

Research Attitude among Fresh Dental Graduates: A Survey to Determine Existing Facilities and Barriers in Research in Lahore Pakistan

Muhammad Umer Quddoos¹, Faiz Rasul²*, Mehwish Tariq¹, Shamta Sufia³, Hafiz Saleem Faisal Shahzad⁴ and Abdul Wadood⁵

*Corresponding Author: Faiz Rasul, Demonstrator/lecturer Department of Oral Pathology, de' Montmorency College of Dentistry, Lahore, Pakistan.

Received: July 15, 2019; Published: August 12, 2019

Abstract

Objectives: This study involves the preliminary evaluation of the attitude, knowledge, barriers towards and existing facilities present in doing research among the fresh dental graduates of Lahore Pakistan.

Methodology: The research protocol for this cross-sectional study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of de' Montmorency College of Dentistry Lahore. Total 241 students were enrolled in the study through simple random probability sampling from two dental institutes and the duration of this study was April 2018 to May 2019. The subjects included in this study were fresh Dental graduate and postgraduate students within the 5 years of their graduation of both Government and private dental schools of Lahore Pakistan. A self-structured questionnaire was used for this study. The individual Proforma consisted of Demographic information, attitude toward Dental research and barriers toward dental research in local settings. Each question has three option, Yes, No and Don't know. Written and verbal consent was taken from each respondent before data collection. All participants were assured that their responses would remain secret. Data was entered into SPSS 20. Statistical analysis was performed in the form of frequency and percentage. Chi-square of the association was done and p-value < 0.05 was taken as significant.

Results: Total 73% were female, 64.7% respondents were from private dental institutes, 81.7% were postgraduate students, 53.9% never did any research, 73.8 were demanding of research in under-graduation, 73.8 were demanding of research in under-graduation, 78% argued for the availability of biostatistician in dental institutes and 87% believed that supervisor can encourage them for research in dentistry. Barriers highlighted in this study include lack of training in research 93.4%, lack of funding/ financial incentives for research 88.8%, the lack of concept of research/ lack of importance of research 88.0%, lack of mentorship/guidance and reduced effectiveness of research 84.6%, lack of interdisciplinary teamwork 77.6%, and lack of conducive environment 74.7% in the dental schools of Lahore Pakistan. Research motivators for the fresh dental graduates include admission in post-graduation 78.4%, central induction policy 72.6%, interest in academia 76.8%, a requirement for admission in foreign universities 77.2%, a good career option 83.4%, and the future benefit of patients 77.2%.

Conclusions: Barriers reported in this study need to be addressed by the universities, Pakistan Medical and Dental Council and Government of Punjab for central induction policy. Implementation of the central induction policy is a good step taken by the Government of Punjab however there is a need to facilitate research by providing essential ingredients of research such as supervisors, biostatistician, and funding.

Keywords: Attitude; Knowledge; Practices; Oral Health Research; Barriers

¹House Officer, de' Montmorency College of Dentistry, Lahore, Pakistan

²Demonstrator Oral Pathology, de' Montmorency College of Dentistry, Lahore, Pakistan

³Associate Professor Community and Preventive Dentistry, de' Montmorency College of Dentistry, Lahore, Pakistan;

⁴Senior Registrar Medicine Mayo Hospital Lahore, Pakistan

⁵House Officer, Lahore Medical Dental College, Lahore, Pakistan

Introduction

Research is a creative work done on systemic basis, with the purpose to increase knowledge, science or invention using the standard methods [1]. Research in the Health sector has an important influence on the diagnosis, prevention, treatment of diseases and on devising health care programs policy [2]. Lack of attention to research by the government and medical educational regulatory bodies of the community may result in the knowledge and scientific lag within the national community but also in the world at large [3]. The three main factors seen to have a significant influence on research success in literature are: attitude, knowledge and barriers in doing research [4-13]. Some authors have explored the attitude of medical students toward research [4,6,8-11,14].

Suitable knowledge of the research principles and the study subject are preliminary requirements for any study. Despite inadequate knowledge of research process, medical students are still interested to do research in the future as reported by previous studies [5,9]. A few of the studies have undertaken the potential barriers in doing research among under and post-grad dental trainees which include lack of knowledge of study design or interpretation of study results, lack of training in research [8,15], lack of appropriate guidance/mentorship [6-8], lack of time [6-8,10,12,13] and funds [6-8], lack of self-confidence [10,13,14], lack of interest and inadequate access to internet, lack of materials and equipment [5], heavy workload/excessive patient load, lack of structured curriculum, technical support and research office/coordination are significant barriers in publishing research [13]. Alongside research design, research ethics, navigating institutional review board (IRB) process, biostatistics, literature searching and research writing also significantly affect the publications [13]. Few researchers in Pakistan have also determined barriers in the research by the medical students such as lack of time, training, statistical support, mentorship/supervisors and financial incentives [6-8].

In India, a study reported some of the barriers among dental graduates such as lack of training for research among graduates, site and fee of publication, workload (lack of human resources) in public settings, lack of technical support, and authorship by the supervisors [16]. Surely there are some uncharted barriers for the advancement in dental science and publications in Pakistan. If these barriers are identified it will be helpful to improve the system and lay the foundation for the expansion of dental science [8].

Aim of the Study

This study aims is to evaluate attitude, knowledge and barriers towards research faced by fresh dental students of Lahore, Pakistan. The results of this study will be used as basis of recommendations and a strategy to improve research among the dental students of Lahore Pakistan.

Methods

The research protocol for this cross-sectional study was submitted to the Human Ethics Review Committee of the Faculty of de' Montmorency College of Dentistry Lahore. Following approval from the Committee, 241 students were enrolled in the study through probability sampling (simple random) from April 2018 to May 2019. The subjects included in this study were house officers and postgraduate residents. Only those resident were included who have graduated in last five years. Two dental institutes were taken in this study, from Government sector de' Montmorency college of dentistry and from private sector Lahore Medical and Dental College. Exclusion criteria for the study included: unwillingness to participate in the survey, undergraduate students and postgraduate students of more than 5 years of the graduation of their course and any responses where no more than three questions were answered. A self-structured questionnaire was used for this study. The questions included in the questionnaire were obtained on the basis of a comprehensive literature review [8-10,12]. The questionnaire included three main sections to evaluate student views on attitudes to dental research, knowledge of research parameters and barriers towards dental research in local settings. The questionnaire was distributed among the dental students by the

principal investigator who explained the aim of the study, and those students who showed an interest in participating were included. Participants had right to quit during data collection. The individual proforma consist of demographic information such as; age, gender, Graduating Institute, Years since graduation and enrollment in any post-graduation. The second part of the questionnaire was the attitude towards dental research, which was assessed by 19 questions. Last part of the questionnaire was barriers toward dental research in local settings. Each question has three option, Yes, No and Don't know. The postgraduate student includes all the students within 5 years of graduation including MDS, FCPS and M. Phil trainees in different fields of dentistry. All participants were assured that their responses would remain secret. Data was entered into SPSS 20. Statistical analysis was performed in the form of frequency and percentage. Chisquare of the association was done and p-value < 0.05 was taken as significant.

Results

Sr. No.	Variables	Number (f)	Percentages (P)		
1	Gender	Male	65	27.0	
		Female	176	73.0	
2	Graduating Institute	Government	85	35.3	
		Private	156	64.7	
3	Do you intend to enroll in any post-	Yes	197	81.7	
	graduation program	No	44	18.3	
4	Enrollment in any post- graduation	Yes	85	35.4	
		No	156	64.6	
5	Ever rejected in enrollment of post-	Yes	39	16.1	
	graduation program	No	202	83.9	
6	Ever done any research?	Yes	111	46.0	
		No	130	53.9	
7	Is research is a prerequisite for admission in post graduate courses?	Yes	109	45.2	
		No	101	41.9	
		Don't know	31	12.9	
8	Have you heard about central induction policy?	Yes	213	88.3	
		No	24	10.0	
		Don't know	4	1.7	
9	Do you think research is more impor-	Yes	181	75.1	
	tant in post-graduation as compared to	No	46	19.1	
	under graduation?	Don't know	14	5.8	
10	Do you think central induction policy is encouraging fresh graduates for	Yes	141	58.5	
		No	81	33.6	
	research?	Don't know	19	7.9	
11	Do you think research exercise should	Yes	178	74.0	
	be included in syllabus of under gradu-	No	55	22.8	
	ates?	don't know	8	3.2	

12	Do you think central induction policy	Yes	126	52.3
	gives equal opportunity to graduates of	No	94	39.0
	both government and private institutes?	Don't know	21	8.7
13	Do you think availability of biostatician	Yes	188	78.0
	at your training institute will encourage	No	33	14.0
	you for research?	Don't know	19	8.0
14	Do you think supervisors can also be a	Yes	210	87.1
	source of encouragement for research?	No	26	10.8
		Don't know	5	2.1
15	Are you aware of any government agen-	Yes	72	29.9
	cies supporting and funding research in	No	152	63.1
	Pakistan?	Don't know	17	7.0
16	Do you think availability of funds can	Yes	213	88.4
	encourage you for research?	No	22	9.1
		Don't know	6	2.5
17	Do you think research in dentistry has	Yes	198	82.1
	scope?	No	24	10.0
		Don't know	19	7.9
18	Lack of concept of research/ lack of importance of research?	Yes	212	88.0
		No	15	6.2
		Don't know	14	5.8
19	Lack of individual interest in conducting research?	Yes	205	85.1
		No	29	12.0
		Don't know	7	2.9
20	Lack of training in research?	Yes	225	93.4
		No	13	5.4
		Don't know	3	1.2
21	Lack of funding for research?	Yes	214	88.9
		No	22	9.4
		Don't know	4	1.7
22	<u>U</u> navailability of supervisors?	Yes	180	75
		No	56	23.3
		Don't know	4	1.7
23	<u>U</u> navailability of biostatistician in your institute?	Yes	190	78.8
		No	37	15.4
		Don't know	14	5.8
24	Lack of guidance?	Yes	204	84.6
		No	31	12.9
		Don't know	6	2.5
25	Choice of appropriate/ suitable topic	Yes	159	66.0
	selection?	No	73	30.3
		Don't know	9	3.7

26	Lack of interdisciplinary team work?	Yes	187	77.6
		No	43	17.8
		Don't know	11	4.6
27	Lack of conducive environment?	Yes	180	74.7
		No	58	24.1
		Don't know	3	1.2
28	Lack of resources (internet, journals,	Yes	117	48.6
	books).	No	114	47.3
		Don't know	10	4.1
29	Language	Yes	98	40.7
		No	133	55.2
		Don't know	10	4.1
30	Journal selection for publication?	Yes	146	60.6
		No	72	29.9
		Don't know	23	9.5
31	Other reasons?	Yes	42	17.4
		No	86	35.7
		Don't know	113	46.9
32	Central induction policy	Yes	175	72.6
0 2	general made don poney	No	49	20.3
		Don't know	17	7.1
33	Post-graduation	Yes	189	78.4
55	1 ost graduation	No	46	19.1
		Don't know	6	2.5
34	Interest in academia	Yes	185	76.8
31	interest in academia	No	48	19.9
		Don't know	8	3.3
35	Foreign universities requirement	Yes	186	77.2
33	roreign universities requirement	No	52	21.6
		Don't know	3	1.2
36	A good career option	Yes	201	83.4
30	A good career option	No	38	15.8
		Don't know	2	
37	Future honefit of noticets	Yes	186	77.2
37	Future benefit of patients		49	
		No Don't know		20.3
20	Contribution to societies a research	Don't know	6	2.5
38	Contribution to existing research	Yes	158	65.5
		No	71	29.5
20	O/I	Don't know	12	5.0
	Others reasons	Yes	23	9.6
39		No	110	45.6

Table 1: Frequency and percentages of demographic characteristics, research attitude and barriers among fresh dental graduates of public and private sector in Lahore-Pakistan (n = 241).

Sr. no	Independent variable		Have you ever done any research?			P value
			Yes	No	Total	0.07
	Lack of interdisciplinary team work	Yes	81	106	187	-
		No	30	24	54	
		Total	111	130	241	
	Lack of conducive environment	Yes	77	103	180	0.054
		No	34	27	61	ı
		Total	111	130	241	
	Library and internet access for availability of article.	Yes	46	71	117	0.02
	Lack of resources (internet, journals, books).	No	65	59	124	
		Total	111	130	241	
	Do you think Central Induction policy is encouraging	Yes	62	79	141	0.261
	fresh graduates for research?	No	49	51	100	
		Total	111	130	241	
	Do you think Central Induction policy is encouraging	Yes	62	79	141	0.261
	fresh graduates for research?	No	49	51	100	
		Total	111	130	241	
	Do you think research exercise should be included in syllabus of under graduates?	Yes	82	96	178	0.557
		No	29	34	63	
		Total	111	130	241	
	Do you think Central Induction Policy gives equal	Yes	55	71	126	0.256
	opportunity to graduates of both government and	No	56	59	115	
	private institutes?	Total	111	130	241	
	Do you think availability of biostatician at your train-	Yes	94	94	188	0.015
	ing institute will encourage you for research?	No	17	36	53	1
		Total	111	130	241	
	Do you think supervisors can also be a source of	Yes	98	112	210	0.384
	encouragement for research?	No	13	18	31	
		Total	111	130	241	
	Are you aware of any government agencies support-	Yes	28	44	72	0.094
	ing and funding research in Pakistan?	No	83	86	169	1
		Total	111	130	241	
	Do you think availability of funds can encourage you	Yes	104	109	213	0.013
	for research	No	7	21	28	
		Total	111	130	241	
	Do you think research in dentistry has scope?	Yes	99	99	198	0.006
		No	12	31	43	7
		Total	111	130	241]

Lack of concept of research/ lack of impo	rtance of	Yes	99	113	212	0.368
research?		No	12	17	29	
		Total	111	130	241	
Lack of individual interest in conducting i	research?	Yes	92	113	205	0.243
		No	19	17	36	
		Total	111	130	241	
Lack of training in research?		Yes	106	119	225	0.166
		No	5	11	16	
		Total	111	130	241	
Lack of funding for research?		Yes	99	115	214	0.512
		No	12	15	27	
		Total	111	130	241	
Unavailability of supervisors?		Yes	77	103	180	0.054
		No	34	27	61	
		Total	111	130	241	
Unavailability of biostatiscian in your in	stitute?	Yes	84	106	190	0.170
		No	27	24	51	
		Total	111	130	241	
Lack of guidance?		Yes	94	110	204	0.566
		No	17	20	37	
		Total	111	130	241	
Choice of appropriate/ suitable topic se	lection?	Yes	69	90	159	0.154
		No	42	40	82	
		Total	111	130	241	
Lack of interdisciplinary team wor	·k?	Yes	81	106	187	0.076
		No	30	24	54	
		Total	111	130	241	
Lack of conducive environment?	,	Yes	77	103	180	0.054
		No	34	27	61	
		Total	111	130	241	
Library and internet access for availability		Yes	46	71	117	0.028
Lack of resources (internet, journals, l	books	No	65	59	124	
		Total	111	130	241	
Graduating institute: Governmen	t	Yes	31	54	85	0.019
		No	80	76	156	
		Total	111	130	241	

Table 2: Cross tabulation between attitude of research and barriers of research among fresh dental graduates of public and private sector in Lahore-Pakistan (n = 241).

Sr No.	Independent variable		Graduat	P value		
			Government	Private	Total	
	Lack of concept of research/ lack of	Yes	79	133	212	0.58
	importance of research?	No	6	23	29	
		Total	85	156	241	
	Lack of individual interest in conducting research?	Yes	76	129	205	0.12
		No	9	27	36	
		Total	85	156	241	
	Lack of training in research?	Yes	82	143	225	0.121
		No	3	13	16	
		Total	85	156	241	
	Lack of funding for research?	Yes	78	136	214	0.195
		No	7	20	27	
		Total	85	156	241	
	Unavailability of supervisors?	Yes	68	112	180	0.106
		No	17	44	61	
		Total	85	156	241	
	Unavailability of biostatician in your	Yes	72	118	190	0.067
	institute?	No	13	38	51	1
		Total	85	156	241	
	Lack of guidance?	Yes	73	131	204	0.424
	_	No	12	25	37	-
		Total	85	156	241	
	Choice of appropriate/ suitable topic	Yes	68	91	159	0.00
	selection?	No	17	65	82	
		Total	85	156	241	1
	Lack of interdisciplinary team work?	Yes	71	116	187	0.06
		No	14	40	54	1
		Total	85	156	241	1
	Lack of conducive environment?	Yes	64	116	180	0.5
		No	21	40	61	
		Total	85	156	241	
	Library and internet access for availabil-	Yes	54	63	117	0.00
	ity of article. Lack of resources (internet,	No	31	93	124	
	journals, books	Total	85	156	241	
	Is it a prerequisite for admission in post	Yes	41	68	109	0.01
	graduate courses?	No	44	88	132	
		Total	85	156	241	

Table 3: Cross tabulation between graduating institutes and barriers of research among fresh dental graduates of public and private sector in Lahore-Pakistan (n = 241).

Discussion

World Health Organization (WHO) recommends and focuses on enhancing capacity building regarding research in developing countries [17]. Academic dental institutions are the fundamental underpinning of a nation's oral health. Education, research, and patient care are the cornerstones of academic dentistry [18]. This study involves the preliminary evaluation of the attitude, knowledge, barriers towards and existing facilities present in doing research among the fresh dental graduates of Lahore, Pakistan. In the current study, the major barrier reported is the lack of training in research i.e., 93.4%. It is therefore need of the hour to have a uniform training in research including the facilities of doing research to achieve an adequate level of satisfaction in faculty professionally and ultimately for the betterment of dentistry.

Another significant barrier reported is the lack of concept of research/lack of importance of research i.e. 88.0%. A significant role of Staff members in teaching basic principles of research is reported [4,5,15]. Faculty staff, more precisely, Professors can generate a positive attitude of research in students [19]. Lack of mentorship/guidance and reduced effectiveness of research in present study accounts for 84.6% and has already cited in literature [5,13,20]. Unavailability of supervisor accounts for 74.7% among the barriers in doing research. Therefore, it is strongly recommended that universities should encourage professors to supervise and participate in every step of the research study process to ensure a quality and productive research [5].

Lack of funds in conducting research is another barrier as 88.8% respondents mentioned. Funds in research are required to provide stipends to researchers, cost of material, transportation and for other requirements [21]. Lack of funding is one of the reasons which are described by many authors in the previous studies [4,8,12]. Expensive materials and equipment in some countries are responsible for limitation in funding and ultimately causing low incentive for research [4]. Universities must look for funding support from agencies other than government and they must inspire researchers to look for foreign grants and awards [13]. Another dynamic factor in conducting research in local setting is the publication fee or processing charges. Local and international journal do have publication charges, and funding stakeholders are government, funding bodies, and institutes. In some developed countries, funds for publications are available and this trend is also increasing. Some charge for color pages, processing, and submission however some journals also publish free publication [22].

Another barrier highlighted in this study includes unavailability of biostatistician i.e., 78.8% which is showing that researchers need help of biostatistician for the study design and result analysis. A survey showed that about 75% of authors took help from biostatisticians or epidemiologists and the researchers who don't take help their papers have more chances to be rejected [23].

While discussing curriculum and conventional teaching course in undergraduate dental students, it was recommended that best method or methods are needed to be applied by which dental education promotes research among the dental students, result, graduates can apply knowledge of basic dental sciences to clinical and evidence-based research [24]. In the current study, around 93.3% respondents expressed that lack of training is a barrier in conducting research. This training in undergraduate students is based upon curriculum which is continuously assessed and evaluated by the universities and dental schools. A survey reported that there is a need to create an interdisciplinary curriculum; blend basic and clinical sciences; implement online core curriculum; and develop new techniques for assessing competence among dental schools. This need was perceived due to faculty dissatisfaction, and student's feedback [25]. A study was carried out in Karachi in year 2008-9 to assess the interest of research in final year medical students and fresh medical graduates. Results showed that 91% medical students want to have research activity in the curriculum of under-graduation [26]. These results are similar to current research where participants reported that in their undergraduate dental course lack of research training exist (93.1%). In another study, it was recommended that a positive learning environment ought to maintain and nurture in medical institutes [27]. In the current study, 74.7% respondents mentioned that lack of conducive environment is the reason of not conducting research and 77.6% reported that lack of interdisciplinary team work is another factor contributing in lacking of research among fresh dental graduates.

Research motivators for the fresh dental graduates include admission in post-graduation 78.4%, central induction policy 72.6%, interest in academia 76.8%, a requirement for admission in foreign universities 77.2%, a good career option 83.4%, and for the future benefit of patients 77.2%. Punjab residency program has been implemented in province Punjab for admission in postgraduate medical and dental institutes in the public sector. Publications carry five marks so that is also a motivational factor for research attitude among fresh graduates [28]. Pakistan Medical and Dental Council (PMDC), Ministry of Health and Higher Education Commission (HEC), College of Physicians and Surgeons Pakistan (CPSP), and Pakistan Medical and Research Council (PMRC) are encouraging research in medical institutes [26], however most of the respondents (63.1%) do not know about any agency which is providing funds for the research in dental institutes or for medical students and researchers.

Conclusion

Results of the current study depict that medical universities and Pakistan medical and dental councils ought to consider research training and workshops in undergraduate students and facilitate research by providing biostatisticians, supervisors, and funds. There is also a need to have an easy access to get funds for oral health research in local settings. There should be no publication charges for the academic institutes, undergraduate and postgraduate dental students in low-income country. Pakistan Medical and Dental Council and government of the Punjab both bodies should facilitate research in medical and dental institutes by providing funds to the fresh graduates for the oral health research. CIP is a good step however it needs to facilitate researchers and supervisors by providing essential requirements which are necessary to conduct research in dentistry.

Conflict of Interest

None.

Funding

No external funding.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to all fresh dental graduates and residents of de' Montmorency College of Dentistry and Lahore Medical and Dental College for participating in research. We are also thankful to the Principal of Lahore Medical and Dental College Professor Dr. Aqib Sohail for allowing us to conduct research in LMDC-Lahore.

Bibliography

- 1. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. Frascati Manual 2002: Proposed Standard Practice for Surveys on Research and Experimental Development. 6th edition. Paris: OECD Publishing (2002).
- 2. Lavis JN., et al. "Evidence-informed health policy 1-Synthesis of findings from a multi-method study of organizations that support the use of research evidence". *Implementation Science* 3 (2008): 53.
- 3. Lev EL., et al. "Faculty mentors' and students' perceptions of students' research self efficacy". Nurse Education Today 30.2 (2010): 169-174.
- 4. Khan H., *et al.* "Knowledge, attitudes and practices around health research: the perspective of physicians-in-training in Pakistan". *BMC Medical Education* 9 (2009): 46.
- 5. Amin T., et al. "Knowledge, attitudes and barriers related to participation of medical students in research in three Arab Universities". *Education in Medicine Journal* 4.1 (2012): e47-e55.

- 6. Khan H., *et al.* "Problem-based versus conventional curricula: influence on knowledge and attitudes of medical students towards health research". *PLoS One* 2.7 (2007): e632.
- 7. Khan H., et al. "Knowledge and attitudes about health research amongst a group of Pakistani medical students". BMC Medical Education 6 (2006): 54.
- 8. Sabzwari S., *et al.* "Experiences, attitudes and barriers towards research amongst junior faculty of Pakistani medical universities". *BMC Medical Education* 9 (2009): 68.
- 9. Vodopivec I., et al. "Knowledge about and Attitude towards Science of First Year Medical Students". Croatian Medical Journal 43.1 (2002): 58-62.
- 10. Burgoyne LN., et al. "Undergraduate medical research: the student perspective". Medical Education Online 10 (2010): 15.
- 11. Park SJ., et al. "Medical students' attitudes towards research and a career in research: an Auckland, New Zealand study". New Zealand Medical Journal 123.1323 (2010): 34-42.
- 12. Rosemann T and Szecsenyi J. "General practitioners' attitudes towards research in primary care: qualitative results of a cross sectional study". *BMC Family Practice* 5.1 (2004): 31.
- 13. Chakraborti C., et al. "Identifying barriers to successful research during medical school". Medical Education Development 2.2 (2012): 1-7.
- 14. Hegde A., et al. "Attitudes, experiences, and barriers to research and publishing among dental postgraduate students of Bengaluru city: A cross-sectional study". Journal of Indian Association of Public Health Dentistry 15.2 (2017): 157-161.
- 15. Vujaklija A., et al. "Can teaching research methodology influence students' attitude toward science? Cohort study and nonrandomized trial in a single medical school". Journal of Investigative Medicine 58.2 (2010): 282-286.
- 16. Kundendu Arya Bishen., et al. "Nationwide survey on barriers for dental research in India". Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences 7.3 (2015): 201-206.
- 17. Petersen PE. "Global policy for improvement of oral health in the 21st century-implications to oral health research of World Health Assembly 2007, World Health Organization". *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* 37.1 (2009): 1-8.
- 18. Haden NK., *et al.* "Improving the oral health status of all Americans: roles and responsibilities of academic dental institutions: the report of the ADEA President's Commission". *Journal of Dental Education* 67.5 (2003): 563-583.
- 19. Schrier RW. "Ensuring the survival of the clinician-scientist". Academic Medicine 72.7 (1997): 589-594.
- 20. Farooq S. "Needed a research agenda". Journal of Ayub Medical College Abbottabad 15 (2003): 1-2.
- 21. Minkler M., et al. "Community-based participatory research: implications for public health funding". American Journal of Public Health 93.8 (2003): 1210-1213.
- 22. Kingsley DA. "Paying for publication: issues and challenges for research support services". *Australian Academic and Research Libraries* 45.4 (2014): 262-281.
- 23. Altman DG., et al. "How statistical expertise is used in medical research". Journal of the American Medical Association 287.21 (2002): 2817-2820.
- 24. DePaola D., et al. "1.4 Research and the dental student". European Journal of Dental Education 6.3 (2002): 45-51.

Research Attitude among Fresh Dental Graduates: A Survey to Determine Existing Facilities and Barriers in Research ir	ı Lahore
Pakistan	

- 25. Haden NK., et al. "Curriculum change in dental education, 2003-09". Journal of Dental Education 74.5 (2010): 539-557.
- 26. Ejaz K., et al. "Involvement of medical students and fresh medical graduates of Karachi, Pakistan in research". *Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association* 61.2 (2011): 115-120.
- 27. I Jamaiah. "Review of research in learning environment". Journal of Health and Translational Medicine 11.1 (2008): 7-11.
- 28. Punjab residency program (2019).

Volume 18 Issue 9 September 2019 ©All rights reserved by Faiz Rasul., et al.