 (2019): 532-539.

- 7. Sukhbir Singh B., *et al.* "Prognostic significance of D-dimer, natural anticoagulants and routine coagulation parameters in acute pancreatitis". *Trop Gastroenterol* 33.3 (2012): 193-199.
- 8. Instituto Nacional de Estadísticas y Censos (2013).
- 9. Frey CF., *et al.* "The incidence and case-fatality rates of acute biliary, alcoholic, and idiopathic pancreatitis in California, 1994-2001". *Pancreas* 33.4 (2006): 336.
- 10. Lankisch PG., et al. "Severe acute pancreatitis: when to be concerned?". Pancreatology 3.2 (2003): 102-110.
- 11. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. The physicians' guide to helping patients with alcohol problems. Government Printing Office Washington, DC (1995).
- 12. Martínez J., et al. "Obesity is a definitive risk factor of severity and mortality in acute pancreatitis: an updated meta-analysis". Pancreatology 6.3 (2006): 206-209.
- 13. Wu BU., et al. "The early prediction of mortality in acute pancreatitis: a large population-based study". Gut 57.12 (2008): 1698-1703.
- 14. Papachristou GI., et al. "Comparison of BISAP, Ranson's, APACHE-II, and CTSI scores in predicting organ failure, complications and mortality in acute pancreatitis". American Journal of Gastroenterology 105.2 (2010): 435-441.
- 15. Sarles H. "Revised classification of pancreatitis--Marseille 1984". Digestive Diseases and Sciences 30.6 (1985): 573-574.
- 16. Vege SS., *et al.* "Pancreatitis". In: GI Epidemiology, 1st edition, Talley NJ, Locke GR, Saito YA (Eds), Blackwell Publishing, Malden, MA (2007).
- 17. Gloor B., et al. "Late mortality in patients with severe acute pancreatitis". British Journal of Surgery 88.7 (2001): 975-979.
- 18. Mutinga M., et al. "Does mortality occur early or late in acute pancreatitis?". International journal of pancreatology 28.2 (2000): 91-95.
- Working Group IAP/APA Acute Pancreatitis Guidelines. "IAP/APA evidence-based guidelines for the management of acute pancreatitis". Pancreatology 13.4 (2013): e1-e15.
- 20. Forsmark CE and Baillie J. "AGA Institute Clinical Practice and Economics Committee, AGA Institute Governing Board. AGA Institute technical review on acute pancreatitis". *Gastroenterology* 132.5 (2007): 2022-2044.
- 21. Riela A., *et al.* "Etiology, incidence, and survival of acute pancreatitis in Olmsted County, Minnesota". *Gastroenterology* 100 (1991): A296.
- 22. Venneman NG., et al. "Small gallstones, preserved gallbladder motility, and fast crystallization are associated with pancreatitis". Hepatology 41.4 (2005): 738-746.
- 23. Ko CW., et al. "Biliary sludge". Annals of Internal Medicine 130 (1999): 301.
- 24. Lopez AJ., et al. "Ceftriaxone-induced cholelithiasis". Annals of Internal Medicine 115.9 (1991): 712-714.
- 25. Nivelo G., *et al.* "Prevalencia y características clínicas en la pancreatitis aguda en el Hospital Vicente Corral Moscoso durante el periodo 2007-2011 (Trabajo de grado para título de médico)". Cuenca: Universidad de Cuenca (2013).
- 26. Khuroo MS., et al. "Hepatobiliary and pancreatic ascariasis in India". Lancet 335.8704 (1990): 1503-1506.
- 27. Uomo G., *et al.* "Periampullary extraluminal duodenal diverticula and acute pancreatitis: an underestimated etiological association". *The American Journal of Gastroenterology* 91.6 (1996): 1186-1188.
- 28. Köhler H and Lankisch PG. "Acute pancreatitis and hyperamylasaemia in pancreatic carcinoma". Pancreas 2.1 (1987): 117-119.
- Yang AL., et al. "Epidemiology of alcohol-related liver and pancreatic disease in the United States". Archives of Internal Medicine 168.6 (2008): 649-656.

Citation: Verónica Ayala., et al. "D-Dimer in the Prognosis of Acute Pancreatitis". EC Gastroenterology and Digestive System 6.7 (2019): 532-539.

- 30. Apte MV., et al. "Ethanol-induced alterations in messenger RNA levels correlate with glandular content of pancreatic enzymes". Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine 125.5 (1995): 634-640.
- 31. Tiscornia OM., *et al.* "Physiopathogenic basis of alcoholic pancreatitis: the effects of elevated cholinergic tone and increased "pancreon" ecbolic response to CCK-PZ". *Mount Sinai Journal of Medicine* 50.5 (1983): 369-387.
- 32. Lindkvist B., et al. "A prospective cohort study of smoking in acute pancreatitis". Pancreatology 8.1 (2008): 63-70.
- 33. Tolstrup JS., *et al.* "Smoking and risk of acute and chronic pancreatitis among women and men: a population-based cohort study". *Archives of Internal Medicine* 169.6 (2009): 603-609.
- 34. Yadav D., *et al.* "Alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking, and the risk of recurrent acute and chronic pancreatitis". *Archives of Internal Medicine* 169.11 (2009): 1035-1045.
- Sadr-Azodi O., et al. "Cigarette smoking, smoking cessation and acute pancreatitis: a prospective population-based study". Gut 61.2 (2012): 262-267.
- Majumder S., et al. "The association of smoking and acute pancreatitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis". Pancreas 44.4 (2015): 540.-546.
- 37. Toskes PP. "Hyperlipidemic pancreatitis". Gastroenterology Clinics of North America 19.4 (1990): 783-791.
- Fortson MR., et al. "Clinical assessment of hyperlipidemic pancreatitis". The American Journal of Gastroenterology 90.12 (1995): 2134-2139.
- 39. Scherer J., et al. "Issues in hypertriglyceridemic pancreatitis: an update". Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology 48.3 (2014): 195-203.
- Nair S., et al. "Association of diabetic ketoacidosis and acute pancreatitis: observations in 100 consecutive episodes of DKA". American Journal of Gastroenterology 95.10 (2000): 2795-2800.
- 41. Glueck CJ., et al. "Severe hypertriglyceridemia and pancreatitis when estrogen replacement therapy is given to hypertriglyceridemic women". *Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine* 123.1 (1994): 59-64.
- 42. Aliperti G. "Complications related to diagnostic and therapeutic endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography". *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Clinics of North America* 6.2 (1996): 379-407.
- Brandwein SL and Sigman KM. "Case report: milk-alkali syndrome and pancreatitis". The American Journal of the Medical Sciences 308.3 (1994): 173-176.
- Mithöfer K., et al. "Acute hypercalcemia causes acute pancreatitis and ectopic trypsinogen activation in the rat". Gastroenterology 109.1 (1995): 239-246.
- 45. Rosendahl J., *et al.* "Chymotrypsin C (CTRC) variants that diminish activity or secretion are associated with chronic pancreatitis". *Nature Genetics* 40.1 (2008): 78-82.
- 46. Rünzi M and Layer P. "Drug-associated pancreatitis: facts and fiction". Pancreas 13.1 (1996): 100-109.
- 47. Wilmink T and Frick TW. "Drug-induced pancreatitis". Drug Safety 14.6 (1996): 406-423.
- 48. McArthur KE. "Review article: drug-induced pancreatitis". Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics 10.1 (1996): 23-28.
- Spanier BW., et al. "Acute pancreatitis and concomitant use of pancreatitis-associated drugs". American Journal of Gastroenterology 106.12 (2011): 2183-2188.
- 50. Badalov N., *et al.* "Drug-induced acute pancreatitis: an evidence-based review". *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 5.6 (2007): 648-661.

Citation: Verónica Ayala, et al. "D-Dimer in the Prognosis of Acute Pancreatitis". EC Gastroenterology and Digestive System 6.7 (2019): 532-539.

- 51. Sadr-Azodi O., *et al.* "Association of oral glucocorticoid use with an increased risk of acute pancreatitis: a population-based nested case-control study". *JAMA Internal Medicine* 173.6 (2013): 444-449.
- 52. Singh S., *et al.* "Glucagonlike peptide 1-based therapies and risk of hospitalization for acute pancreatitis in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a population-based matched case-control study". *JAMA Internal Medicine* 173.7 (2013): 534-539.
- 53. Parenti DM., et al. "Infectious causes of acute pancreatitis". Pancreas 13.4 (1996): 356-371.
- 54. Dassopoulos T and Ehrenpreis ED. "Acute pancreatitis in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients: a review". *The American Journal of Medicine* 107.1 (1999): 78-84.
- 55. Wilson RH and Moorehead RJ. "Current management of trauma to the pancreas". British Journal of Surgery 78.10 (1991): 1196-1202.
- Gerson LB., et al. "Complications associated with double balloon enteroscopy at nine US centers". Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology 7.11 (2009): 1177-1182.
- 57. Watts RA and Isenberg DA. "Pancreatic disease in the autoimmune rheumatic disorders". *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism* 19.3 (1989): 158-165.
- 58. Moolenaar W and Lamers CB. "Cholesterol crystal embolization to liver, gallbladder, and pancreas". *Digestive Diseases and Sciences* 41.9 (1996): 1819-1822.
- 59. Orvar K and Johlin FC. "Atheromatous embolization resulting in acute pancreatitis after cardiac catheterization and angiographic studies". Archives of Internal Medicine 154.15 (1994): 1755-1761.
- Fernández-del Castillo C., et al. "Risk factors for pancreatic cellular injury after cardiopulmonary bypass". The New England Journal of Medicine 325 (1991): 382-387.
- 61. Warshaw AL and O'Hara PJ. "Susceptibility of the pancreas to ischemic injury in shock". Annals of Surgery 188.2 (1978): 197-201.
- 62. Reilly PM., et al. "Hemodynamics of pancreatic ischemia in cardiogenic shock in pigs". Gastroenterology 113.3 (1997): 938-945.
- 63. Jouppila P., et al. "Acute pancreatitis in pregnancy". Surgery, gynecology and obstetrics 139 (1974): 879.
- 64. Roberts IM. "Hyperlipidemic gestational pancreatitis". Gastroenterology 104.5 (1993): 1560-1562.
- 65. Sarr M. "2012 revision of the Atlanta Classification of acute pancreatitis". Department of Surgery, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, United States (2013): 123.
- 66. Tenner S. "American College of Gastroenterology Guideline: Management of Acute Pancreatitis". *American Journal of Gastroenterology* 108.9 (2013): 1400-1415.
- Winslet M., et al. "Relation of diagnostic serum amylase levels to aetiology and severity of acute pancreatitis". Gut 33.7 (1992): 982-986.
- 68. Scott Tenner., et al. "Management of acute pancreatitis". American Journal of Gastroenterology 108.9 (2013): 1400-1415.

Volume 6 Issue 7 July 2019 ©All rights reserved by Verónica Ayala., *et al.*