

Cardiovascular Emergency, Risk Factor and Improvement

Da-Yong Lu^{1*}, Ying Shen² and Bin Xu³

¹School of Life Sciences, Shanghai University, Shanghai, China
²Medical School, Shanghai Jiao-Tong University, Shanghai, China
³Shanghai Institute of Materia Medical, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China
^{*}Corresponding Author: Da-Yong Lu, School of Life Sciences, Shanghai University, Shanghai, China.
Received: February 11, 2020; Published: February 26, 2020

Abstract

Cardiovascular disease is the top disease killer worldwide. Cardiovascular emergency is one of commonest diseases admitted in emergency treatments. A number of different factors may impact therapeutic outcomes in the clinic. This editorial highlights current and future cardiovascular emergency therapeutics-including co-morbidity, anesthesia and surgery innovation.

Keywords: Heart Disease Brain Stroke; Cardiovascular Diseases; Diagnosis; Drug Treatments; Emergency Treatment

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease is the top disease killer worldwide caused by different damaging factors, such as smoke, diet, physical inactivity and metabolic diseases [1]. Cardiovascular emergency is one of commonest diseases admitted in emergency treatments. A number of risk factors may impact therapeutic outcomes in the clinic [2-5].

Methods

Several pathological factors are associated with therapeutic outcomes for cardiovascular emergency cares:

- 1. Co-morbidity; obesity and type 2 diabetes;
- 2. Opposite pathways and cascades in pathological progresses (ischemic or breeding);
- 3. Narrowing surgery and anesthesia scales may help patients in wider-range;
- 4. Therapeutic choices for different clinical conditions.

Results

To improve therapeutic outcomes and other economic considerations, several risk factors may be considered; Modern instruments will be utilized for diagnostic improvements and therapeutic options (quick disease localization and therapeutic options-drug or surgery). This needs to update emergency indexing and diagnosis [6,7].

Pathological knowledge enrichment for cardiovascular diseases co-mortality is a future challenge, which may greatly impacts therapeutic benefiting. After considering these risk factors, some unexpected therapeutic failure can be avoided.

Conclusion

Cardiovascular emergency is sudden and pathological diversity. In order to save more lives, different diseases pathology study is required. The final therapeutic outcomes depend on knowledge enrichments and technical innovation-including co-morbidity, patient ages and surgery/anesthesia scale-down.

Bibliography

- 1. Chakrapani HS and Fulmali MR. "Cardiovascular diseases and health". EC Cardiology 7.2 (2020): 1-8.
- Lu DY., et al. "Heart and brain stroke, a paramount task for emergency medication". EC Emergency Medicine and Critical Care 3.10 (2019): 785.
- 3. Lu DY., et al. "Brain stroke treatment, emergency importance". EC Emergency Medicine and Critical Care 3.11 (2019): 115-117.
- 4. Khan M and Silver B. "Editorial: Stroke in elderly: current status and future direction". Frontier in Neurology 10 (2019): 177.
- 5. Lu DY., et al. "Cardiovascular emergency, future direction". International Journal of Clinical and Medical Cases 3.3 (2020): 135.
- 6. Shibl W. "The great value of brain U/S in diagnosis of almost all cause of central coma [COMA Protocol]". *EC Emergency Medicine and Critical Care* 4.1 (2020): 1-9.
- 7. Lu DY., et al. "Brain stroke, emergency management and drug developments". EC Pharmacology and Toxicology 7.11 (2019): 1.

Volume 4 Issue 3 March 2020 ©All rights reserved by Da-Yong Lu., *et al.*