

## **Association of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease with Heart Failure Preserved Ejection Fraction**

**Drapkina Oksana<sup>1</sup> and Ziatenkova Elena<sup>2\*</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>*Doctor of Medicine, Professor, Director, National Medical Research Center for Preventive Medicine, Russia*

<sup>2</sup>*National Medical Research Center for Preventive Medicine, Russia*

**\*Corresponding Author:** Ziatenkova Elena, National Medical Research Center for Preventive Medicine, Moscow, Russia.

**Received:** January 31, 2018; **Published:** March 01, 2018

### **Abstract**

Metabolic disorders in metabolic syndrome (MS) lead to a change in the structure and function of the myocardium, the vascular wall, the risk and severity increase of arterial hypertension, coronary heart disease, heart failure.

Currently, the non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) as a hepatic component of the metabolic syndrome is considered. NAFLD is an independent factor of cardiovascular risk. Increased visceral adipose tissue may reflect epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) deposition. EAT - a new marker of cardio-vascular diseases, is associated with the severity and severity of the NAFLD. We tested the correlation between epicardial fat, alterations in cardiac geometry and function, evaluated fatty liver index, NAFLD fibrosis score in patients with heart failure preserved ejection fraction and metabolic syndrome.

**Keywords:** *Epicardial Adipose Tissue; Fatty Liver Index; Metabolic Syndrome; Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease; NAFLD Fibrosis Score; N-Terminal Pro-Peptide of Type III Collagen*

### **Abbreviations**

EAT: Epicardial Adipose Tissue; HF-pEF: Heart Failure Preserved Ejection Fraction; MS: Metabolic Syndrome; NAFLD: Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease

### **Introduction**

Data of the World Health Organization and numerous clinical studies have shown a steady increase in the prevalence of metabolic syndrome (MS) in the world [1]. Special attention is necessary for patients with overweight in the primary prevention of chronic non-communicable diseases, such as cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes. Therapy aimed at addressing the risk factors of adverse outcomes is rational, if the patient has a disease associated with MS.

Metabolic disorders in MS lead to a change in the structure and function of the myocardium, the vascular wall, the risk and severity increase of arterial hypertension, coronary heart disease, heart failure.

Currently, the non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) as a hepatic component of the metabolic syndrome is considered. NAFLD is one of the most prevalent chronic liver disorders and is characterized by excessive fat accumulation in the liver (steatosis) [2]. Up to 30% of patients with NAFLD develop non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), in which steatosis by liver-cell injury, inflammation, fibrosis and cirrhosis is accompanied [3].

In the DIREG-2 study, the rate NAFLD was 37,3%. The main trend for non-cirrhotic non-alcoholic fatty liver disease prevalence was the progressive increase along with age from 2,90% in 12 - 17 y.o. patients to 42,96% in 60 - 69 y.o. patients. The highest prevalence of non-alcoholic steatosis was 34,26% in patients aged 70 - 80. Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis was most frequent in patients aged 50 - 59 (10,95%) [4].

NAFLD is an independent factor of cardiovascular risk. Insulin resistance, endothelial dysfunction, oxidative stress, systemic inflammation as the main causes of cardiovascular risk (CVR) in the NAFLD are considered [5-9]. NAFLD often accompanies the main components of the metabolic syndrome. Increased amount of adipose tissue around the liver and heart leads to an increased CVR [10-12]. Steatosis of the liver and myocardial steatosis coexist and interact [13].

## Materials and Methods

The study included 77 patients heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HF-pEF). the diagnosis of HF-pEF was confirmed by quality measuring of NT-proBNP. 39 patients with with HF-pEF and MS made up the first group, the second - 38 patients patients with HF-pEF, without the metabolic syndrome. The presence of metabolic syndrome was defined by using the 2001 National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATPIII) criteria, which requires the presence of at least three of the five features [14].

Exclusion criteria: alcoholic liver damage, viral hepatitis, cirrhosis.

All patients measured the thickness of epicardial fat. The level of triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, serum aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT) and fasting glucose was assessed. Diabetes mellitus was diagnosed based on the American Association of Diabetes criteria [15].

Transthoracic mode echocardiogram using commercially available equipment (Siemens Sequoia 512 with a sector probe 3V2Cs) was performed. Standard parasternal and apical views in the left lateral decubitus position. The thickness of the epicardial fat was measured with the technique by Iacobellis [13].

Fatty Liver Index (FLI) [16]:

$$e^{0,953 \times \log_e(\text{TG}) + 0,139 \times \text{BMI} + 0,718 \times \log_e(\text{GGT}) + 0,053 \times \text{WC} - 15,745} / 1 + (e^{0,953 \times \log_e(\text{TG}) + 0,139 \times \text{BMI} + 0,718 \times \log_e(\text{GGT}) + 0,053 \times \text{WC} - 15,745}) \times 100$$

NAFLD Fibrosis Score (NFS) [17]:

$$-1,675 + 0,037 \times A + 0,094 \times \text{BMI} + 1,13 \times \text{IFG} / \text{diabetes (yes = 1, no = 0)} + 0,99 \times \text{AST/ALT} - 0,013 \times \text{PL} - 0,66 \times \text{Al}$$

TG: Triglycerides, g/l; BMI: Body Mass Index kg/m<sup>2</sup>; GGT: Gamma-Glutamyl-Transferase, IU/L; WC: Waist Circumference, sm; A: Age, Years; AST: Aspartate Aminotransferase, IU/L; PL: Platelets, 109/l; ALT: Alanine Aminotransferase, IU/L; Al: Albumin, g/dl.

In this group of patients we measured markers of collagen synthesis - N- terminal propeptide of collagen type III (PIIINP) to assess the process of fibrosis and the contribution of this process to the development of heart failure by means of immunoassay («USCN Life Science», China).

Statistical processing of the results out using the program Statistica 6 (StatSoft, USA) was carried.

## Results

### Characteristics of patients

Of the 77 patients - 25 (32%) males. The median age was 63,9 ± 10,3 years. The majority of patients in both groups were women (57% and 53%, respectively). The duration of heart failure in both groups was an average of 8 years. The differences in the duration of heart failure anamnesis in the two groups was not revealed (Table 1).

Variables	All patients n = 77	HF-pEF and MS n = 39	HF-pEF no MS n = 38	P
Age (yrs) ( $\mu \pm \sigma$ )	63,9 $\pm$ 10,3	63,0 $\pm$ 11,0	64,8 $\pm$ 9,5	0,6
Male, n (%)	25 (32)	17 (43)	18 (47)	1,0
Waist circumference (cm) ( $\mu \pm \sigma$ )	95,0 $\pm$ 6,6	107,9 $\pm$ 13,0	82,5 $\pm$ 7,9	0,00001
Waist-to-hip ratio ( $\mu \pm \sigma$ )	0,91 $\pm$ 0,75	0,94 $\pm$ 0,07	0,89 $\pm$ 0,06	0,00001
Body mass index; (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	29,0 $\pm$ 5,80	33,1 $\pm$ 5,4	24,8 $\pm$ 1,9	0,00001
Duration of heart failure, years	8,3 $\pm$ 6,3	9,6 $\pm$ 7	7 $\pm$ 5,2	p = 0,07
Arterial hypertension n, (%)	72 (95)	39 (100)	33 (86)	0,03
Duration arterial hypertension, years	11,5 $\pm$ 9,25 10,0 (5,0 - 20,0)	13,9 $\pm$ 10,3 10,0 (5,0 - 20,0)	9,1 $\pm$ 7,4 8,5 (5,0 - 10,0)	0,03
Coronary heart disease, n (%)	49 (65)	25 (64)	24 (63)	0,52
Duration coronary heart disease, years	6,3 $\pm$ 6,1 7,0 (0,0 - 10,0)	6,5 $\pm$ 6,35 7,0 (0,0-10,0)	6,1 $\pm$ 5,3 6,0 (0,0 - 10,0)	1,0
I NYHA, n (%)	10 (13)	4 (10)	6 (16)	0,77
II NYHA, n (%)	32 (42)	16 (41)	16 (42)	
III NYHA, n (%)	30 (39)	17 (44)	13 (34)	
IV NYHA, n (%)	5 (6)	2 (5)	3 (8)	
Myocardial infarction/ acute coronary syndrome, n (%)	21 (27)	11 (28)	10 (26)	0,85
Stroke, n (%)	4 (5)	2 (5)	2 (5)	0,97
Violation of rhythm and conduction, n (%)	47 (61)	21 (54)	26 (68)	0,28
Diabetes n, (%)	18 (16)	18 (46)	0 (0)	0,0003
Hyperglycemia, n (%)	12 (23)	11 (28)	1 (3)	0,0003
cholelithiasis, n (%)	17 (22)	12 (31)	5 (13)	0,077
biliary sludge, n (%)	30 (39)	17 (43)	13 (34)	
Cholesterosis gallbladder, n (%)	5 (6)	3 (8)	2 (5)	
Ultrasonographic steatosis n, (%)	50 (64)	35 (89)	15 (39)	0,00015
Obesity, n (%)	26 (34)	26 (34)	0	0,00001
Overweight, n (%)	15 (19)	12 (31)	3 (7)	0,00001

**Table 1:** Characteristics of 77 patients with HF-pEF both MS (group one) and no MS (group two).

Was found ultrasound-measured liver steatosis in 89% of patients in the group one (GO) vs 39% in the group two (GT) (p = 0,00015).

#### Blood tests

In GO levels of ALT (p = 0,0064), GGT (p = 0,003) was higher (Table 2). The indices do not exceed the normal values, but in the group of patients with CHF and the metastatic syndrome of these indicators is higher. The following results were obtained in the GO: higher uric acid (p = 0,00003) and this increased with the arterial hypertension stage; the glucose was 6.6  $\pm$  1.7 mmol/l; glucose significantly correlated with BMI (r = 0.52, p = 0.0001); glucose significantly correlated with waist circumference (r = 0.52, p = 0.0001); glycosylated hemoglobin was significantly (p = 0.0014) higher and was 5.8  $\pm$  1.8%; HbA correlated with GGT (r = 0.69, p = 0.04). Lipid abnormalities were detected in both groups, and their frequency was not statistically different.

Variables ( $\mu \pm \sigma$ )	All patients n = 77	HF-pEF and MS n = 39	HF-pEF no MS n = 38	p
ALT ((U/L)	23,4 ± 17,00	26,1 ± 17,3	20,6 ± 16,7	0,0064
AST (U/L)	24,1 ± 14,3	26,1 ± 15,0	22,1 ± 13,4	0,065
GGT (U/L)	25,1 ± 20,8	30,7 ± 19,2	19,3 ± 7,2	0,003
Uric acid (μmol/l)	306,8 ± 133,9	370,5 ± 104,0	241,6 ± 126,4	0,00003
Fasting glucose (μmol/l)	5,9 ± 1,5	6,6 ± 1,7	5,1 ± 0,6	0,0001
HbA, %	5,2 ± 1,8	5,8 ± 1,8	3,9 ± 0,9	0,0014
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	5,8 ± 1,4	5,5 ± 1,4	6,2 ± 1,4	0,032
Triglyceride (mmol/l)	1,7 ± 1,2	1,7 ± 1,0	1,6 ± 1,4	0,3
LDL-C ( mmol/l)	4,1 ± 2,5	4,2 ± 3,2	3,9 ± 1,6	0,68
HDL-C ( mmol/l)	1,3 ± 0,4	1,2 ± 0,4	1,3 ± 0,5	0,75

**Table 2:** Laboratory characteristics of 77 patients with HF-pEF both MS (group one) and no MS (group two). ALT: Alanine Aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate Aminotransferase; GGT: Gamma-Glutamyl-Transferase; HbA: Glycosylated Hemoglobin; HDL-C: High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol; LDL-C: Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol

**Echocardiography**

The GO LV myocardial mass is greater 202.6 ± 64.4 g vs 163,4 ± 42,7 (p = 0.0032). The size of the heart chambers is larger than in the group two: ESD 3.55 ± 0.69 cm vs 3,24 ± 0,61 (p = 0.005); ESV 51.5 ± 23.3 ml vs 45,6 ± 22,0 (p = 0.012); RV 2,85 ± 0.50 cm vs 2,6 ± 0,32 (p = 0.005); RA 4.0 ± 0.62 cm vs 3,62 ± 0,69 (p = 0.011); LA 3.87 ± 0.69 cm vs 3,57 ± 0,72 (p = 0.016); IVST 1.14 ± 0.16 cm vs 1,03 ± 0,11 (p = 0.004); LVPWth 1.12 ± 0.13 cm vs 1,03 ± 0,11 (p = 0.023). Thus, in patients with CHF and MS, the thickness of the walls and the size of the heart chambers is greater than in patients with CHF without MS (Table 3).

Variables	All patients n = 77	HF-pEF and MS n = 39	HF-pEF no MS n = 38	p
Epicardial fat , mm	2,46 ± 1,75	3,39 ± 1,82	1,51 ± 1,03	0,00001
E/A	0,88 ± 0,40	0,85 ± 0,34	0,91 ± 0,45	0,58
E/e'	5,44 ± 2,30	5,60 ± 2,25	5,26 ± 2,39	0,35
EDD, cm	4,91 ± 0,57	5,0 ± 0,54	4,82 ± 0,59	0,12
ESD,cm	3,40 ± 0,67	3,55 ± 0,69	3,24 ± 0,61	0,005
EDVI, ml	116,6 ± 32,8	120,5 ± 33,9	112,6 ± 32,6	0,173
ESV, ml	48,6 ± 22,7	51,5 ± 23,3	45,6 ± 22,0	0,012
LVM, g	184,1 ± 58,3	202,6 ± 64,4	163,4 ± 42,7	0,0032
EF (%)	59,1 ± 8,2	57,2 ± 6,73	59,4 ± 7,07	0,1
IVST, cm	1,09 ± 0,15	1,14 ± 0,16	1,03 ± 0,11	0,004
LVPWth, cm	1,07 ± 0,13	1,12 ± 0,13	1,03 ± 0,11	0,023
RV,cm	2,75 ± 0,43	2,85 ± 0,50	2,6 ± 0,32	0,036
RA, cm	3,81 ± 0,68	4,0 ± 0,62	3,62 ± 0,69	0,011
Left Atrial, cm	3,71 ± 0,72	3,87 ± 0,69	3,57 ± 0,72	0,016

**Table 3:** Echocardiographic characteristics of 77 patients with HF-pEF both MS (group one) and no MS (group two). E/A: E to A ratio; E/e': E to e' ratio; EDD: End-Diastolic Dimension; ESD: End-Systolic Dimension; EDVI: End-Diastolic Volume; ESV: End-Systolic Volume; LVM: Left Ventricular Mass; EF: Ejection Fraction; IVST: Interventricular Septum Thickness; LVPWth: Thickness of Left Ventricular Posterior Wall; RV: Right Ventricular; LV: Left Ventricular; LA: Left Atrial.

The epicardial fat thickness (EAT) in GO is  $3.39 \pm 1.82$  mm vs  $1.51 \pm 1.03$  ( $p = 0.00001$ ). In GO EFT correlated with FLI ( $r = 0.52$ ;  $p = 0.004$ ); NFS ( $r = 0.29$ ;  $p = 0.002$ ); glycosylated hemoglobin ( $r = 0.41$ ;  $p = 0.016$ ); BMI ( $r = 0.29$ ;  $p = 0.003$ ).

**FLI and NFS**

FLI in GO  $73.3 \pm 20.1$  vs  $27.5 \pm 18.8$  ( $p = 0.00001$ ) (Table 4). FLI correlated with glucose ( $r = 0.42$ ;  $p = 0.009$ ); glycosylated hemoglobin ( $r = 0.41$ ;  $p = 0.011$ ); LV myocardial mass ( $r = 0.48$ ;  $p = 0.0001$ ); EAT ( $r = 0.52$ ;  $p = 0.004$ ); LVPWth ( $r = 0.34$ ;  $p = 0.004$ ).

FLI	All patients n = 77	HF-pEF and MS n = 39	HF-pEF no MS n = 38	P
< 30, n (%)	26 (34)	0 (0)	26 (66)	0,001
30-59, n (%)	20 (26)	9 (23)	11(29)	0,55
≥ 60, n (%)	31 (40)	30 (77)	1 (3)	0,029
NFS	All patients n = 77	HF-pEF and MS n = 39	HF-pEF no MS n = 38	P
<-1,455, n (%)	7 (9)	2 (5)	5 (13)	0,0008
-1,455 - 0,675, n (%)	51 (65)	22 (56)	29 (76)	0,68
> 0,675, n (%)	19 (26)	15 (39)	4 (11)	0,006

**Table 4:** Value FLI and NFS of 77 patients with HF-pEF both MS (group one) and no MS (group two).

NFS in GO  $0.45 \pm 1.1$ , vs  $-0.46 \pm 0.7$  ( $p = 0.00001$ ). Tabl.4. NFS correlated with glucose ( $r = 0.54$ ;  $p = 0.001$ ); glycosylated hemoglobin ( $r = 0.51$ ;  $p = 0.002$ ); total cholesterol ( $r = -0.43$ ;  $p = 0.008$ ); EAT ( $r = 0.29$ ;  $p = 0.014$ ); EDD ( $r = 0.27$ ;  $p = 0.02$ ); ESD ( $r = 0.27$ ;  $p = 0.02$ ); EDVI ( $r = 0.25$ ;  $p = 0.02$ ); ESV ( $r = 0.28$ ;  $p = 0.02$ ); LVM ( $r = 0.51$ ;  $p = 0.005$ ); AA ( $r = 0.45$ ;  $p = 0.013$ ); LA ( $r = 0.41$ ;  $p = 0.023$ ).

**N- terminal Propeptide of Collagen Type III (PIIINP)**

The level of PIIINP in the first group was  $3.3 \pm 1.5$  µg / l against  $- 2.3 \pm 1.3$  µg / l in GT ( $p = 0.00046$ ).In GO PIIINP was significantly higher in patients with III stage AH ( $p = 0.007$  Kruskal-Wallis test); patients with abdominal obesity had significantly higher PIIINP ( $p = 0.0009$  U-Mann-Whitney test), and is  $3,3 \pm 1,5$  µg/l; the presence of hepatic steatosis, disorders of carbohydrate and lipid metabolism in the main group significantly affect the level of PIIINP (Table 5).

	HF-pEF and MS n = 39			p
	No	Yes		
Hepatic steatosis	$2,07 \pm 0,8$ µg/l	$3,3 \pm 1,5$ µg/l		0,025
Disorders of lipid metabolism	$2,2 \pm 1,0$ µg/l	$3,1 \pm 1,5$ µg/l		0,015
Disorders of carbohydrate	Normal	Hyperglycemia	Diabetes	p
	$2,8 \pm 0,8$ µg/l	$3,3 \pm 1,6$ µg/l	$3,6 \pm 1,6$ µg/l	0,01

**Table 5:** Factors affecting the level of PIIINP in patients with HF-pEF and MS (group one).

The statistical analysis revealed significant correlations between laboratory data and PIIINP: uric acid ( $r = 0.37$ ;  $p = 0.001$ ); glucose ( $r = 0.29$ ;  $p = 0.011$ ); glomerular filtration rate ( $r = -0.37$ ;  $p = 0.002$ ); FLI ( $r = 0.47$ ;  $p = 0.001$ ); NFS ( $r = 0.31$ ;  $p = 0.007$ ).

**Discussion**

The statistical analysis revealed significant correlations between laboratory data and PIIINP: uric acid ( $r = 0.37$ ;  $p = 0.001$ ); glucose ( $r = 0.29$ ;  $p = 0.011$ ); glomerular filtration rate ( $r = -0.37$ ;  $p = 0.002$ ); FLI ( $r = 0.47$ ;  $p = 0.001$ ); NFS ( $r = 0.31$ ;  $p = 0.007$ ).

The obtained correlation of FLI, NFS with glucose level and glycosylated hemoglobin most likely reflect the process of steatosis and liver fibrosis with the progression of carbohydrate metabolism disorders in patients with MS and CHF. Also, based on the data obtained, we can assume the contribution of liver steatosis to the development of insulin resistance and the development of diabetes. D.E.S.I.R study revealed similar results. The study demonstrated that the risk of developing diabetes grew in were obtained in the general population when the value of FLI increased [19].

Visceral adipose tissue is an important indicator the fat content in the liver. Visceral adipose tissue is a self-endocrine organ, secreting adipocytokines and hormones that affect inflammation, insulin resistance and accumulation of fat in the liver. Some researchers hold the view that it is hepatic fat and not visceral adipose tissue is the main predictor of insulin resistance regardless of obesity, visceral adipose tissue and plasma adipocytokine levels. NAFLD is considered a highly sensitive marker of adipose tissue dysfunction [20].

Increased visceral adipose tissue may reflect epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) deposition. EAT - a new marker of cardio-vascular diseases [21] is associated with the severity and severity of the NAFLD [22]. Thickness of epicardial fat plays an important role in the development of the HF-pEF [23,24]. It is possible that EAT which secretes vasoactive molecules that regulate coronary arterial tone, and modulate inflammation [23], interferes with the autonomic nervous system determining both cardiovascular and liver damage [24].

In the majority of studies on the EAT, patients with AH, CHD, MS, AF, but without CHF were studied. In such studies, EAT was more than 5 mm. In one of the small works, where was studied the EAT in patients with CHF and AF, a lower EAT was revealed than in studies without CHF [25]. The results of our work  $3.39 \pm 1.82$  mm vs  $1.51 \pm 1.03$  mm also confirm this hypothesis. In our study, the relationship between EAT and FLI ( $r = 0.52$ ,  $p = 0.004$ ) NFS ( $r = 0.29$ ,  $p = 0.002$ ) was found.

Increased PIIIINP predictor of decompensated HF, repeated hospitalization and mortality from the CVD. In addition, the PIIIINP marker is a lesion of the liver. A number of studies in patients with HF-pEF and metabolic disorders marked by a higher level of this marker. In our work it was revealed that its level is significantly higher in the group of patients with MS and HF-pEF ( $3.3 \pm 1.5$   $\mu\text{g/L}$ ,  $p = 0.00046$ ). Disorders of lipid and carbohydrate metabolism, hepatic steatosis is associated with an increase in PIIIINP in patients with HF-pEF and MS. A number of studies have obtained data on the correlation PIIIINP with the histological picture of NAFLD [26-28]. In our work similar results were obtained. In the group one, an increase in the value of FLI ( $r = 0.47$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ) and NFS ( $r = 0.31$ ;  $p = 0.007$ ) is accompanied by an increase in PIIIINP.

## Conclusion

The use of non-invasive methods for diagnostic steatosis and liver fibrosis, the determination of EAT in patients with HF-pEF and MS will identify patients at high risk, provide them with the necessary treatment and prevent worsening of CHF.

## Bibliography

1. Ng M., *et al.* "Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013". *Lancet* 384.9945 (2014): 766-781.
2. Baumeister S E., *et al.* "Impact of fatty liver disease on healthcare utilization and costs in a general population: a 5 -year observation". *Gastroenterology* 134.1 (2008): 85-94.
3. Fleischman MW., *et al.* "NAFLD prevalence differs among Hispanic subgroups: The multiethnic study of atherosclerosis". *World Journal of Gastroenterology* 20.17 (2014): 4987-4993.
4. Drapkina OM and Ivashkin VT. "Prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in out-patients of the Russian Federation: DIREG 2 study results". *Russian Journal of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, Coloproctology* 6.25 (2015): 31-41.

5. Tilg H., *et al.* "Cytokines and the pathogenesis of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis". *Gut* 54.2 (2005): 303-306.
6. Xu X., *et al.* "Research advances in the relationship between nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and atherosclerosis". *Lipids in Health and Disease* 14 (2015): 158-161.
7. Milner K., *et al.* "Adipocyte fatty acid binding protein levels relate to inflammation and fibrosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease". *Hepatology* 49.6 (2009): 1926-1934.
8. Cnop M., *et al.* "Relationship of adiponectin to body fat distribution, insulin sensitivity and plasma lipoproteins: evidence for independent roles of age and sex". *Diabetologia* 46.4 (2003): 459-469.
9. Nseir W., *et al.* "Mechanisms linking nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with coronary artery disease". *Digestive Diseases and Sciences* 56.12 (2011): 3439-3449.
10. Bonci E., *et al.* "Association of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease with Subclinical Cardiovascular Changes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis". *BioMed Research International* (2015): 213737.
11. Zhang QQ and Lu LG. "Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: Dyslipidemia, Risk for Cardiovascular Complications, and Treatment Strategy". *Journal of Clinical and Translational Hepatology* 3.1 (2015): 78-84.
12. Azzam H and Malnick S. "Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease - the heart of the matter". *World Journal of Hepatology* 7.10 (2015): 1369-1376.
13. Iacobellis G., *et al.* "Epicardial fat thickness and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in obese subjects". *Obesity* 22.2 (2014): 332-336.
14. National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). "Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) final report". *Circulation* 106.25 (2002): 3143-3421.
15. American Diabetes Association. "Standards of medical care in diabetes-2016". *Diabetes Care* 39.1 (2016): 1-106.
16. Bedogni G., *et al.* "The Fatty Liver Index: a simple and accurate predictor of hepatic steatosis in the general population". *BMC Gastroenterology* 6 (2006): 33.
17. Angulo P., *et al.* "The NAFLD fibrosis score: a noninvasive system that identifies liver fibrosis in patients with NAFLD". *Hepatology* 45.4 (2007): 846-854.
18. Treeprasertsuk S., *et al.* "NAFLD fibrosis score: a prognostic predictor for mortality and liver complications among NAFLD patients". *World Journal of Gastroenterology* 19.8 (2013): 1219-1229.
19. Balkau B., *et al.* "Nine year incident diabetes is predicted by fatty liver indices: the French D.E.S.I.R. study". *BMC Gastroenterology* 10 (2010): 56-65.
20. Drapkina OM and Yafarova AA. "Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and Cardiovascular Risk: Scientific Problem State". *Rational Pharmacotherapy in Cardiology* 13.5 (2017): 645-650.
21. Talman AH., *et al.* "Epicardial adipose tissue: far more than a fat depot". *Cardiovascular Diagnosis and Therapy* 4.6 (2014): 416-429.
22. Petta S., *et al.* "Epicardial fat, cardiac geometry and cardiac function in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: association with the severity of liver disease". *Journal of Hepatology* 62.4 (2015): 928-933.

23. Fracanzani AL, *et al.* "Epicardial Adipose Tissue (EAT) Thickness Is Associated with Cardiovascular and Liver Damage in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease". *PLoS ONE* 11.9 (2016): e0162473.
24. Khawaja T, *et al.* "Epicardial fat volume in patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction". *The American Journal of Cardiology* 108.3 (2011): 397-401.
25. Fitzgibbons TP and Czech MP. "Epicardial and perivascular adipose tissues and their influence on cardiovascular disease: basic mechanisms and clinical associations". *Journal of the American Heart Association* 3.2 (2014): e000582.
26. Ulucan S, *et al.* "Epicardial fat and liver disease the contribution of cardio autonomic nervous system function". *Journal of Hepatology* 62.5 (2015): 1214.
27. Iacobellis G, *et al.* "Epicardial fat in atrial fibrillation and heart failure". *Hormone and Metabolic Research* 46.8 (2014): 587-590.
28. Tanwar S, *et al.* "Validation of PIIINP for the detection and assessment of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease". *Hepatology* 57.1 (2013): 103-111.

**Volume 5 Issue 4 April 2018**

**©All rights reserved by Drapkina Oksana and Ziatenkova Elena.**