Noor US Sabah¹*, Karthikeyan Lakshmanan², Hari Baskar³, Shareefa Habeeba¹, Gulafsha Fatima¹, Mohammad Gayoor Khan⁴, Umama Yezdani¹, Mukilan D⁵ and Nazima Fatima¹

¹Department of Pharmacy Practice, MRM College of Pharmacy, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

²Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, Madras Medical College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

³Department of Pharmacy, KMCH College of Pharmacy, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

⁴Department of Pharmacy, Truba Institute of Pharmacy, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

⁵Department of Pharmacy Practice, Vels Institute of Science Technology and Advanced Studies, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

*Corresponding Author: Noor US Sabah, Department of Pharmacy Practice, MRM College of Pharmacy, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

Received: April 29, 2020; Published: May 09, 2020

Abstract

Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) is a chronic disabling inflammatory arthritis, which is associated with significant morbidity and increased mortality. Disease severity is based on the number of joints involved and the extent of pain associated with it and how it affects the daily life activities. The disease severity can be assessed using different calculators like DAS28, CDAI, SDAI, and RAPID 3. Different DMARD'S are used in the treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis alone or in combination. Biologic DMARD'S are efficacious but are too costly and hence most often synthetic DMARD'S are used. The present study seeks to analyze the efficacy of different DMARD'S used alone or in combination, with simultaneous administration of low dose corticosteroid. The efficacy is assessed based on the ability of DMARD(S) to reduce the disease severity. The disease severity was assessed at baseline and at follow up after two months of initiation of therapy using RAPID 3 calculator.

Keywords: Rheumatoid Arthritis; Corticosteroid, Methotrexate (MTX); Leflunomide (LFM); Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ)

Introduction

Rheumatoid Arthritis is a chronic, systemic inflammatory autoimmune disease distinguished by joint swelling and tenderness and destruction of synovial joints, leading to disability and premature death. The exact cause of RA is not known. Research has found that there are many possible causes, including:

- Genetics: People with family members who have RA may be more likely to get it.
- Hormones: Female hormones may play a role in the disease.
- Viruses or bacteria: RA may be related to viruses or bacteria.

Signs and symptoms of RA: Painful joints, Swollen joints, Stiffness in joints particularly in the morning, Low fever, Fatigue, Loss of appetite, Feeling weak, Lumps under the skin especially on the hands or elbows, Weight loss, Over time, decreased range of motion, Dry eyes and mouth.

Diagnosis: The current diagnostic criteria for RA require at least six points on a classification scale, and one positive, confirmed blood test, according to the American College of Rheumatology:

- Symptoms affecting one or more joints (up to 5 points)
- elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) (1 point)
- C-reactive protein (CRP)- which may indicate the presence of an inflammatory process in the body (1 point)

55

- anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide (anti-CCP) antibodies (up to 3 points)
- Rheumatoid factor (up to 3 points).

Treatment: Includes use of biological and synthetic DMARDS, NSAIDS and low dose corticosteroids.

Methodology

Study site: National Rheumatoid Center, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh and Apollo Hospital New Delhi.

Study design: A prospective questionnaire-based study. It is a questionnaire-based study involving assessment and reassessment of disease activity at baseline and at two months of initiation of therapy respectively

Study period: 6 months (November 2019 to April 2020).

Sample size: 170 patients suffering from RA were considered and patient information was collected.

Study population: All patients diagnosed with Rheumatoid Arthritis according to ACR criteria.

Study criteria: The outpatients who were diagnosed with RA were enrolled into the study by considering following inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria

- Patients of either sex.
- All patients must fulfil ACR classification criteria for rheumatoid arthritis.
- All patients must have been 16 years of age or older at time of diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis.

Exclusion criteria

- Sensitivity to study medications.
- Patients with osteoarthritis and other bone disorders.
- Patients who are unable to comply with study criteria.

Study procedure

The study is aimed at finding the efficacy of different DMARD'S in treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis based on their ability to reduce the disease severity. Patients who were diagnosed with Rheumatoid Arthritis by the physician were taken into study. Initial assessment of the disease severity was made using RAPID 3 questionnaire. Patient data was collected in a Data Collection Form and patient was reassessed after two months of using of medication and disease severity was again assessed using RAPID 3 questionnaire. The data was mainly focused at the parameters which include Age, Sex, DMARD(S) used, ESR levels, WBC count and Hb levels. The patients were divided to six groups based on the DMARD(S) used. The groups included three Monotherapy groups of MTX, HCQ and LFM and three dual combination groups of MTX+HCQ, MTX+LFM and HCQ+LFM. After collection of data relative decrease in the disease severity from baseline to two months treatment was calculated and efficacy of different DMARD'S was assessed based on their disease severity reducing capacity.

Sources of the data

All the relevant and necessary data was collected from the following:

- Patient Medication Chart.
- Patient Profile Form.
- Patient and Attendant Interview.

Results

During the study period, a total number of 270 patients were reviewed. The roles of several factors were assessed in the study.

Distribution of patients according to age

Out of 170 patients participated in our study, majority of patients belonged to age group 41 - 50 years. The distribution is as follows.

Age group (Years)	No. of patients	Percentage (%)
21 - 30	12	7.05
31 - 40	46	27.05
41 - 50	53	31.17
51 - 60	43	25.29
61 - 70	14	8.23
71 - 80	02	1.17

Table 1: Age wise assessment.

The mean age was found to be 46.4 ± 11.22 , 45.6 ± 11.23 , 50.5 ± 11.2 in total population, female and male populations respectively.



Figure 1: Age wise assessment.

Citation: Noor US Sabah., *et al.* "Comparative Effectiveness of Methotrexate Hydroxychloroquine Leflunomide Combined Treatment for the Arthritis with Small Dose of Corticosteroid". *EC Clinical and Medical Case Reports* 3.6 (2020): 54-67.

Distribution of patients according to gender

The prevalence of Rheumatoid Arthritis was considerable greater in women (82.2%) than in men (17.8) of total 270 patients participated in the study. The distribution is as follows.

Gender	No. of patients	Percentage (%)
Male	30	17.64
Female	140	82.35

Table 2: Gender wise assessment.



Population segregation with respect to treatment

Among 170 patients, 29 patients received Methotrexate monotherapy, 22 patients received Hydroxychloroquine monotherapy, 21 patients received Leflunomide monotherapy, 17 patients received a combination of Hydroxychloroquine + Leflunomide, 20 patients received a combination of Hydroxychloroquine + Methotrexate.

Note: All the patients received a low dose corticosteroid along with the above mentioned treatment plan.

Drug	No. of Patients	Percentage (%)
МТХ	29	17.05
HCQ	22	12.94
LFM	21	12.35
MTX+HCQ	61	35.88
MTX+LFM	20	11.76
HCQ+LFM	17	10



Citation: Noor US Sabah., *et al.* "Comparative Effectiveness of Methotrexate Hydroxychloroquine Leflunomide Combined Treatment for the Arthritis with Small Dose of Corticosteroid". *EC Clinical and Medical Case Reports* 3.6 (2020): 54-67.

58



Methotrexate

- The reduction in RAPID-3 at the end of second month relative to the baseline is found to be 52.4%
- The percentage reduction in WBC relative to baseline is found to be 12.1%
- The percentage reduction in ESR relative to baseline is 9.31%
- The percentage improvement in HB relative to baseline is 27.79%
- The average of SGPT level at the end of second month is found to be 25.71 ± 4.402 .

Parameters	Mean	Standard Deviation
RAPID3 score (I)	5.28	1.53
RAPID3 score (F)	2.486	1.05
SGPT	25.721	4.4022
HB (I)	11.013	1.564
HB (F)	12.143	0.946
WBC (I)	10091.8	2718
WBC (F)	8871.6	2618.9
ESR (I)	74.51	24.03
ESR (F)	53.702	27.47

Table 4: Assessment of methotrexate monotherapy.

(I): Initial assessment i.e. at baseline; (F): Final assessment i.e. at two months from baseline.

Hydroxychloroquine

- The reduction in RAPID-3 at the end of second month relative to the baseline was found to be 51.4%.
- The percentage reduction in WBC relative to baseline is found to be 13.86.
- The percentage reduction in ESR relative to baseline is found to be 31.86%.
- The percentage improvement in HB relative to baseline is found to be 8.59%.
- The average of SGPT level at the end of second month is found to be 25.01 ± 4.32 .

Parameters	Mean	Standard Deviation
RAPID3 score (I)	5.53	1.53
RAPID3 score (F)	2.69	1.04
SGPT	25.01	4.32
HB (I)	10.95	1.488
HB (F)	11.96	0.92
WBC (I)	10684.28	2741.4
WBC (F)	9203.5	2647
ESR (I)	78.42	24.19
ESR (F)	53.78	27.90

Table 5: Assessment of hydroxychloroquine monotherapy.

 (I) = Initial assessment i.e. at baseline; (F) = Final assessment i.e. at two months from baseline.

Leflunomide

- The reduction in RAPID-3 at the end of second month relative to baseline is found to be 59.7%.
- The percentage reduction in WBC relative to baseline is found to be 14.12%.
- The percentage improvement in ESR relative to baseline is found to be 12.68%.
- The percentage improvement in HB relative to baseline is found to be 11.39%. The average of SGPT level at the end of second month is found to be 25.76 ± 3.51.

Parameters	Mean	Standard deviation
RAPID3 score (I)	5.348	1.18
RAPID3 score (F)	2.16	0.869
SGPT	25.76	3.51
HB (I)	10.35	1.35
HB (F)	11.68	0.73
WBC (I)	9892	2193
WBC (F)	8496	2003.9
ESR (I)	81.44	19.79
ESR (F)	71.03	26.51

 Table 6: Assessment of leflunomide monotherapy.

60



Figure 4: RAPID 3 score assessment of monotherapy patients.



Figure 5: Mean reduction of WBC in monotherapy patients.





61





Dual combination therapy

HCQ+MTX

- The reduction in RAPID-3 at the end of second month relative to baseline is found to be 49.65%.
- The percentage reduction in WBC relative baseline is found to be 13.3%.
- The percentage improvement in ESR relative to baseline is found to be 31.8%.
- The percentage improvement in HB relative baseline levels is 9.63%.
- The average of SGPT levels were found to be 26.52 ± 4.39 .

Parameters	Mean	STD Deviation
RAPID3 score (I)	5.5	1.55
RAPID3 score (F)	2.769	1.060
SGPT	26.52	4.39
HB (I)	10.8	1.61
HB (F)	11.95	0.94
WBC (I)	10486.5	2722.2
WBC (F)	9084	2620.8
ESR (I)	95.8	23.9
ESR (F)	51.9	27.34

 Table 7: Assessment of MTX + HCQ therapy.

(I) = Initial assessment i.e. at baseline; (F) = Final assessment i.e. at two months from baseline.

MTX+LFM

- The reduction in RAPID-3 at the end of second month relative to baseline is found to be 48.29%.
- The percentage reduction in WBC relative to baseline is found to be 7.03%.
- The percentage improvement in ESR relative to baseline is found to be 20.52%.
- The percentage improvement in HB relative to baseline is 7.61%.
- The average of SGPT levels were found to be 25.4 ± 4.390 .

Parameters	Mean	STD deviation
RAPID3 score (I)	5.244	1.524
RAPID3 score (F)	2.712	1.00
SGPT	25.4	4.390
HB (I)	11.024	1.693
HB (F)	11.932	0.989
WBC (I)	9972	2751
WBC (F)	9271	2606
ESR (I)	73.88	23.97
ESR (F)	58.72	26.96

 Table 8: Assessment of MTX + LFM therapy.

 (I) = Initial assessment i.e. at baseline; (F) = Final assessment i.e. at two months from baseline.

HCQ+LFM

- The reduction in RAPID-3 at the end of second month relative to baseline is found to be 45.92%.
- The percentage reduction in WBC relative to baseline is found to be 10.43%.
- The percentage improvement in ESR relative to baseline is found to be 24.84%.
- The percentage improvement in HB relative to baseline is 5.93%.
- The average of SGPT levels were found to be 26.2 ± 4.15 .

Parameters	Mean	STD deviation
RAPID3 score (I)	4.16	1.55
RAPID3 score (F)	2.25	1.055
SGPT	26.2	4.15
HB (I)	11.245	1.47
HB (F)	11.985	0.90
WBC (I)	10932	2755
WBC (F)	9792.7	2649.7
ESR (I)	76.5	23.89
ESR (F)	57.5	27.777

Table 9: Assessment of HCQ + LFM therapy.

(1): Initial assessment i.e. at baseline. (F): Final assessment i.e. at two months from baseline.

Citation: Noor US Sabah., *et al.* "Comparative Effectiveness of Methotrexate Hydroxychloroquine Leflunomide Combined Treatment for the Arthritis with Small Dose of Corticosteroid". *EC Clinical and Medical Case Reports* 3.6 (2020): 54-67.



Figure 8: Mean RAPID 3 score assessment of dual therapy patients.



Figure 9: Mean reduction in WBC of dual therapy patients.



Figure 10: Mean reduction in ESR of dual therapy patients.

Citation: Noor US Sabah., et al. "Comparative Effectiveness of Methotrexate Hydroxychloroquine Leflunomide Combined Treatment for the Arthritis with Small Dose of Corticosteroid". EC Clinical and Medical Case Reports 3.6 (2020): 54-67.



64

Figure 11: Mean improvement of Hb in dual therapy patients.



Figure 12: Relative reduction assessment of RAPID 3 score in different therapy groups.







Figure 14: Relative reduction assessment of ESR in different therapy groups.



Figure 15: Relative increase assessment of Hb in different therapy groups.

Discussion

Rheumatoid arthritis is an inflammatory pain associated with joint. Although till recently NSAIDS have been the choice of treatment for RA, with the increase in use of DMARDS has pushed back the use of NSAIDS. Moreover, long term use of NSAIDS is not preferred due to their complications. Biologics though efficient are used relatively less because of their higher costs.

Citation: Noor US Sabah., *et al.* "Comparative Effectiveness of Methotrexate Hydroxychloroquine Leflunomide Combined Treatment for the Arthritis with Small Dose of Corticosteroid". *EC Clinical and Medical Case Reports* 3.6 (2020): 54-67.

The prevalence of Rheumatoid Arthritis was considerably greater in women than in men. The male to female ratio was found to be 1:5 the reason for this is assumed to be the autoimmune condition hypothyroidism which is seen relatively much higher in women than men.

Total patients participated in our study are 170 and were divided into six groups based on the treatment they received. The study assessed effectiveness of different DMARD'S used in the treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. The groups include 3 groups of patients receiving monotherapy i.e. Methotrexate Hydroxychloroquine Leflunomide alone and 3 groups of patients receiving dual combination therapy of the drugs i.e. MTX+HCQ, MTX+LFM, HCQ+LFM. In the patients receiving Monotherapy it was found that the relative decrease in the disease activity from baseline to the end of second month of initiation of therapy was higher in the group receiving Leflunomide followed by Methotrexate and then Hydroxy chloroquine. It was observed that reduction in the relative ESR from baseline to the end of second month of initiation of therapy was higher with the group receiving Hydroxychloroquine followed by Leflunomide and then Methotrexate. The reduction in the relative WBC from baseline to end of second month of initiation of therapy was higher with the group receiving Leflunomide followed by Hydroxychloroquine and then Methotrexate. The increase in the relative Hb from baseline to the end of second month of initiation of therapy was higher with the group receiving Methotrexate followed by Leflunomide and then Hydroxychloroquine. In the patients receiving Dual therapy it was found that the relative decrease in the disease activity from baseline to the end of second month of initiation of therapy was higher in the group receiving HCQ+MTX followed by MTX+LFM and then HCQ+LFM. It was observed that reduction in the relative ESR from baseline to the end of second month of initiation of therapy was higher with the group receiving MTX+HCQ followed by HCQ+LFM and then MTX+LFM. The reduction in the relative WBC from baseline to the end of second month of initiation of therapy was higher with the group receiving HCQ+MTX followed by HCQ+LFM and then MTX+LFM The increase in the relative Hb from baseline to the end of second month of initiation of therapy was higher with the group receiving MTX+HCQ followed by MTX+LFM and then HCQ+LFM [1-10].

Conclusion

In this study 170 patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis were studied by dividing into 6 groups based on the three DMARD's used alone and in combination in their treatment. The DMARD'S studied are MTX HCQ AND LFM. The incidence of RA was found to be more in female than male 5:1 ratio. The incidence of RA was found higher in the age group 41 - 50 years. On the basis of reduction in the disease severity in the management of early Rheumatoid Arthritis while using monotherapy, Leflunomide was found to be more efficacious followed by Methotrexate and then by Hydroxychloroquine, whereas in Dual combination therapy the combination of Methotrexate + Hydroxychloroquine was found to be more efficacious followed by Methotrexate + Leflunomide and then by Hydroxychloroquine + Leflunomide.

The efficacies of DMARD'S in the study are as follows:

- Monotherapy: LFM > MTX > HCQ.
- Dual Combo Therapy: MTX + HCQ > MTX + LFM > HCQ + LFM.

Acknowledgment

I was thankful to all author's for time to time support and I'm thankful to co-author Ms. Umama Yezdani Department of pharmacy practice Hyderabad, Telangana for all time support during the study.

Funding

None.

Citation: Noor US Sabah., *et al.* "Comparative Effectiveness of Methotrexate Hydroxychloroquine Leflunomide Combined Treatment for the Arthritis with Small Dose of Corticosteroid". *EC Clinical and Medical Case Reports* 3.6 (2020): 54-67.

67

Conflicts of Interest

We declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Bibliography

- 1. Gayoor Khan., *et al.* "Detection of Phlebovirus by using qualitative Real time (RT) -PCR and application of silver nanoparticles to control it". *World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences* 7.11 (2018): 936-952.
- 2. Mohd Gayoor Khan. "The Novel Drug Delivery System". World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences 6.7 (2017): 477-487.
- 3. Unama Yezdani., et al. "The Drug Targeting in Alzheimer's or Applications & it's Hazards". World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences 7.11 (2017): 1532-1549.
- 4. HS Chandel., *et al.* "Development of Targeted Drug delivery". *International Journal of Science and Research Methodology* 1.2 (2017): 30-34.
- 5. Kushwah Nilesh., *et al.* "The Fundamental of Novel Drug Delivery System Methodology, Role of Nanotechnology Nanoparticles in Pharmaceutical Research". *International Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research* 6.6 (2019): 140-146.
- 6. Mohd Gayoor Khan., et al. "Microencapsulation". International Journal of Research Methodology 1.2 (2017): 35-42.
- 7. Umama Yezdani., *et al.* "Application of Nanotechnology in Diagnosis and treatment of various disease and it's future advances in medicine". *World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences* 7.11 (2018): 1611-1633.
- 8. Yezdani Umama., *et al.* "Topic-The scenario of pharmaceuticals and development of microwave as sisted extraction technique". *World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences* 8.7 (2019): 1260-1271.
- 9. MA Kuriachan., *et al.* "Comparison of treatment outcome rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with single and two DMARDs in combination corticosteroid". *American Journal of Toxicology* 6.2 (2017): 456-463.
- 10. Jean-Marie Berthelot. "RAPID3? Aptly named!". Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology 32.85 (2014): S80-S84.

Volume 3 Issue 6 June 2020 © All rights reserved by Noor US Sabah., *et al*.