

Judicial and Authentic Pathology Practice

Anubha Bajaj*

Consultant Histopathologist, A.B. Diagnostics, India

***Corresponding Author:** Anubha Bajaj, Consultant Histopathologist, A.B. Diagnostics, India.

Received: April 18, 2019; **Published:** April 30, 2019

Oversights and transgression in disease discernment constitute a definitive percentage and an exorbitant subcategory of medical erratum. Inaccuracies within a cogent diagnosis can incur a delayed and inappropriate therapeutic management.

Discipline of legal amendment and adequate representation is frequently affiliated to the delivery of appropriate healthcare services. Medical sub-specialities and adjuvant healthcare substratum are impacted by the aforesaid miscalculations and necessitate a suitable understanding or recognition of implications and eventualities cogitated within the legal framework. With manifold categories and proportions of litigation, an adequate awareness of repercussions and outcomes is a pre-requisite within the medical fraternity. Legal ramifications are cogent to specific ethical and moral considerations.

Medico-legal issues contingent to the practice of histopathology is essentially at variance from the clinical segments, probably on account of minimal exposure to diseased individuals. Appurtenant training and inspection of technicians or adjuvant non-pathological staff is imperative and beneficial.

Insufficient or incorrectly communicated clinical data, errors or delay in diagnosis and unsatisfactory or incomplete documentation constitute frequent dilemmas. Expertise of laboratory staff, participation in clinical/pathological conferences, implementation of desirous quality control programmes, external and intrinsic audit, standard operating procedures and critical surveillance are necessitated to minimize legal complications.

Erroneous diagnosis contributes as a considerable and expensive subdivision of medical erratum. Thus, institution of a competent and powerful national pathology association is mandated and advantageous with a designated accessibility for the experts/legal cabinet.

Comprehension of infractions and misconduct, concept of negligence, fundamentals of standard care and the role of corroborators appears to be a pre-requisite for assessing the risk and probable glitches in daily pathology practice.

Understanding the process of litigation with an aptitude for managing legal depositions, challenging diagnosis and accurate evaluation of critical tissue specimens are of practical value in good medical practice. Judicial process is required to be consistently capable of arriving at precise and correct decisions with an evidence-based guidance comprising of complex scientific dossier including assimilation of cogent pathologic information.

Judicial framework can be influenced with ethical and moral issues as a component of rules versus virtual insights and the procedural incorporation of exercising facts, principles and theoretical certitude.

Volume 2 Issue 3 May 2019

©All rights reserved by Anubha Bajaj.